

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION**

**In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P** § Case No. **19-34054-SGJ11**

**Charitable DAF Fund, L.P et al**

Appellant

§

vs.

§

21-03067

**Highland Capital Management, L.P**

§

Appellee

§

**3:23-CV-01503-B**

[167] Order granting Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed motion to dismiss adversary proceeding (related document # [122](#)) Entered on 6/25/2023.

**Volume 3**

**APPELLANT RECORD**

SBAITI & COMPANY PLLC  
Mazin A. Sbaiti (TX Bar No. 24058096)  
Jonathan Bridges (TX Bar No. 24028835)  
J.P. Morgan Chase Tower  
2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 4900W  
Dallas, TX 75201  
T: (214) 432-2899  
F: (214) 853-4367

*Counsel for The Charitable DAF Fund, L.P.  
and CLO Holdco, Ltd.*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:	§ Chapter 11
	§
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§ Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
	§
Debtor.	§
	§
CHARITABLE DAF FUND, L.P. AND CLO	§
HOLDCO, LTD., DIRECTLY AND DERIVATIVELY	§
	§
Plaintiffs,	§ Adversary Proceeding No.
	§
vs.	§ 21-03067-sgj11
	§
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§
HIGHLAND HCF ADVISOR, LTD., AND	§
HIGHLAND CLO FUNDING LTD., NOMINALLY	§
	§
Defendant.	§
	§

*INDEX*

**APPELLANTS' SECOND AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES  
AND DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL**

Pursuant to Rules 8009(a)(1)(A)-(B) and (a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, The Charitable DAF Fund, L.P. and CLO Holdco, Ltd. ("Appellants") hereby designate the following items to be included in the record and identify the following issues with respect to

their appeal of the Order Granting Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s "Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint" [Adv. Proc. Doc. No. 122] which was entered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas on June 25, 2023.

**I. STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE PRESENTED ON APPEAL**

- Whether the Bankruptcy Court had jurisdiction to rule on Highland Capital Management L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint
- Whether the Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint was improperly granted

**II. DESIGNATION OF ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD**

Vol. 1  
000001

1. Notice of Appeal for Bankruptcy Case Adversary Proceeding No. 21-03067-sgj11 [Doc. 168].

000042

2. The judgment, order, or decree appealed from: Memorandum Opinion and Order Granting Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s "Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint" [Adv. Proc. Doc. No. 122] [Doc. 167].

000080

3. Docket Sheet kept by the Bankruptcy Clerk.

4. Documents listed below and as described in the Docket Sheet for Bankruptcy Case Proceeding No. 21-03067-sgj.

Vol. 2

000102

000138

No.	Date Filed	Docket No.	Description/Document Text
1	9/29/21	1	(36 pgs; 3 docs) Adversary case 21-03067. ORDER REFERRING CASE NUMBER 21-CV-0842-B from U.S District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division to U.S. Bankruptcy Court for Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division. Complaint by Charitable DAF Fund, LP, CLO Holdco, Ltd. against Highland Capital Management, LP, Highland HCF Advisor Ltd., Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. Fee Amount \$350 (Attachments: # 1 Original Complaint # 2 Docket Sheet from 3:20-cv-0842-B) Nature(s) of suit: 02 (Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy)). (Okafor, M.)
2	9/29/21	2	(1 pg) Supplemental Document (cover sheet) by CLO Holdco Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund (RE: related document(s)1 Adversary case 21-03067) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #2 ON 04/13/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)

Vol. 2  000139  000232  000239  000270  Thru Vol. 6	3	9/29/21	6	(93 pgs; 6 docs) MOTION for Leave to File First Amended Complaint filed by CLO Holdco Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund LP (Attachments: # 1 Exh 1_First Amended Complaint # 2 Exh 2_Motion for Authorization to Retain James Seery # 3 Exh 3_Order Approving Retention of James Seery # 4 Exh 4_Order Approving Settlement # 5 Proposed Order) (Bridges, Jonathan) (Entered: 04/19/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #6 ON 04/19/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
	4	9/29/21	22	(7 pgs; 2 docs) MOTION for an Order to Enforce the Order of Reference filed by Highland Capital Management LP. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit(s) A--Proposed Order) (Annable, Zachery) Modified text on 5/20/2021 (mjr). (Entered: 05/19/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #22 ON 05/19/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
	5	9/29/21	23	(31 pgs) Brief/Memorandum in Support filed by Highland Capital Management LP re: 22 MOTION for an Order to Enforce the Order of Reference. (Annable, Zachery) Modified text on 5/20/2021 (mjr).(Entered: 05/19/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #23 ON 05/19/2021 IN U.S.DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
	6	9/29/21	24	(926 pgs; 29 docs) Appendix in Support filed by Highland Capital Management LP re: 23 Brief/Memorandum in Support. (Attachments: # 1 Appendix 1 # 2 Appendix 2 # 3 Appendix 3 # 4 Appendix 4 # 5 Appendix 5 # 6 Appendix 6 # 7 Appendix 7 # 8 Appendix 8 # 9 Appendix 9 # 10 Appendix10 # 11 Appendix 11 # 12 Appendix 12 # 13 Appendix 13 # 14 Appendix 14 # 15 Appendix 15 # 16 Appendix 16 # 17 Appendix 17 # 18 Appendix 18 # 19 Appendix 19 # 20 Appendix 20 # 21 Appendix 21# 22 Appendix 22 # 23 Appendix 23 # 24 Appendix 24 # 25 Appendix 25 # 26 Appendix 26 # 27 Appendix 27 # 28 Appendix 28) (Annable, Zachery) Modified linkage and text on 5/20/2021 (mjr). (Entered:05/19/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #24 ON 05/19/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
Vol. 7  001196	7	9/29/21	26	(7 pgs; 2 docs) MOTION to Dismiss Complaint filed by Highland Capital Management LP (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit(s) A--Proposed Order) (Annable, Zachery) Modified text on 5/28/2021 (jmg).(Entered: 05/27/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #26 ON 05/27/2021 IN U.S.DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)

Vol. 7 001203 thru Vol 8	8	9/29/21	28	(508 pgs; 14 docs) Appendix in Support filed by Highland Capital Management LP (Attachments: # 1 Appendix 1 # 2 Appendix 2 # 3 Appendix 3 # 4 Appendix 4 # 5 Appendix 5 # 6 Appendix 6 # 7 Appendix 7 # 8 Appendix 8 # 9 Appendix 9 # 10 Appendix 10 # 11 Appendix 11 # 12 Appendix 12 # 13 Appendix 13) (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 05/27/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #28 ON 05/27/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
Vol. 9 001711	9	9/29/21	33	(1 pg) Amended Civil Cover Sheet by CLO Holdco Ltd, Charitable DAF Fund LP. Amendment to 2 Supplemental Document. (Sbaiti, Mazin) Modified text on 6/23/2021 (mjr). (Entered: 06/22/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #33 ON 06/22/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
001712	10	9/29/21	36	(26 pgs) RESPONSE filed by CLO Holdco Ltd, Charitable DAF Fund LP re: 22 MOTION for an Order to Enforce the Order of Reference (Sbaiti, Mazin) (Entered: 06/29/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #36 ON 06/29/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
001738	11	9/29/21	37	(22 pgs) Appendix in Support filed by CLO Holdco Ltd, Charitable DAF Fund LP re 36 Response/Objection Response to Motion for an Order to Enforce the Order of Reference (Sbaiti, Mazin) (Entered: 06/29/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #37 ON 06/29/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
001760	12	9/29/21	38	(45 pgs) RESPONSE filed by CLO Holdco Ltd, Charitable DAF Fund LP re: 26 MOTION to Dismiss (Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint) (Sbaiti, Mazin) (Entered: 06/29/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #38 ON 06/29/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
001805	13	9/29/21	39	(88 pgs) Appendix in Support filed by CLO Holdco Ltd, Charitable DAF Fund LP re 38 Response/Objection to Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Sbaiti, Mazin) (Entered: 06/29/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #39 ON 06/29/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
001893	14	9/29/21	42	(12 pgs) REPLY filed by Highland Capital Management LP re: 22 MOTION for an Order to Enforce the Order of Reference (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 07/13/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842AS #42 ON 07/13/2021 IN U.S.



			DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
VOL. 9	15	9/29/21	43 (852 pgs) Appendix in Support filed by Highland Capital Management LP re: 42 Reply. (Annable, Zachery) Modified text on 7/14/2021 (mjr). (Entered: 07/13/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842AS #43 ON 07/13/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
001905 thru Vol. 13	16	9/29/21	45 (21 pgs) REPLY filed by Highland Capital Management LP re: 26 MOTION to Dismiss (Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint) (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 07/13/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #44 ON 07/13/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
002757	17	9/29/21	57 (7 pgs; 2 docs) MOTION to Dismiss and Joinder in Motion to Dismiss of Highland Capital Management, L.P. filed by Highland CLO Funding Ltd. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order) Attorney Paul R Bessette added to party Highland CLO Funding Ltd (pty:dft) (Bessette, Paul) (Entered: 08/30/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #57 ON 08/30/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
002778	18	9/29/23	58 (12 pgs) Brief/Memorandum in Support filed by Highland CLO Funding Ltd. re 57 MOTION to Dismiss and Joinder in Motion to Dismiss of Highland Capital Management, L.P. (Bessette, Paul) (Entered: 08/30/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #58 ON 08/30/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
002785	19	9/29/23	59 (80 pgs; 5 docs) Appendix in Support filed by Highland CLO Funding Ltd re 58 Brief/Memorandum in Support of Motion (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit(s) A - Jackson v Dear # 2 Exhibit(s) B - Prudential Assurance v. Newman # 3 Exhibit(s) C - Harbourvest Settlement Agreement # 4 Exhibit(s) D - Boleat Declaration) (Bessette, Paul) (Entered: 08/30/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #59 ON 08/30/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
002797	20	9/29/21	64 (1 pg) ORDER OF REFERENCE: Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157 and this District's Miscellaneous Order No. 33, this case is hereby REFERRED to Judge Stacey G. C. Jernigan of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, to be adjudicated as a matter related to the consolidated Chapter 11 Bankruptcy of Highland Capital Management, L.P., Chapter 11 Case No.19-34054. (Ordered by Judge Jane J. Boyle
002877			

Vol. 14  002878  002883 thru Vol. 16				on 9/20/2021) (svc) (Entered: 09/20/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #64 ON 09/20/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)
	21	10/19/21	66	(5 pgs) Notice of hearing filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 26 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP, 47 Motion to strike document filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd., 55 Motion to abate filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.) Hearing to be held on 11/23/2021 at 09:30 AM at <a href="https://us-courts.webex.com/meet/jerniga">https://us-courts.webex.com/meet/jerniga</a> for 26 and for 47 and for 55, (Annable, Zachery)
	22	11/22/21	71	(509 pgs; 2 docs) Witness and Exhibit List <i>for Hearing on November 23, 2021</i> filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 26 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding). (Attachments: # 1 Exhibits 1-13) (Hayward, Melissa)
Vol. 17  003392  003394  003583  003585  003611	23	11/22/21	72	(2 pgs) Witness List filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (RE: related document(s) 26 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding, 47 Motion to strike (related document(s): 43 Document), 55 Motion to abate (related document(s) 1 Complaint), 69 Motion to abate <i>Plaintiffs' Amended Motion to Stay All Proceedings</i> (related document(s) 55 Motion to abate (related document(s) 1 Complaint))). (Sbaiti, Mazin)
	24	11/22/21	73	(189 pgs; 4 docs) Exhibit List <i>for November 23, 2021 hearing</i> filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (RE: related document(s) 47 Motion to strike (related document(s): 43 Document), 55 Motion to abate (related document(s) 1 Complaint)). (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1_Defendant's Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Reconsideration # 2 Exhibit 2_Highland Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss # 3 Exhibit 3_Order (I) Confirming Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization of Highland) (Sbaiti, Mazin)
	25	12/7/21	80	(2 pgs) Order granting Highland CLO Funding, Ltd.'s motion to dismiss adversary as a party with prejudice (related document 57) Entered on 12/7/2021. (Okafor, Marcey) Modified text on 3/11/2022 (Okafor, Marcey).
	26	3/11/22	99	(26 pgs) Memorandum of Opinion and order granting motion to dismiss the adversary proceeding (RE: related document(s) 26 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP). Entered on 3/11/2022 (Okafor, Marcey)
	27	3/11/22	100	(26 pgs) Order granting motion to dismiss adversary proceeding with prejudice (related document #26) Entered on 3/11/2022. (Okafor, Marcey)

Vol. 18 003637	28	3/21/22	104	(29 pgs) Notice of appeal. Fee Amount \$298 filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (RE: related document(s) 100 Order on motion to dismiss adversary proceeding). Appellant Designation due by 04/4/2022. (Sbaiti, Mazin)
003666	29	5/26/22	120	(177 pgs; 2 docs) Support/supplemental document <i>Motion to Supplement Appellate Record</i> filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (RE: related document(s) 111 Appellant designation). (Attachments: # 1 Amended Transcript of January 14, 2021 Hearing) (Sbaiti, Mazin)
003843	30	6/9/22	121	(1 pg) DISTRICT COURT Order: Case 3:22-00695-B is hereby transferred to the docket of the Honorable Judge Jane J. Boyle for consolidation with The Charitable DAF Fund LP, et al. v. Highland Capital Management LP, Case No. 3:21-cv-3129-N. Judge Karen Gren Scholer no longer assigned to case.(RE: related document(s) 86 Notice of appeal filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd., 104 Notice of appeal filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.). Entered on 6/9/2022 (Whitaker, Sheniqua) (Entered: 06/10/2022)
003844	31	10/24/22	122	(7 pgs) Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding ( <i>Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> ) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (Annable, Zachery)
003851	32	10/14/22	123	(31 pgs) Brief in support filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding ( <i>Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> )). (Annable, Zachery)
Vol. 19 003882 Thru Vol 20	33	10/14/22	124	(513 pgs; 15 docs) Support/supplemental document ( <i>Appendix in Support of Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> ) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding ( <i>Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> )). (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 # 2 Exhibit 2 # 3 Exhibit 3 # 4 Exhibit 4 # 5 Exhibit 5 # 6 Exhibit 6 # 7 Exhibit 7 # 8 Exhibit 8 # 9 Exhibit 9 # 10 Exhibit 10 # 11 Exhibit 11 # 12 Exhibit 12 # 13 Exhibit 13 # 14 Exhibit 14) (Annable, Zachery)
Vol. 21 004395	34	10/27/22	126	(5 pgs) Notice of hearing ( <i>Notice of Hearing and Briefing Schedule on Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> ) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP). Hearing to be held on 12/8/2022 at 09:30 AM at <a href="https://us-courts.webex.com/meet/jerniga">https://us-courts.webex.com/meet/jerniga</a> for 122. (Annable, Zachery)



Vol. 21 004400  004410  004442 Thru Vol. 22	35	11/18/22	128	(10 pgs) Motion for withdrawal of reference. Fee amount \$188, filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (Sbaiti, Mazin)
	36	11/18/22	129	(32 pgs) Response opposed to (related document(s): 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding ( <i>Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> ) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP) filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP. (Sbaiti, Mazin)
	37	11/18/22	130	(254 pgs; 2 docs) Response opposed to (related document(s): 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding ( <i>Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> ) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP) filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP. (Attachments: # 1 Appendix) (Sbaiti, Mazin)
Vol. 22 004696  004717  004732  004737  004742	38	9/2/22	131	(21 pgs) DISTRICT COURT MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER: The Court REVERSES and REMANDS the bankruptcy court's Motion to Dismiss Order and AFFIRMS the bankruptcy courts Motion to Stay Order. re: appeal on Civil Action number: Case 3:22-00695-B consolidated with 3:21-CV-3129-B, (RE: related document(s) 81 Order on motion to abate, 100 Order on motion to dismiss adversary proceeding). Entered on 9/2/2022 (Whitaker, Sheniqua) (Entered: 11/29/2022)
	39	12/2/22	133	(15 pgs) Reply to (related document(s): 129 Response filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd., 130 Response filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP. (Annable, Zachery)
	40	12/7/22	135	(5 pgs) Notice of hearing filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP). Hearing to be held on 1/25/2023 at 01:30 PM at <a href="https://us-courts.webex.com/meet/jerniga">https://us-courts.webex.com/meet/jerniga</a> for 122, (Annable, Zachery)
	41	12/7/22	136	(5 pgs) Notice of hearing filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 128 Motion for withdrawal of reference filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.). Status Conference to be held on 1/25/2023 at 01:30 PM at <a href="https://us-courts.webex.com/meet/jerniga">https://us-courts.webex.com/meet/jerniga</a> . (Annable, Zachery).
	42	12/9/22	138	(3 pgs) Response opposed to (related document(s): 128 Motion for withdrawal of reference. Fee amount \$188, filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP. (Annable, Zachery)

Vol. 22 004745	43	12/9/22	139	(25 pgs) Brief in support filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 138 Response). (Annable, Zachery)
Vol. 23 004770	44	12/9/22	140	(280 pgs; 8 docs) Support/supplemental document ( <i>Appendix in Support of Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Response to Renewed Motion to Withdraw the Reference</i> ) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 138 Response). (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 # 2 Exhibit 2 # 3 Exhibit 3 # 4 Exhibit 4 # 5 Exhibit 5 # 6 Exhibit 6 # 7 Exhibit 7) (Annable, Zachery)
Vol. 24 005050	45	12/16/22	144	(6 pgs) Reply to (related document(s): 138 Response filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP) filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP. (Sbaiti, Mazin)
005056 Thru Vol. 25.	46	1/23/23	145	(514 pgs; 15 docs) Witness and Exhibit List filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding ( <i>Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> )). (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 # 2 Exhibit 2 # 3 Exhibit 3 # 4 Exhibit 4 # 5 Exhibit 5 # 6 Exhibit 6 # 7 Exhibit 7 # 8 Exhibit 8 # 9 Exhibit 9 # 10 Exhibit 10 # 11 Exhibit 11 # 12 Exhibit 12 # 13 Exhibit 13 # 14 Exhibit 14) (Annable, Zachery)
Vol. 26 005570	47	1/23/23	146	(280 pgs; 8 docs) Witness and Exhibit List filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP (RE: related document(s) 128 Motion for withdrawal of reference. Fee amount \$188.). (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 # 2 Exhibit 2 # 3 Exhibit 3 # 4 Exhibit 4 # 5 Exhibit 5 # 6 Exhibit 6 # 7 Exhibit 7) (Annable, Zachery)
Vol. 27 005850	48	1/23/23	147	(221 pgs; 7 docs) Witness and Exhibit List filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (RE: related document(s) 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding ( <i>Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint</i> )). (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1_Excerpts from July 14, 2020 Hearing Transcript # 2 Exhibit 2_HCLOF Members Agreement Relating to the Company # 3 Exhibit 3_HarbourVest Settlement Agreement # 4 Exhibit 4_Order Approving Debtor's Settlement with HarbourVest # 5 Exhibit 5_HCLOF Offering # 6 Exhibit 6 Amended and Restated Investment Advisory Agreement) (Sbaiti, Mazin)
006071	49	1/23/23	148	(3 pgs) Witness and Exhibit List filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (RE: related document(s) 128 Motion for withdrawal of reference. Fee amount \$188.). (Phillips, Louis)
Vol. 28 006074	50	1/25/23	150	(56 pgs; 2 docs) Amended Witness and Exhibit List filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (RE: related document(s) 147 List (witness/exhibit/generic), 149 List (witness/exhibit/generic)). (Attachments: # 1 Exh 7_Testimony of Mark Patrick at June 8, 2021 hearing) (Sbaiti, Mazin)

Vol. 28 006130	51	1/25/23	152	(3 pgs) Notice of Appearance and Request for Notice by Louis M. Phillips filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP. (Phillips, Louis)
	52	1/25/23	154	(1 pg) Court admitted exhibits date of hearing January 25, 2023 (RE: related document(s) 128 Motion for withdrawal of reference, filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.) (COURT ADMITTED DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS #1, #2, #3, #4, #5 & #6 OFFERED BY ATTY GREG DEMO). (Edmond, Michael) (Entered: 01/27/2023)
Vol. 32 006925  006942  006960	53	2/6/23	158	Report and recommendation to the U.S. District Court by U.S. Bankruptcy Judge. (RE: related document(s) 128 Motion for withdrawal of reference filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.). Entered on 2/6/2023 (Okafor, Marcey)
	54	2/6/23	161	(18 pgs) DISTRICT COURT Notice of transmission of report and recommendation in re: renewed motion to withdraw reference. Civil Case # 3:22-cv-02802-S. (RE: related document(s) 158 Report and recommendation to the U.S. District Court by U.S. Bankruptcy Judge. (RE: related document(s) 128 Motion for withdrawal of reference filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.). Entered on 2/6/2023) (Whitaker, Sheniqua)
	55	4/3/23	165	(1 pg) DISTRICT COURT ORDER: The Court GRANTS the 11 Joint Motion to Transfer Proceeding and Consolidate Before Original Court and the above-numbered case (3:22-cv-02802-S) is transferred to the docket of the Honorable Judge Jane Boyle: Civil case 3:21-cv-00842-B (order referring case). (RE: related document(s) 1 Complaint filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd., 143 Notice of transmission of motion to withdraw reference). Entered on 4/3/2023 (Whitaker, Sheniqua) Modified on 4/10/2023 (Whitaker, Sheniqua). (Entered: 04/10/2023)

#### TRANSCRIPTS

006961	56	11/24/21	78	(104 pgs) Transcript regarding Hearing Held 11-23-2021 RE: Motion Hearing. THIS TRANSCRIPT WILL BE MADE ELECTRONICALLY AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC 90 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF FILING. TRANSCRIPT RELEASE DATE IS 02/22/2022. Until that time the transcript may be viewed at the Clerk's Office or a copy may be obtained from the official court transcriber. Court Reporter/Transcriber Liberty Transcripts/Dipti Patel, Telephone number 847-848-4907. (RE: related document(s) 75 Hearing held on 11/23/2021. (RE: related document(s) 55 MOTION to Stay filed by CLO Holdco Ltd, Charitable DAF Fund LP (Sbaiti, Mazin) (Entered: 08/26/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #55 ON 08/26/2021 IN U.S.
--------	----	----------	----	--

			<p>DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)) (Appearances: J. Pomerantz and J. Morris for Highland Defendants; J. Jordan and P. Bessett for HCLOF; M. Sbaiti for Plaintiffs. Nonevidentiary hearing. Motion denied. Mr. Pomerantz to upload order.), 76 Hearing held on 11/23/2021. (RE: related document(s) 47 Motion to strike 43 Appendix in support filed by CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP (Bridges, Jonathan) Modified text on 7/16/2021 (mjr). (Entered: 07/15/2021) [ORIGINALLY FILED IN 21-CV-0842 AS #47 ON 07/15/2021 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION] (Okafor, M.)) (Appearances: J. Pomerantz and J. Morris for Highland Defendants; J. Jordan and P. Bessett for HCLOF; M. Sbaiti for Plaintiffs. Nonevidentiary hearing. Motion denied (Plaintiffs acknowledged complained-of Appendices it did not relate to Motion to Dismiss). Mr. Pomerantz to upload order.)). Transcript to be made available to the public on 02/22/2022. (Patel, Dipti)</p>
57	2/21/23	164	<p>164 (112 pgs) Transcript regarding Hearing Held 1/25/23 RE: HEARING ON DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT L.P.'S RENEWED MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT (122) AND STATUS CONFERENCE RE: MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF REFERENCE FILED BY PLAINTIFF CLO HOLDCO, LTD., PLAINTIFF CHARITABLE DAF FUND, LP (128). THIS TRANSCRIPT WILL BE MADE ELECTRONICALLY AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC 90 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF FILING. TRANSCRIPT RELEASE DATE IS 05/22/2023. Until that time the transcript may be viewed at the Clerk's Office or a copy may be obtained from the official court transcriber. Court Reporter/Transcriber Dipti Patel, Telephone number 847-848-4907. (RE: related document(s) 155 Hearing held on 1/25/2023. (RE: related document(s) 122 Motion to dismiss adversary proceeding, (Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Renewed Motion to Dismiss Complaint) filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP filed by Defendant Highland Capital Management, LP) (Appearances: J. Morris and G. Demo for Movants; L. Phillips and M. Sbaiti for Plaintiffs. Evidentiary hearing (appendices). Court took matter under advisement.), 156 Hearing held on 1/25/2023. (RE: related document(s) 128 Motion for withdrawal of reference. Fee amount \$188, filed by Plaintiffs CLO Holdco, Ltd., Charitable DAF Fund, LP filed by Plaintiff Charitable DAF Fund, LP, Plaintiff CLO Holdco, Ltd.) (Appearances: J. Morris and G. Demo for Movants; L. Phillips and M. Sbaiti for Plaintiffs. Evidentiary hearing (appendices). Court announced it will recommend denial to District Court. Court is working on Report &amp; Recommendation.)). Transcript to be made available to the public on 05/22/2023. (Patel, Dipti)</p>

Dated: July 14, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

**SBAITI & COMPANY PLLC**

/s/ Mazin A. Sbaiti

**Mazin A. Sbaiti**

Texas Bar No. 24058096

**Jonathan Bridges**

Texas Bar No. 24028835

2200 Ross Avenue – Suite 4900W

Dallas, TX 75201

T: (214) 432-2899

F: (214) 853-4367

E: mas@sbaitilaw.com

jeb@sbaitilaw.com

**Counsel for Appellants**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically through the Court's ECF system, which provides notice to all parties of interest, on this 14<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.

/s/ Mazin A. Sbaiti

Mazin A. Sbaiti



in lower distributions to creditors because of costs and distraction such litigation or the threats of such litigation would cause.

79. **Necessity of Gatekeeper Provision.** The Bankruptcy Court further finds that unless the Bankruptcy Court approves the Gatekeeper Provision, the Claimant Trustee and the Claimant Trust Oversight Board will not be able to obtain D&O insurance, the absence of which will present unacceptable risks to parties currently willing to serve in such roles. The Bankruptcy Court heard testimony from Mark Tauber, a Vice President with AON Financial Services, the Debtor's insurance broker ("AON"), regarding his efforts to obtain D&O insurance. Mr. Tauber credibly testified that of all the insurance carriers that AON approached to provide D&O insurance coverage after the Effective Date, the only one willing to do so without an exclusion for claims asserted by Mr. Dondero and his affiliates otherwise requires that this Order approve the Gatekeeper Provision. Based on the foregoing, the Bankruptcy Court finds that the Gatekeeper Provision is necessary and appropriate in light of the history of the continued litigiousness of Mr. Dondero and his related entities in this Chapter 11 Case and necessary to the effective and efficient administration, implementation and consummation of the Plan and is appropriate pursuant to *Carroll v. Abide (In re Carroll)* 850 F.3d 811 (5th Cir. 2017). Approval of the Gatekeeper Provision will prevent baseless litigation designed merely to harass the post-confirmation entities charged with monetizing the Debtor's assets for the benefit of its economic constituents, will avoid abuse of the court system and preempt the use of judicial time that properly could be used to consider the meritorious claims of other litigants. Any suit against a Protected Party would effectively be a suit against the Debtor, and the Debtor may be required to indemnify the Protected

81. **Jurisdiction to Implement Gatekeeper Provision.** The Bankruptcy Court finds that it will have jurisdiction after the Effective Date to implement the Gatekeeper Provision as post-confirmation bankruptcy court jurisdiction has been interpreted by the Fifth Circuit under *United States Brass Corp. v. Travelers Ins. Group, Inc. (In re United States Brass Corp.)*, 301 F.3d 296 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2002) and *EOP-Colonnade of Dallas Ltd. P'Ship v. Faulkner (In re Stonebridge Techs., Inc.)*, 430 F.3d 260 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005). Based upon the rationale of the Fifth Circuit in *Villegas v. Schmidt*, 788 F.3d 156, 158-59 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2015), the Bankruptcy Court's jurisdiction to act as a gatekeeper does not violate *Stern v. Marshall*. The Bankruptcy Court's determination of whether



Bonus Claims under the terms of the Plan, the Disclosure Statement Order or applicable law.

- d. The Debtor and Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon negotiated at arms' length in an effort to resolve all issues raised in the Senior Employee's Objection, including whether or not Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon were entitled to Class 7 Convenience Class treatment of their Liquidated Bonus Claims. As a result of such negotiation, the Debtor, Mr. Ellington, and Mr. Leventon have agreed to the settlement described in paragraphs 82(e) through 82(k) below and approved and effectuated pursuant to decretal paragraphs RR through SS (the "Senior Employees' Settlement").
- e. Under the terms of the Senior Employees' Settlement, the Debtor has the right to elect one of two treatments of the Liquidated Bonus Claims for a Senior Employee Claimant. Under the first treatment option ("Option A"), the Liquidated Bonus Claims will be entitled to be treated in Class 7 of the Plan, and the Liquidated Bonus Claims will be entitled to receive payment in an amount equal to 70.125% of the Class 7 amount of the Liquidated Bonus Claims, subject to the Liquidated Bonus Claims becoming Allowed Claims under the terms of the Plan. Under this calculation, Mr. Ellington would be entitled to receive \$701,250.00 on account of his Class 7 Convenience Class Claim when and as Allowed under the Plan, and Mr. Leventon would be entitled to receive \$413,175.10 on account of his Class 7 Convenience Class Claim when and as Allowed under the Plan. If, however, any party in interest objects to the allowance of the Senior Employee Claimant's Liquidated Bonus Claims and does not prevail in such objection, then such Senior Employee Claimant will be entitled to a payment in an amount equal to 85% of his Allowed Liquidated Bonus Claims (subject, in the case of Mr. Ellington, to the cap imposed on Class 7 Claims). In addition, under Option A, each of Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon would retain their respective rights to assert that the Liquidated Bonus Claims are entitled to be treated as Administrative Expense Claims, as defined in Article I.B.2. of the Plan, in which case the holder of such Liquidated Bonus Claims would be entitled to payment in full of the Allowed Liquidated Bonus Claims. Under Option A, parties in interest would retain the right to object to any motion seeking payment of the Liquidated Bonus Amounts as Administrative Expenses.
- f. Under the second treatment option ("Option B"), the Debtor would agree that the Senior Employee Claimant has Allowed Liquidated Bonus Claims, no longer subject to objection by any party in interest, in the amounts of the Liquidated Bonus Claims (subject, in the case of Mr. Ellington, to the cap imposed by Class 7). If the Debtor elects Option B as to a Senior Employee Claimant, then such Senior Employee Claimant would be entitled to a payment on account of his Allowed Liquidated Bonus Claims in an amount equal to 60% of the amount of the

Liquidated Bonus Claims (which, in Mr. Ellington's case, would be \$600,000 and in Mr. Leventon's case, would be \$358,918.80), and such payment would be the sole recovery on account of such Allowed Liquidated Bonus Claims.

- g. The Debtor may, with the consent of the Committee, elect Option B with respect to a Senior Employee Claimant at any time prior to the occurrence of the Effective Date. If the Debtor does not make an election, then Option A will apply.
- h. Under either Option A or Option B, Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon will retain all their rights with respect to all Claims other than the Liquidated Bonus Amounts, including, but not limited to, their Class 6 PTO Claims, other claims asserted as Class 8 General Unsecured Claims, the Senior Employees' claims for indemnification against the Debtor, and any other claims that they may assert constitute Administrative Expense Claims, and any other such Claims are subject to the rights of any party in interest to object to such Claims, and the Debtor reserves any all of its rights and defenses in connection therewith.
- i. Subject to entry of this Confirmation Order and as set forth and announced on the record at the hearing on confirmation of the Plan and no party objecting thereto, Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon agreed to change the votes in their respective Ballots from rejection to acceptance of the Plan and to withdraw the Senior Employees' Objection.
- j. The Senior Employees' Settlement represents a valid exercise of the Debtor's business judgment and satisfies the requirements for a compromise under Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a).
- k. For the avoidance of doubt, neither Mr. Leventon nor Mr. Ellington shall be a Released Party under the Plan regardless of how the Senior Employee Claimants' Claims are to be treated hereunder.

Based upon the foregoing findings, and upon the record made before the Bankruptcy Court at the Confirmation Hearing, and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

**A. Confirmation of the Plan.** The Plan is approved in its entirety and **CONFIRMED** under section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code. The terms of the Plan, including the



Plan Supplements and Plan Modifications, are incorporated by reference into and are an integral part of this Confirmation Order.<sup>11</sup>

**B. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.** The findings of fact and the conclusions of law set forth in this Confirmation Order and on the record of the Confirmation Hearing constitute findings of fact and conclusions of law in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 7052, made applicable to this proceeding by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. All findings of fact and conclusion of law announced by the Bankruptcy Court at the Confirmation Hearing in relation to confirmation of the Plan are hereby incorporated into this Confirmation Order. To the extent that any of the following constitutes findings of fact or conclusions of law, they are adopted as such. To the extent any findings of fact or conclusions of law set forth in this Confirmation Order (including any findings of fact or conclusions of law announced by the Bankruptcy Court at the Confirmation Hearing and incorporated herein) constitutes an order of the Bankruptcy Court, and is adopted as such.

**C. Objections.** Any resolution or disposition of objections to confirmation of the Plan or otherwise ruled upon by the Bankruptcy Court on the record of the Confirmation Hearing is hereby incorporated by reference. All objections and all reservations of rights pertaining to confirmation of the Plan that have not been withdrawn, waived or settled are overruled on the merits, except as otherwise specifically provided in this Confirmation Order.

**D. Plan Supplements and Plan Modifications.** The filing with the Bankruptcy Court of the Plan Supplements and the Plan Modifications constitutes due and

---

<sup>11</sup> The Plan is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

**E. Deemed Acceptance of Plan.** In accordance with section 1127 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3019, all Holders of Claims and Equity Interests who voted to accept the Plan (or whom are conclusively presumed to accept the Plan) are deemed to have accepted the Plan as modified by the Plan Modifications. No holder of a Claim shall be permitted to change its vote as a consequence of the Plan Modifications.

**F. Vesting of Assets in the Reorganized Debtor.** Except as otherwise provided in the Plan or this Confirmation Order, on or after the Effective Date, all Reorganized Debtor Assets will vest in the Reorganized Debtor, free and clear of all Liens, Claims, charges or other encumbrances pursuant to section 1141(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, except with respect to such Liens, Claims, charges, and other encumbrances that are specifically preserved under the Plan upon the Effective Date. The Reorganized Debtor shall be the exclusive trustee of the Reorganized Debtor Assets for purposes of 31 U.S.C. § 3713(b) and 26 U.S.C. § 6012(b)(3), as well as the



**I. Preservation of Causes of Action.** Unless a Cause of Action against a Holder of a Claim or an Equity Interest or other Entity is expressly waived, relinquished, released, compromised or settled in the Plan or any Final Order (including, without limitation, this Confirmation Order), such Cause of Action is expressly reserved for later adjudication by the Reorganized Debtor, the Litigation Sub-Trust, or the Claimant Trust, as applicable (including, without limitation, Causes of Action not specifically identified or of which the Debtor may presently be unaware or that may arise or exist by reason of additional facts or circumstances unknown to the Debtor at this time or facts or circumstances that may change or be different from those the Debtor now believes to exist) and, therefore, no preclusion doctrine, including, without limitation, the doctrines of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim preclusion, waiver, estoppel (judicial, equitable or otherwise) or laches will apply to such Causes of Action as a consequence of the confirmation, effectiveness, or consummation of the Plan based on the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, or this Confirmation Order, except where such Causes of Action have been expressly released in the Plan or any other Final Order (including, without limitation, this Confirmation Order). In addition, the right of the Reorganized Debtor, the Claimant Trust, or the Litigation Sub-Trust to pursue or adopt any claims alleged in any lawsuit in which the Debtor is a plaintiff, defendant or an interested party, against any Entity, including, without limitation, the plaintiffs or co-defendants in such lawsuits, is expressly reserved.

**J. Independent Board of Directors of Strand.** The terms of the current Independent Directors shall expire on the Effective Date without the need for any further or other action by any of the Independent Directors. For avoidance of doubt, the Assumed Contracts

**Interests.** On the Effective Date, all Class A Limited Partnership Interests, including the Class A Limited Partnership Interests held by Strand, as general partner, and Class B/C Limited Partnerships in the Debtor will be deemed cancelled, and all obligations or debts owed by, or Claims against, the Debtor on account of, or based upon, such Class A Limited Partnership Interests and Class B/C Limited Partnership Interests shall be deemed as cancelled, released, and discharged, including all obligations or duties by the Debtor relating to the Equity Interests in any of the Debtor's formation documents, including the Limited Partnership Agreement. As of the Effective Date and pursuant to the Plan, new Class A Limited Partnership Interests in the Reorganized Debtor will be issued to the Claimant Trust and New GP LLC. The Claimant Trust, as limited partner, will ratify New GP LLC's appointment as general partner of the Reorganized Debtor, and on and following the Effective Date, the Claimant Trust will be the Reorganized Debtor's limited partner and New GP LLC will be its general partner. The Claimant Trust, as limited partner, and New GP LLC, as general partner, will execute the Reorganized Limited Partnership Agreement, which will amend and restate, in all respects, the Debtor's current Limited



**L. Transfer of Assets to Claimant Trust.** On or prior to the Effective Date, the Debtor shall irrevocably transfer and shall be deemed to have irrevocably transferred to the Claimant Trust all of its rights, title, and interest in and to all of the Claimant Trust Assets, and in accordance with section 1141 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Claimant Trust Assets shall automatically vest in the Claimant Trust free and clear of all Claims, Liens, encumbrances, or interests subject only to the Claimant Trust Interests and the Claimant Trust Expenses, as provided for in the Claimant Trust Agreement, and such transfer shall be exempt from any stamp, real estate transfer, mortgage from any stamp, transfer, reporting, sales, use, or other similar tax. Following the Effective Date, the Claimant Trust will administer the Claimant Trust Assets pursuant to the Plan and the Claimant Trust Agreement.

67



Assumed Contracts that have been executed by the Debtor during the Chapter 11 Case shall not be deemed to alter the prepetition nature of such Assumed Contracts or the validity, priority, or amount of any Claims that may arise in connection therewith. Assumption of the Assumed Contracts pursuant to Article V.A of the Plan and full payment of any applicable Cure pursuant to the Plan shall result in the full release and satisfaction of any Cures, Claims, or defaults, whether monetary or nonmonetary, including defaults of provisions restricting the change in control or ownership interest composition, or other bankruptcy-related defaults, arising under any Assumed Contracts.

**Q. Rejection of Contracts and Leases.** Unless previously assumed during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Case or pursuant to the Plan, all other Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases are rejected as of the date of the entry of this Confirmation Order and pursuant to the terms of the Plan. To the extent that any party asserts any damages resulting from the rejection of any Executory Contract or Unexpired Lease, such claim must be filed within **thirty (30) days** following entry of this Confirmation Order, or such claim will be forever barred and disallowed against the Reorganized Debtor.

**R. Assumption of Issuer Executory Contracts.** On the Confirmation Date, the Debtor will assume the agreements set forth on **Exhibit B** hereto (collectively, the “Issuer Executory Contracts”) pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code and Article V of the Plan. In full and complete satisfaction of its obligation to cure outstanding defaults under section 365(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor or, as applicable, any successor manager under the

Issuer Executory Contracts (collectively, the “Portfolio Manager”) will pay to the Issuers<sup>12</sup> a cumulative amount of \$525,000 (the “Cure Amount”) as follows:

assigns hereby forever, finally, fully, unconditionally, and completely releases, relieves, acquits, remises, and exonerates, and covenants never to sue, (i) the Debtor and (ii) the Professionals retained by the Debtor and the Committee in the Chapter 11 Case, the Independent Directors, the CEO/CRO, and with respect to the Persons listed in this subsection (ii), such Person's Related Persons (collectively, the "Debtor Released Parties"), for and from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, promises, acts, agreements, liens, losses, costs and expenses (including, without limitation, attorney's fees and related costs), damages, injuries, suits, actions, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, matured or unmatured, liquidated or unliquidated, contingent or fixed, at law or in equity, statutory or otherwise, including, without limitation, any claims, defenses, and affirmative defenses, whether known or unknown, including, without limitation, those which were or could have been asserted in, in connection with, or with respect to the Bankruptcy Case (collectively, the "Issuer Released Claims").

**T. Release of Debtor Claims against Issuer Released Parties.** Upon entry of this Order, and to the maximum extent permitted by law, the Debtor hereby forever, finally, fully, unconditionally, and completely releases, relieves, acquits, remises, and exonerates, and covenants never to sue [(i) each Issuer and (ii) Wendy Ebanks, (iii) Yun Zheng, (iv) Laura Chisholm, (v) Mora Goddard, (vi) Stacy Bodden, (vii) Suzan Merren (viii) Scott Dakers, (ix) Samit Ghosh, (x) Inderjit Singh, (xi) Ellen Christian, (xii) Andrew Dean, (xiii) Betsy Mortel, (xiv) David Hogan, (xv) Cleveland Stewart, (xvi) Rachael Rankin, (xvii) Otelia Scott, (xviii) Martin Couch, (xx) Feronia Bartley-Davis, (xxi) Charlotte Cloete, (xxii) Christina McLean, (xxiii) Karen Ellerbe,

(xxiv) Gennie Kay Bigord, (xxv) Evert Brunekreef, (xxvii) Evan Charles Burtton (collectively, the “Issuer Released Parties”),] for and from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, promises, acts, agreements, liens, losses, costs and expenses (including, without limitation, attorney’s fees and related costs), damages, injuries, suits, actions, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, matured or unmatured, liquidated or unliquidated, contingent or fixed, at law or in equity, statutory or otherwise, including, without limitation, any claims, defenses, and affirmative defenses, whether known or unknown, which were or could have been asserted in, in connection with, or with respect to the Bankruptcy Case (collectively, the “Debtor Released Claims”); *provided, however*, that notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the release contained herein will apply to the Issuer Released Parties set forth in subsection (ii) above only with respect to Debtor Released Claims arising from or relating to the Issuer Executory Contracts. Notwithstanding anything in this Order to the contrary, the releases set forth in paragraphs S and T hereof will not apply with respect to the duties, rights, or obligations of the Debtor or any Issuer hereunder.

**U. Authorization to Consummate.** The Debtor is authorized to consummate the Plan after the entry of this Confirmation Order subject to satisfaction or waiver of the conditions precedent to the Effective Date of the Plan set forth in Article VIII.A of the Plan. The Plan shall not become effective unless and until the conditions set forth in Article VIII.A of the Plan have been satisfied, or otherwise waived pursuant to Article VIII.B of the Plan.

**V. Professional Compensation.** All requests for payment of Professional Fee Claims for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses incurred prior to the Effective Date



**W. Release, Exculpation, Discharge, and Injunction Provisions. The following release, exculpation, discharge, and injunction provisions set forth in the Plan are approved and authorized in their entirety, and such provisions are effective and binding on all parties and Entities to the extent provided therein.**

**X. Discharge of Claims and Termination of Interests.** To the fullest extent provided under section 1141(d)(1)(A) and other applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, except as otherwise expressly provided by the Plan or this Confirmation Order, all consideration distributed under the Plan will be in exchange for, and in complete satisfaction, settlement,

discharge, and release of, all Claims and Equity Interests of any kind or nature whatsoever against the Debtor or any of its Assets or properties, and regardless of whether any property will have been distributed or retained pursuant to the Plan on account of such Claims or Equity Interests. Except as otherwise expressly provided by the Plan or this Confirmation Order, upon the Effective Date, the Debtor and its Estate will be deemed discharged and released under and to the fullest extent provided under section 1141(d)(1)(A) and other applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code from any and all Claims and Equity Interests of any kind or nature whatsoever, including, but not limited to, demands and liabilities that arose before the Confirmation Date, and all debts of the kind specified in section 502(g), 502(h), or 502(i) of the Bankruptcy Code.

**Y. Exculpation.** Subject in all respects to Article XII.D of the Plan, to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, no Exculpated Party will have or incur, and each Exculpated Party is hereby exculpated from, any claim, obligation, suit, judgment, damage, demand, debt, right, Cause of Action, remedy, loss, and liability for conduct occurring on or after the Petition Date in connection with or arising out of (i) the filing and administration of the Chapter 11 Case; (ii) the negotiation and pursuit of the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, or the solicitation of votes for, or confirmation of, the Plan; (iii) the funding or consummation of the Plan (including the Plan Supplement) or any related agreements, instruments, or other documents, the solicitation of votes on the Plan, the offer, issuance, and Plan Distribution of any securities issued or to be issued pursuant to the Plan, including the Claimant Trust Interests, whether or not such Plan Distributions occur following the Effective Date; (iv) the implementation of the Plan; and (v) any negotiations, transactions, and documentation in connection with the foregoing clauses (i)-(v);

75

any employment agreement with a current or former employee of the Debtor, (iv) any Avoidance Actions, or (v) any Causes of Action arising from willful misconduct, criminal misconduct, actual fraud, or gross negligence of such applicable Released Party as determined by Final Order of the Bankruptcy Court or any other court of competent jurisdiction.

**AA. Injunction.** Upon entry of this Confirmation Order, all Enjoined Parties are and shall be permanently enjoined, on and after the Effective Date, from taking any actions to interfere with the implementation or consummation of the Plan. Except as expressly provided in the Plan, this Confirmation Order, or a separate order of the Bankruptcy Court, all Enjoined Parties are and shall be permanently enjoined, on and after the Effective Date, with respect to any Claims and Equity Interests, from directly or indirectly (i) commencing, conducting, or continuing in any manner, any suit, action, or other proceeding of any kind (including any proceeding in a judicial, arbitral, administrative or other forum) against or affecting the Debtor or the property of the Debtor, (ii) enforcing, levying, attaching (including any prejudgment attachment), collecting, or otherwise recovering, enforcing, or attempting to recover or enforce, by any manner or means, any judgment, award, decree, or order against the Debtor or the property of the Debtor, (iii) creating, perfecting, or otherwise enforcing in any manner, any security interest, lien or encumbrance of any kind against the Debtor or the property of the Debtor, (iv) asserting any right of setoff, directly or indirectly, against any obligation due to the Debtor or against property or interests in property of the Debtor, except to the limited extent permitted under Sections 553 and 1141 of the Bankruptcy Code, and (v) acting or proceeding in any manner,

in any place whatsoever, that does not conform to or comply with the provisions of the Plan. The injunctions set forth in the Plan and this Confirmation Order shall extend to, and apply to any act of the type set forth in any of clauses (i)-(v) of the immediately preceding paragraph against any successors of the Debtor, including, but not limited to, the Reorganized Debtor, the Litigation Sub-Trust, and the Claimant Trust and their respective property and interests in property. Subject in all respects to Article XII.D of the Plan, no Enjoined Party may commence or pursue a claim or cause of action of any kind against any Protected Party that arose or arises from or is related to the Chapter 11 Case, the negotiation of the Plan, the administration of the Plan or property to be distributed under the Plan, the wind down of the business of the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor, the administration of the Claimant Trust or the Litigation Sub-Trust, or the transactions in furtherance of the foregoing without the Bankruptcy Court (i) first determining, after notice and a hearing, that such claim or cause of action represents a colorable claim of any kind, including, but not limited to, negligence, bad faith, criminal misconduct, willful misconduct, fraud, or gross negligence against a Protected Party and (ii) specifically authorizing such Enjoined Party to bring such claim or cause of action against any such Protected Party; *provided, however*, the foregoing will not apply to a claim or cause of action against Strand or against any Employee other than with respect to actions taken, respectively, by Strand or by such Employee from the date of appointment of the Independent Directors through the Effective Date. The Bankruptcy Court will have sole and exclusive jurisdiction to determine whether a claim or cause of action is colorable and, only to the extent legally permissible and as provided for in

Article XI of the Plan, shall have jurisdiction to adjudicate the underlying colorable claim or cause of action.

**BB. Duration of Injunction and Stays.** Unless otherwise provided in the Plan, in this Confirmation Order, or in a Final Order of the Bankruptcy Court, (i) all injunctions and stays entered during the Chapter 11 Case and in existence on the Confirmation Date, shall remain in full force and effect in accordance with their terms; and (ii) the automatic stay arising under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code shall remain in full force and effect subject to Section 362(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, and to the extent necessary if the Debtor does not receive a discharge, the Bankruptcy Court will enter an equivalent order under Section 105.

**CC. Continuance of January 9 Order and July 16 Order.** Unless otherwise provided in the Plan, in this Confirmation Order, or in a Final Order of the Bankruptcy Court, each of the *Order Approving Settlement with Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Regarding Governance of the Debtor and Procedures for Operations in the Ordinary Course*, entered by the Bankruptcy Court on January 9, 2020 [Docket No. 339] and *Order Approving the Debtor's Motion Under Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a) and 363(b) Authorizing Retention of James P. Seery, Jr., as Chief Executive Officer, Chief Restructuring Officer, and Foreign Representative Nunc Pro Tunc to March 15, 2020* [Docket No. 854] entered on July 16, 2020 shall remain in full force and effect from the Confirmation Date and following the Effective Date.

**DD. No Governmental Releases.** Nothing in this Confirmation Order or the Plan shall effect a release of any claim by the United States Government or any of its agencies or



any state and local authority whatsoever, including without limitation any claim arising under the Internal Revenue Code, the environmental laws or any criminal laws of the United States or any state and local authority against any party or person, nor shall anything in this Confirmation Order or the Plan enjoin the United States or any state or local authority from bringing any claim, suit, action, or other proceedings against any party or person for any liability of such persons whatever, including without limitation any claim, suit, or action arising under the Internal Revenue Code, the environmental laws or any criminal laws of the United States or any state and local authority against such persons, nor shall anything in this Confirmation Order or the Plan exculpate any party or person from any liability to the United States Government or any of its agencies or any state and local authority whatsoever, including any liabilities arising under the Internal Revenue Code, the environmental laws, or any criminal laws of the United States or any state and local authority against any party or person.

**EE. Exemption from Transfer Taxes.** Pursuant to section 1146(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, any transfers (whether from the Debtor to the Reorganized Debtor or to any other Person) of property under the Plan or pursuant to: (a) the issuance, distribution, transfer, or exchange of any debt, equity security, or other interest in the Debtor or the Reorganized Debtor; (b) the Restructuring transactions pursuant to the Plan; (c) the creation, modification, consolidation, termination, refinancing, and/or recording of any mortgage, deed of trust, or other security interest, or the securing of additional indebtedness by such or other means; (d) the making, assignment, or recording of any lease or sublease; or (e) the making, delivery, or recording of any deed or other instrument of transfer under, in furtherance of, or in connection with, the Plan,

including any deeds, bills of sale, assignments, or other instrument of transfer executed in connection with any transaction arising out of, contemplated by, or in any way related to the Plan, shall not be subject to any document recording tax, stamp tax, conveyance fee, intangibles or similar tax, mortgage tax, real estate transfer tax, mortgage recording tax, Uniform Commercial Code filing or recording fee, regulatory filing or recording fee, or other similar tax or governmental assessment to the fullest extent contemplated by section 1146(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and upon entry of this Confirmation Order, the appropriate state or local governmental officials or agents shall forego the collection of any such tax or governmental assessment and accept for filing and recordation of any of the foregoing instruments or other documents without the payment of any such tax, recordation fee, or governmental assessment.

**FF. Cancellation of Notes, Certificates and Instruments.** Except for the purpose of evidencing a right to a distribution under the Plan and except as otherwise set forth in the Plan or as otherwise provided in this Confirmation Order, on the Effective Date, all agreements, instruments, Securities and other documents evidencing any prepetition Claim or Equity Interest and any rights of any Holder in respect thereof shall be deemed cancelled, discharged, and of no force or effect. The holders of or parties to such cancelled instruments, Securities, and other documentation will have no rights arising from or related to such instruments, Securities, or other documentation or the cancellation thereof, except the rights provided for pursuant to the Plan, and the obligations of the Debtor thereunder or in any way related thereto will be fully released, terminated, extinguished and discharged, in each case without further notice to or order of the





**NN. References to and Omissions of Plan Provisions.** References to articles, sections, and provisions of the Plan are inserted for convenience of reference only and are not intended to be a part of or to affect the interpretation of the Plan. The failure to specifically include or to refer to any particular article, section, or provision of the Plan in this Confirmation Order shall not diminish or impair the effectiveness of such article, section, or provision, it being the intent of the Bankruptcy Court that the Plan be confirmed in its entirety, except as expressly modified herein, and incorporated herein by this reference.

**OO. Headings.** Headings utilized herein are for convenience and reference only, and do not constitute a part of the Plan or this Confirmation Order for any other purpose.

**PP. Effect of Conflict.** This Confirmation Order supersedes any Bankruptcy Court order issued prior to the Confirmation Date that may be inconsistent with this Confirmation Order. If there is any inconsistency between the terms of the Plan and the terms of this Confirmation Order, the terms of this Confirmation Order govern and control. If there is any inconsistency between the terms of this Confirmation Order and the terms of a final, executed Plan Supplement Document, the terms of the final, executed Plan Supplement Document will govern and control.

**QQ. Resolution of Objection of Texas Taxing Authorities.** Dallas County, Kaufman County, City of Allen, Allen ISD and City of Richardson (collectively, the “Tax Authorities”) assert that they are the holders of prepetition and administrative expense claims for 2019, 2020 and 2021 ad valorem real and business personal property taxes. The ad valorem property taxes for tax year 2020 shall be paid in accordance with and to the extent required under

applicable nonbankruptcy law. In the event the 2020 taxes are paid after February 1, 2021, the Tax Authorities may assert any rights and amounts they claim are owed with respect to penalties and interest that have accrued through the date of payment and the Debtor and Reorganized Debtor reserve any all rights and defenses in connection therewith.



## RR. Resolution of Objections of Scott Ellington and Isaac Leventon.

Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a), the Senior Employees' Settlement is approved in all respects. The Debtor may, only with the consent of the Committee, elect Option B for a Senior Employee Claimant by written notice to such Senior Employee Claimant on or before the occurrence of the Effective Date. If the Debtor does not elect Option B, then Option A will govern the treatment of the Liquidated Bonus Claims.

- a. Notwithstanding any language in the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, or this Confirmation Order to the contrary, if Option A applies to the Liquidated Bonus Claims of a Senior Employee Claimant, then the Liquidated Bonus Claims of such Senior Employee Claimant will receive the treatment described in paragraph 82(e) hereof, and if the Debtor timely elects Option B with respect to the Liquidated Bonus Claims of a Senior Employee Claimant, then the Liquidated Bonus Claims of such Senior Employee will receive the treatment described in paragraph 82(f) hereof.
- b. The Senior Employees' Settlement is hereby approved, without prejudice to the respective rights of Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon to assert all their remaining Claims against the Debtor's estate, including, but not limited to, their Class 6 PTO Claims, their remaining Class 8 General Unsecured Claims, any indemnification claims, and any Administrative Expense Claims that they may assert and is without prejudice to the rights of any party in interest to object to any such Claims.
- c. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3018(a), Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon were permitted to change their votes on the Plan. Accordingly, Mr. Ellington's votes on his Ballots in Class 7 and Class 8 of the Plan were changed from a rejection of the Plan to acceptance of the Plan, and Mr. Leventon's votes on his Ballots in Class 7 and Class 8 of the Plan were, changed from rejections of the Plan to acceptances of the Plan.
- d. The Senior Employees' Objection is deemed withdrawn.

- b. The Senior Employees' Settlement is hereby approved, without prejudice to the respective rights of Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon to assert all their remaining Claims against the Debtor's estate, including, but not limited to, their Class 6 PTO Claims, their remaining Class 8 General Unsecured Claims, any indemnification claims, and any Administrative Expense Claims that they may assert and is without prejudice to the rights of any party in interest to object to any such Claims.

- c. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3018(a), Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon were permitted to change their votes on the Plan. Accordingly, Mr. Ellington's votes on his Ballots in Class 7 and Class 8 of the Plan were changed from a rejection of the Plan to acceptance of the Plan, and Mr. Leventon's votes on his Ballots in Class 7 and Class 8 of the Plan were, changed from rejections of the Plan to acceptances of the Plan.

- d. The Senior Employees' Objection is deemed withdrawn.

**SS. No Release of Claims Against Senior Employee Claimants.** For the avoidance of doubt, the Senior Employees' Settlement, as approved herein, shall not, and shall not be deemed to, release any Claims or Causes of Action held by the Debtor against either Senior



immediately upon written demand to the Debtor, Reorganized Debtor and/or any successor-in-interest. Failure of the IRS to declare a failure and/or default does not constitute a waiver by the United States or its agency the IRS of the right to declare that the Debtor, Reorganized Debtor, and/or any successor in interest is in default.

(c) The IRS shall only be required to send two notices of failure and/or default, and upon the third event of a failure and/or default, the IRS shall be entitled to proceed as set out in paragraphs (1), (2), and/or (3) herein above without further notice to the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor, or any successor in interest, or its counsel. The collection statute expiration date for all unpaid federal tax liabilities shall be extended pursuant to non-bankruptcy law.

(d) The Internal Revenue Service shall not be bound by any release provisions in the Plan that would release any liability of the responsible persons of the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor, and/or any successor in interest to the IRS. The Internal Revenue Service may take such actions as it deems necessary to assess any liability that may be due and owing by the responsible persons of the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor and/or any successor in interest to the Internal Revenue Service.

(e) Nothing contained in the Plan or the Confirmation Order shall be deemed to be a waiver or relinquishment of any rights, claims, causes of action, rights of setoff or recoupment, rights to appeal tax assessments, or other legal or equitable defenses that the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor have under non-bankruptcy law in connection with any claim, liability or cause of action of the United States and its agency the Internal Revenue Service.

(f) The term “any payment required to be made on federal taxes,” as used herein above, is defined as: any payment or deposit required by the Internal Revenue Code to be made by the Debtor from and after the Confirmation Date, or the Reorganized Debtor and/or any successor in interest from and after the Effective Date, to the date the IRS Claim is together with interest paid in full. The term “any required tax return,” as used herein above, is defined as: any tax return or report required by the Internal Revenue Code to be made by the Debtor from and after the Confirmation Date, or the Reorganized Debtor and/or any successor in interest from and after the Effective Date, to the date the IRS Claim is together with interest paid in full.

**UU. IRS Proof of Claim.** Notwithstanding anything in the Plan or in this Confirmation Order, until all required tax returns are filed with and processed by the IRS, the IRS’s proof of claim will not be deemed fixed for purposes of Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code and may be amended in order to reflect the IRS’ assessment of the Debtor’s unpaid priority and general unsecured taxes, penalties and interest.

**VV. CLO Holdco, Ltd. Settlement** Notwithstanding anything contained herein to the contrary, nothing in this Order is or is intended to supersede the rights and obligations of either the Debtor or CLO Holdco contained in that certain *Settlement Agreement between CLO Holdco, Ltd., and Highland Capital Management, L.P., dated January 25, 2021* [Docket No. 1838-1] (the “CLOH Settlement Agreement”). In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Order and the terms of the CLOH Settlement Agreement, the terms of the CLOH Settlement Agreement will govern.

**WW. Retention of Jurisdiction.** The Bankruptcy Court may properly, and upon the Effective Date shall, to the maximum extent permitted under applicable law, retain jurisdiction over all matters arising out of, and related to, this Chapter 11 Case, including the matters set forth in Article XI of the Plan and section 1142 of the Bankruptcy Code.

**XX. Payment of Statutory Fees; Filing of Quarterly Reports.** All fees payable pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 1930** shall be paid on or before the Effective Date. The Reorganized Debtor, the Claimant Trust, and the Litigation Sub-Trust shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of quarterly fees to the Office of the United States Trustee pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 1930** through the entry of the Final Decree for the Debtor or the dismissal or conversion of the Chapter 11 Case. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Plan, the U.S. Trustee shall not be required to file any proofs of claim with respect to quarterly fees payable pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 1930**.

**YY. Dissolution of the Committee.** On the Effective Date, the Committee will dissolve, and the members of the Committee and the Committee’s Professionals will cease to have

any role arising from or relating to the Chapter 11 Case, except in connection with final fee applications of Professionals for services rendered prior to the Effective Date (including the right to object thereto). Notwithstanding the foregoing, any Committee member or Professional may serve following the Effective Date with respect to the Claimant Trust Oversight Board or Litigation Sub-Trust. The Professionals retained by the Committee and the members thereof will not be entitled to assert any fee claims for any services rendered to the Committee or expenses incurred in the service of the Committee after the Effective Date, except for reasonable fees for services rendered, and actual and necessary costs incurred, in connection with any applications for allowance of Professional Fees pending on the Effective Date or filed and served after the Effective Date pursuant to the Plan. Nothing in the Plan shall prohibit or limit the ability of the Debtor's or Committee's Professionals to represent either of the Trustees or to be compensated or reimbursed per the Plan, the Claimant Trust Agreement, and/or Litigation Sub-Trust in connection with such representation.

**ZZ. Miscellaneous.** After the Effective Date, the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor, as applicable, shall have no obligation to file with the Bankruptcy Court or serve on any parties reports that the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor, as applicable, were obligated to file under the Bankruptcy Code or a court order, including monthly operating reports (even for those periods for which a monthly operating report was not filed before the Effective Date), ordinary course professional reports, reports to any parties otherwise required under the "first" and "second" day orders entered in this Chapter 11 Case (including any cash collateral financing orders entered in this Chapter 11 Case) and monthly or quarterly reports for Professionals; *provided, however*, that

the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor, as applicable, will comply with the U.S. Trustee's post confirmation reporting requirements.

**###END OF ORDER###**



## APPENDIX 6

000404

## CLAIM 143

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: Northern District of Texas  
(State)

Case number 19-34054

Official Form 410  
Proof of Claim

04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to **11 U.S.C. § 503**.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. **Do not send original documents**; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. **18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571**.

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

Part 1: Identify the Claim

1. Who is the current creditor?	<u>HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P.</u> Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)	
	Other names the creditor used with the debtor _____	
2. Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. From whom? _____	
3. Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	<b>Where should notices to the creditor be sent?</b> <u>HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P.</u> <u>Attn: Erica Weisgerber</u> <u>Debevoise and Plimpton LLP</u> <u>919 Third Avenue</u> <u>New York, NY 10022, U.S.A.</u>  Contact phone <u>2129096000</u> Contact email <u>eweisgerber@debevoise.com</u>	<b>Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)</b> <u>See summary page</u>  Contact phone <u>6173483773</u> Contact email <u>agoren@harbourvest.com</u>  Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you use one): _____
4. Does this claim amend one already filed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) _____ Filed on _____ MM / DD / YYYY	
5. Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Who made the earlier filing? _____	



6. Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?

☐ Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor: \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

\$ See Annex

☐ No

See Annex

☒ No

☐ Motor vehicle

☐ Other. Describe:

\$\_\_\_\_\_

\$\_\_\_\_\_

☐ Fixed

 Variable

☒ No

☐ Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition. \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☒ No

☐ Yes. Identify the property: \_\_\_\_\_

12. Is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?

A claim may be partly priority and partly nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.

☒ No

☐ Yes. Check all that apply:

☐ Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).

Amount entitled to priority

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Up to \$3,025\* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650\*) earned within 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)( ) that applies.

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

\* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or after the date of adjustment.

13. Is all or part of the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)?

☒ No

☐ Yes. Indicate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods have been sold to the Debtor in the ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supporting such claim.

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

### Part 3: Sign Below

The person completing this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).

If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Check the appropriate box:

☐ I am the creditor.

☒ I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.

☐ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004.

☐ I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.

I understand that an authorized signature on this *Proof of Claim* serves as an acknowledgement that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.

I have examined the information in this *Proof of Claim* and have reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on date 04/08/2020  
MM / DD / YYYY

/s/Michael Pugatch  
Signature

Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:

Name Michael Pugatch  
First name Middle name Last name

Title Managing Director - Company: HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P., by Harbo

Company by HarbourVest GP LLC, its General Partner, by HarbourVest Partners, LL  
Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.

Address

Contact phone \_\_\_\_\_

Email \_\_\_\_\_

For phone assistance: Domestic (877) 573-3984 | International (310) 751-1829

<b>Debtor:</b> 19-34054 - Highland Capital Management, L.P. <b>District:</b> Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division		
<b>Creditor:</b> HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P. Attn: Erica Weisgerber Debevoise and Plimpton LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY, 10022 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 2129096000 <b>Phone 2:</b> <b>Fax:</b> <b>Email:</b> eweisgerber@debevoise.com	<b>Has Supporting Documentation:</b> Yes, supporting documentation successfully uploaded <b>Related Document Statement:</b>	
	<b>Has Related Claim:</b> No <b>Related Claim Filed By:</b>	
	<b>Filing Party:</b> Authorized agent	
<b>Disbursement/Notice Parties:</b> HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P. c/o HarbourVest Partners, LLC One Financial Center Boston, MA, 02111 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 6173483773 <b>Phone 2:</b> <b>Fax:</b> <b>E-mail:</b> agoren@harbourvest.com <b>DISBURSEMENT ADDRESS</b>		
<b>Other Names Used with Debtor:</b>	<b>Amends Claim:</b> No <b>Acquired Claim:</b> No	
<b>Basis of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Last 4 Digits:</b> No	<b>Uniform Claim Identifier:</b>
<b>Total Amount of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Includes Interest or Charges:</b> None	
<b>Has Priority Claim:</b> No	<b>Priority Under:</b>	
<b>Has Secured Claim:</b> No <b>Amount of 503(b)(9):</b> No <b>Based on Lease:</b> No <b>Subject to Right of Setoff:</b> No	<b>Nature of Secured Amount:</b> <b>Value of Property:</b> <b>Annual Interest Rate:</b> <b>Arrearage Amount:</b> <b>Basis for Perfection:</b> <b>Amount Unsecured:</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b> Michael Pugatch on 08-Apr-2020 4:40:16 p.m. Eastern Time <b>Title:</b> Managing Director - Company: HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P., by HarbourVest 2017 Global Associates L.P., its Gen Partner <b>Company:</b> by HarbourVest GP LLC, its General Partner, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its Managing Member		



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:

Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-34054 (SGJ)

**ANNEX TO PROOF OF CLAIM**

1. This annex (the “Annex”) is part of and is incorporated by reference into the attached proof of claim (together with the Annex, the “Proof of Claim”) and describes in more detail the claims of HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P. (the “Claimant”) against the debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”).

2. The Claimant is a limited partner in one of the Debtor’s managed vehicles, Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. (“HCLOF”). Acis Capital Management GP, L.L.C. and Acis Capital Management L.P. (together, “Acis”), the portfolio manager for HCLOF, filed for chapter 11 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Court”) on January 30, 2018. The Acis bankruptcy filing resulted from a dispute between Debtor and its former employee, Joshua Terry, who served as portfolio manager for Debtor’s collateral loan obligations funds (“CLO”) business. *See, e.g., Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Orders for Relief Issued After Trial on Contested Involuntary Bankruptcy Petition (“Involuntary Petition Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No. 118].* As noted in more detail in the Court’s *Bench Ruling and Memorandum of Law in Support of: (A) Final Approval of Disclosure Statement; and (B) Confirmation of Chapter 11 Trustee’s Third Amended Joint Plan (“Confirmation Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No 827]* and related filings in the Acis

bankruptcy cases, there has been extensive litigation regarding alleged improper conduct associated with the management of, and transactions relating to, Acis, including transactions with and related to HCLOF. *See, e.g., id.; Second Amended Complaint* [Case No. 18-03078(SGJ), Dkt. No. 157].

3. Due to the Acis bankruptcy and certain conduct alleged to have been undertaken by the Debtor (to whom Acis subcontracted its functions) and Debtor's employees (who were officers, employees, and agents of Acis), the Claimant has suffered significant harm. Such harm includes, but is not limited to, financial harm resulting from, among other things (i) court orders in the Acis bankruptcy that prevented certain CLOs in which HCLOF was invested from being refinanced or reset and court orders that otherwise regulated the activity of HCLOF; and (ii) significant fees and expenses related to the Acis bankruptcy that were charged to HCLOF. *See, e.g., Involuntary Petition Ruling* ¶ 27; *see also Confirmation Ruling*.

4. Claimant hereby files this Claim to assert any and all of its rights to payment, remedies, and other claims (including contingent or unliquidated claims) against the Debtor in connection with and relating to the foregoing harm, including for any amounts due or owed under the various agreements with the Debtor in connection with HCLOF (including, but not limited to, the Subscription and Transfer Agreement for Ordinary Shares Highland CLO Funding, Ltd., dated as of November 15, 2017, the Members Agreement Relating to the Company, dated as of November 15, 2017, the Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. Offering Memorandum dated November 15, 2017), and any and all legal and equitable claims or causes of action relating to the foregoing harm.

5. The Claimant has not attached the documentation supporting this Claim to this Proof of Claim because the documentation is voluminous and the Debtor has copies of such

documents. However, any requested relevant documents will be provided to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, the Court, the United States Trustee and the Debtor in the event of a dispute regarding this Proof of Claim and will be made available for review by other parties in interest as appropriate upon reasonable request and after consultation with the Debtor and execution of appropriate confidentiality agreements.

6. This Proof of Claim is filed with a full reservation of rights, including the right to amend, update, modify, supplement or otherwise revise this Proof of Claim in any respect at any time. The filing of this Proof of Claim is not and should not be construed to be: (a) a waiver or release of any of the Claimant's rights against any person, entity or property accruing to it against the Debtor and its estate; (b) a waiver of the Claimant's rights to assert that **28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(C)** is unconstitutional; (c) a consent or submission by the Claimant, or waiver of the Claimant's rights to object, to the jurisdiction of this Court with respect to the subject matter of any of the claims described herein, or any objection or other proceeding commenced with respect to any of the claims described herein, or any other proceeding commenced in the Debtor's chapter 11 case against or otherwise involving the Claimant; (d) a waiver or release of any right of the Claimant, or consent by the Claimant, to a trial by jury in this or any other court or proceeding; (e) a waiver or release of, or any limitation on, any right of the Claimant to have orders entered only after *de novo* review by a United States District Judge; (f) an election of remedies; or (g) a waiver of, or any other limitation on, any right of the Claimant to request withdrawal of the reference with respect to any matter, including, without limitation, any matter relating to this Proof of Claim.

7. Claimant's express reservation of all rights and causes of action, includes, without limitation, contingent or unliquidated rights that it or its affiliates may have against the Debtor,

as well as defenses, offsets and counterclaims. This description and classification of claims by the Claimant is not a concession or admission as to the correct characterization or treatment of any such claims or a waiver of any rights of the Claimant.

8. Furthermore, the Claimant expressly reserves its rights to (a) file additional proofs of claim for additional claims that may be based on the same or additional documents or facts or other liability or indebtedness of the Debtor to the Claimant under contract or otherwise; (b) assert claims for cure of defaults in any agreement that the Debtor or any trustee appointed in this chapter 11 case may seek to assume; (c) assert any and all other claims, causes of action, defenses, offsets or counterclaims against the Debtor or any other parties; (d) file a request for payment of an administrative expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503 and 507 for any or all of the claims or rights of payment described above and any additional amounts; and (e) seek recovery through any relevant third parties, including any of the Debtor's insurance coverage providers.

9. This Proof of Claim does not encompass all claims that the Claimant or its affiliates may have that arise after the Petition Date and are entitled to administrative priority, and the Claimant expressly reserves its right to file such claim or any similar claim at the appropriate time, including any such post-petition claims arising under these service contracts.

10. This Proof of Claim is filed without prejudice to the filing by the Claimant of additional proofs of claim or requests for payment with respect to any other indebtedness, liability or obligation of the Debtor. The Claimant does not, by this Proof of Claim or any amendment or other action, waive any rights with respect to any scheduled claim.

11. The Claimant reserves the right to withdraw, amend, clarify, modify or supplement this Proof of Claim to assert additional claims, causes of action or additional grounds for this Proof of Claim (including adding any additional contracts, agreements, obligations or

other relationships between the Claimant and the Debtor), as well as the right to file any separate or additional proofs of claim with respect to the claims set forth herein or otherwise, including for the purpose of fixing and liquidating any contingent or unliquidated claim set forth herein, or to file additional proofs of claim in respect of additional amounts or for any other reason.

12. In executing and filing this Proof of Claim, the Claimant does not submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas for any purpose other than with respect to this Proof of Claim against the Debtor, and does not waive or release any rights or remedies against any other person or entity that may be liable for all or part of this Proof of Claim.

13. The Claimant otherwise reserves its rights, and nothing herein shall prejudice the Claimant's rights, under any order of the Court previously entered in this chapter 11 case.

14. Payments on account of this Proof of Claim should be sent to the Claimant at the address specified for notices to the Claimant in Part 1.3 of the Proof of Claim.

\*\*\*

## CLAIM 147

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: Northern District of Texas  
(State)

Case number 19-34054

Official Form 410  
Proof of Claim

04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to **11 U.S.C. § 503**.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. **Do not send original documents**; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. **18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.**

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

Part 1: Identify the Claim

1. Who is the current creditor?	<u>HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P.</u> Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)	
	Other names the creditor used with the debtor _____	
2. Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. From whom? _____	
3. Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	<b>Where should notices to the creditor be sent?</b> <u>HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P.</u> <u>Attn: Erica Weisgerber</u> <u>Debevoise and Plimpton LLP</u> <u>919 Third Avenue</u> <u>New York, NY 10022, U.S.A.</u>  Contact phone <u>2129096000</u> Contact email <u>eweisgerber@debevoise.com</u>	<b>Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)</b> <u>See summary page</u>  Contact phone <u>6173483773</u> Contact email <u>agoren@harbourvest.com</u>
	Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you use one): _____	
4. Does this claim amend one already filed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) _____ Filed on _____ MM / DD / YYYY	
5. Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Who made the earlier filing? _____	





**Part 2: Give Information About the Claim as of the Date the Case Was Filed**

6. Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor: ____
7. How much is the claim? \$ <u>See Annex</u>	Does this amount include interest or other charges? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).
8. What is the basis of the claim?	Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card. Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.  <u>See Annex</u>
9. Is all or part of the claim secured?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property. <b>Nature or property:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Real estate: If the claim is secured by the debtor's principle residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> . <input type="checkbox"/> Motor vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Describe: _____  <b>Basis for perfection:</b> _____ Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)  <b>Value of property:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is secured:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is unsecured:</b> \$ _____ (The sum of the secured and unsecured amount should match the amount in line 7.)  <b>Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:</b> \$ _____  <b>Annual Interest Rate</b> (when case was filed) _____ % <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed <input type="checkbox"/> Variable
10. Is this claim based on a lease?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition. \$ _____
11. Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Identify the property: _____



<b>12. Is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?</b>  A claim may be partly priority and partly nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</div><div style="text-align: right;"><b>Amount entitled to priority</b></div></div> <div style="margin-top: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Check all that apply: <div style="margin-top: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B). \$ _____</div><div style="margin-top: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Up to \$3,025* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7). \$ _____</div><div style="margin-top: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650*) earned within 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4). \$ _____</div><div style="margin-top: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8). \$ _____</div><div style="margin-top: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5). \$ _____</div><div style="margin-top: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)( ) that applies. \$ _____</div></div> <div style="margin-top: 10px; font-size: small;">* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or after the date of adjustment.</div>
<b>13. Is all or part of the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)?</b>	<div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Indicate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods have been sold to the Debtor in the ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supporting such claim.  \$ _____</div>

**Part 3: Sign Below**

**The person completing this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).**

If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.

**A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.**

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ I am the creditor.
- ☒ I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.
- ☐ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004.
- ☐ I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.

I understand that an authorized signature on this *Proof of Claim* serves as an acknowledgement that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.

I have examined the information in this *Proof of Claim* and have reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on date 04/08/2020  
MM / DD / YYYY

/s/Michael Pugatch  
Signature

**Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:**

Name Michael Pugatch  
First name Middle name Last name

Title Managing Director-Company: HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P., by HarbourV

Company Inv Fund Mgr, by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investme  
Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.

Address

Contact phone \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_

For phone assistance: Domestic (877) 573-3984 | International (310) 751-1829

<b>Debtor:</b> 19-34054 - Highland Capital Management, L.P. <b>District:</b> Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division		
<b>Creditor:</b> HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P. Attn: Erica Weisgerber Debevoise and Plimpton LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY, 10022 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 2129096000 <b>Phone 2:</b>  <b>Fax:</b>  <b>Email:</b> eweisgerber@debevoise.com	<b>Has Supporting Documentation:</b> Yes, supporting documentation successfully uploaded <b>Related Document Statement:</b>	
	<b>Has Related Claim:</b> No <b>Related Claim Filed By:</b>	
	<b>Filing Party:</b> Authorized agent	
<b>Disbursement/Notice Parties:</b> HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P. c/o HarbourVest Partners, LLC One Financial Center Boston, MA, 02111 <b>Phone:</b> 6173483773 <b>Phone 2:</b>  <b>Fax:</b>  <b>E-mail:</b> agoren@harbourvest.com <b>DISBURSEMENT ADDRESS</b>		
<b>Other Names Used with Debtor:</b>	<b>Amends Claim:</b> No <b>Acquired Claim:</b> No	
<b>Basis of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Last 4 Digits:</b> No	<b>Uniform Claim Identifier:</b>
<b>Total Amount of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Includes Interest or Charges:</b> None	
<b>Has Priority Claim:</b> No	<b>Priority Under:</b>	
<b>Has Secured Claim:</b> No <b>Amount of 503(b)(9):</b> No <b>Based on Lease:</b> No <b>Subject to Right of Setoff:</b> No	<b>Nature of Secured Amount:</b> <b>Value of Property:</b> <b>Annual Interest Rate:</b> <b>Arrearage Amount:</b> <b>Basis for Perfection:</b> <b>Amount Unsecured:</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b> Michael Pugatch on 08-Apr-2020 4:49:59 p.m. Eastern Time <b>Title:</b> Managing Director-Company: HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P., by HarbourVest Partners Ireland Limited, its Alternative <b>Company:</b> Inv Fund Mgr, by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investment Manager, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its Gen Ptr		

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:

Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-34054 (SGJ)

**ANNEX TO PROOF OF CLAIM**

1. This annex (the “Annex”) is part of and is incorporated by reference into the attached proof of claim (together with the Annex, the “Proof of Claim”) and describes in more detail the claims of HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P. (the “Claimant”) against the debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”).

2. The Claimant is a limited partner in one of the Debtor’s managed vehicles, Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. (“HCLOF”). Acis Capital Management GP, L.L.C. and Acis Capital Management L.P. (together, “Acis”), the portfolio manager for HCLOF, filed for chapter 11 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Court”) on January 30, 2018. The Acis bankruptcy filing resulted from a dispute between Debtor and its former employee, Joshua Terry, who served as portfolio manager for Debtor’s collateral loan obligations funds (“CLO”) business. *See, e.g., Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Orders for Relief Issued After Trial on Contested Involuntary Bankruptcy Petition (“Involuntary Petition Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No. 118].* As noted in more detail in the Court’s *Bench Ruling and Memorandum of Law in Support of: (A) Final Approval of Disclosure Statement; and (B) Confirmation of Chapter 11 Trustee’s Third Amended Joint Plan (“Confirmation Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No 827]* and related filings in the Acis

bankruptcy cases, there has been extensive litigation regarding alleged improper conduct associated with the management of, and transactions relating to, Acis, including transactions with and related to HCLOF. *See, e.g., id.; Second Amended Complaint* [Case No. 18-03078(SGJ), Dkt. No. 157].

3. Due to the Acis bankruptcy and certain conduct alleged to have been undertaken by the Debtor (to whom Acis subcontracted its functions) and Debtor's employees (who were officers, employees, and agents of Acis), the Claimant has suffered significant harm. Such harm includes, but is not limited to, financial harm resulting from, among other things (i) court orders in the Acis bankruptcy that prevented certain CLOs in which HCLOF was invested from being refinanced or reset and court orders that otherwise regulated the activity of HCLOF; and (ii) significant fees and expenses related to the Acis bankruptcy that were charged to HCLOF. *See, e.g., Involuntary Petition Ruling* ¶ 27; *see also Confirmation Ruling*.

4. Claimant hereby files this Claim to assert any and all of its rights to payment, remedies, and other claims (including contingent or unliquidated claims) against the Debtor in connection with and relating to the foregoing harm, including for any amounts due or owed under the various agreements with the Debtor in connection with HCLOF (including, but not limited to, the Subscription and Transfer Agreement for Ordinary Shares Highland CLO Funding, Ltd., dated as of November 15, 2017, the Members Agreement Relating to the Company, dated as of November 15, 2017, the Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. Offering Memorandum dated November 15, 2017), and any and all legal and equitable claims or causes of action relating to the foregoing harm.

5. The Claimant has not attached the documentation supporting this Claim to this Proof of Claim because the documentation is voluminous and the Debtor has copies of such

documents. However, any requested relevant documents will be provided to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, the Court, the United States Trustee and the Debtor in the event of a dispute regarding this Proof of Claim and will be made available for review by other parties in interest as appropriate upon reasonable request and after consultation with the Debtor and execution of appropriate confidentiality agreements.

6. This Proof of Claim is filed with a full reservation of rights, including the right to amend, update, modify, supplement or otherwise revise this Proof of Claim in any respect at any time. The filing of this Proof of Claim is not and should not be construed to be: (a) a waiver or release of any of the Claimant's rights against any person, entity or property accruing to it against the Debtor and its estate; (b) a waiver of the Claimant's rights to assert that **28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(C)** is unconstitutional; (c) a consent or submission by the Claimant, or waiver of the Claimant's rights to object, to the jurisdiction of this Court with respect to the subject matter of any of the claims described herein, or any objection or other proceeding commenced with respect to any of the claims described herein, or any other proceeding commenced in the Debtor's chapter 11 case against or otherwise involving the Claimant; (d) a waiver or release of any right of the Claimant, or consent by the Claimant, to a trial by jury in this or any other court or proceeding; (e) a waiver or release of, or any limitation on, any right of the Claimant to have orders entered only after *de novo* review by a United States District Judge; (f) an election of remedies; or (g) a waiver of, or any other limitation on, any right of the Claimant to request withdrawal of the reference with respect to any matter, including, without limitation, any matter relating to this Proof of Claim.

7. Claimant's express reservation of all rights and causes of action, includes, without limitation, contingent or unliquidated rights that it or its affiliates may have against the Debtor,

as well as defenses, offsets and counterclaims. This description and classification of claims by the Claimant is not a concession or admission as to the correct characterization or treatment of any such claims or a waiver of any rights of the Claimant.

8. Furthermore, the Claimant expressly reserves its rights to (a) file additional proofs of claim for additional claims that may be based on the same or additional documents or facts or other liability or indebtedness of the Debtor to the Claimant under contract or otherwise; (b) assert claims for cure of defaults in any agreement that the Debtor or any trustee appointed in this chapter 11 case may seek to assume; (c) assert any and all other claims, causes of action, defenses, offsets or counterclaims against the Debtor or any other parties; (d) file a request for payment of an administrative expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503 and 507 for any or all of the claims or rights of payment described above and any additional amounts; and (e) seek recovery through any relevant third parties, including any of the Debtor's insurance coverage providers.

9. This Proof of Claim does not encompass all claims that the Claimant or its affiliates may have that arise after the Petition Date and are entitled to administrative priority, and the Claimant expressly reserves its right to file such claim or any similar claim at the appropriate time, including any such post-petition claims arising under these service contracts.

10. This Proof of Claim is filed without prejudice to the filing by the Claimant of additional proofs of claim or requests for payment with respect to any other indebtedness, liability or obligation of the Debtor. The Claimant does not, by this Proof of Claim or any amendment or other action, waive any rights with respect to any scheduled claim.

11. The Claimant reserves the right to withdraw, amend, clarify, modify or supplement this Proof of Claim to assert additional claims, causes of action or additional grounds for this Proof of Claim (including adding any additional contracts, agreements, obligations or



other relationships between the Claimant and the Debtor), as well as the right to file any separate or additional proofs of claim with respect to the claims set forth herein or otherwise, including for the purpose of fixing and liquidating any contingent or unliquidated claim set forth herein, or to file additional proofs of claim in respect of additional amounts or for any other reason.

12. In executing and filing this Proof of Claim, the Claimant does not submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas for any purpose other than with respect to this Proof of Claim against the Debtor, and does not waive or release any rights or remedies against any other person or entity that may be liable for all or part of this Proof of Claim.

13. The Claimant otherwise reserves its rights, and nothing herein shall prejudice the Claimant's rights, under any order of the Court previously entered in this chapter 11 case.

14. Payments on account of this Proof of Claim should be sent to the Claimant at the address specified for notices to the Claimant in Part 1.3 of the Proof of Claim.

\*\*\*

## CLAIM 150

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: Northern District of Texas  
(State)

Case number 19-34054

## Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to **11 U.S.C. § 503**.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. **Do not send original documents**; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. **18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.**

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

### Part 1: Identify the Claim

1. Who is the current creditor?	<u>HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P.</u> Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)	
	Other names the creditor used with the debtor _____	
2. Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. From whom? _____	
3. Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	<b>Where should notices to the creditor be sent?</b> See summary page	<b>Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)</b> See summary page
<b>Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)</b>		
	Contact phone <u>2129096000</u> Contact email <u>eweisgerber@debevoise.com</u>	Contact phone <u>6173483773</u> Contact email <u>agoren@harbourvest.com</u>
Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you use one): _____		
4. Does this claim amend one already filed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) _____ Filed on _____ MM / DD / YYYY	
5. Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Who made the earlier filing? _____	



**Part 2: Give Information About the Claim as of the Date the Case Was Filed**

6. Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor: ____
7. How much is the claim? \$ <u>See Annex</u>	Does this amount include interest or other charges? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).
8. What is the basis of the claim?	Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card. Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.  <u>See Annex</u>
9. Is all or part of the claim secured?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property. <b>Nature or property:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Real estate: If the claim is secured by the debtor's principle residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> . <input type="checkbox"/> Motor vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Describe: _____  <b>Basis for perfection:</b> _____ Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)  <b>Value of property:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is secured:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is unsecured:</b> \$ _____ (The sum of the secured and unsecured amount should match the amount in line 7.)  <b>Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:</b> \$ _____  <b>Annual Interest Rate</b> (when case was filed) _____ % <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed <input type="checkbox"/> Variable
10. Is this claim based on a lease?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition. \$ _____
11. Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Identify the property: _____



<b>12. Is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?</b>  A claim may be partly priority and partly nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<b>Amount entitled to priority</b>  \$ _____  \$ _____  \$ _____  \$ _____  \$ _____  \$ _____
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Check all that apply:	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Up to \$3,025* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650*) earned within 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)( ) that applies.	\$ _____	
* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or after the date of adjustment.		
<b>13. Is all or part of the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Indicate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods have been sold to the Debtor in the ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supporting such claim.	
	\$ _____	

**Part 3: Sign Below**

The person completing this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).

If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ I am the creditor.
- ☒ I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.
- ☐ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004.
- ☐ I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.

I understand that an authorized signature on this *Proof of Claim* serves as an acknowledgement that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.

I have examined the information in this *Proof of Claim* and have reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on date 04/08/2020  
MM / DD / YYYY

/s/Michael Pugatch  
Signature

**Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:**

Name Michael Pugatch  
First name Middle name Last name

Title Managing Director-Company: HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P.,

Company Inv Fund Mgr, by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investme  
Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.

Address

Contact phone \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_

For phone assistance: Domestic (877) 573-3984 | International (310) 751-1829

<b>Debtor:</b> 19-34054 - Highland Capital Management, L.P. <b>District:</b> Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division		
<b>Creditor:</b> HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P. Attn: Erica Weisgerber Debevoise and Plimpton LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY, 10022 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 2129096000 <b>Phone 2:</b>  <b>Fax:</b>  <b>Email:</b> eweisgerber@debevoise.com	<b>Has Supporting Documentation:</b> Yes, supporting documentation successfully uploaded <b>Related Document Statement:</b>	
	<b>Has Related Claim:</b> No <b>Related Claim Filed By:</b>	
	<b>Filing Party:</b> Authorized agent	
<b>Disbursement/Notice Parties:</b> HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P. c/o HarbourVest Partners, LLC One Financial Center Boston, MA, 02111 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 6173483773 <b>Phone 2:</b>  <b>Fax:</b>  <b>E-mail:</b> agoren@harbourvest.com <b>DISBURSEMENT ADDRESS</b>		
<b>Other Names Used with Debtor:</b>	<b>Amends Claim:</b> No <b>Acquired Claim:</b> No	
<b>Basis of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Last 4 Digits:</b> No	<b>Uniform Claim Identifier:</b>
<b>Total Amount of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Includes Interest or Charges:</b> None	
<b>Has Priority Claim:</b> No	<b>Priority Under:</b>	
<b>Has Secured Claim:</b> No <b>Amount of 503(b)(9):</b> No <b>Based on Lease:</b> No <b>Subject to Right of Setoff:</b> No	<b>Nature of Secured Amount:</b> <b>Value of Property:</b> <b>Annual Interest Rate:</b> <b>Arrearage Amount:</b> <b>Basis for Perfection:</b> <b>Amount Unsecured:</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b> Michael Pugatch on 08-Apr-2020 4:59:00 p.m. Eastern Time <b>Title:</b> Managing Director-Company: HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P., by HarbourVest Partners Ireland Limited, its Alter <b>Company:</b> Inv Fund Mgr, by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investment Manager, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its Gen Ptr		

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:

Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-34054 (SGJ)

**ANNEX TO PROOF OF CLAIM**

1. This annex (the “Annex”) is part of and is incorporated by reference into the attached proof of claim (together with the Annex, the “Proof of Claim”) and describes in more detail the claims of HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P. (the “Claimant”) against the debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”).

2. The Claimant is a limited partner in one of the Debtor’s managed vehicles, Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. (“HCLOF”). Acis Capital Management GP, L.L.C. and Acis Capital Management L.P. (together, “Acis”), the portfolio manager for HCLOF, filed for chapter 11 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Court”) on January 30, 2018. The Acis bankruptcy filing resulted from a dispute between Debtor and its former employee, Joshua Terry, who served as portfolio manager for Debtor’s collateral loan obligations funds (“CLO”) business. *See, e.g., Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Orders for Relief Issued After Trial on Contested Involuntary Bankruptcy Petition (“Involuntary Petition Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No. 118].* As noted in more detail in the Court’s *Bench Ruling and Memorandum of Law in Support of: (A) Final Approval of Disclosure Statement; and (B) Confirmation of Chapter 11 Trustee’s Third Amended Joint Plan (“Confirmation Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No 827]* and related filings in the Acis



bankruptcy cases, there has been extensive litigation regarding alleged improper conduct associated with the management of, and transactions relating to, Acis, including transactions with and related to HCLOF. *See, e.g., id.; Second Amended Complaint* [Case No. 18-03078(SGJ), Dkt. No. 157].

3. Due to the Acis bankruptcy and certain conduct alleged to have been undertaken by the Debtor (to whom Acis subcontracted its functions) and Debtor's employees (who were officers, employees, and agents of Acis), the Claimant has suffered significant harm. Such harm includes, but is not limited to, financial harm resulting from, among other things (i) court orders in the Acis bankruptcy that prevented certain CLOs in which HCLOF was invested from being refinanced or reset and court orders that otherwise regulated the activity of HCLOF; and (ii) significant fees and expenses related to the Acis bankruptcy that were charged to HCLOF. *See, e.g., Involuntary Petition Ruling* ¶ 27; *see also Confirmation Ruling*.

4. Claimant hereby files this Claim to assert any and all of its rights to payment, remedies, and other claims (including contingent or unliquidated claims) against the Debtor in connection with and relating to the foregoing harm, including for any amounts due or owed under the various agreements with the Debtor in connection with HCLOF (including, but not limited to, the Subscription and Transfer Agreement for Ordinary Shares Highland CLO Funding, Ltd., dated as of November 15, 2017, the Members Agreement Relating to the Company, dated as of November 15, 2017, the Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. Offering Memorandum dated November 15, 2017), and any and all legal and equitable claims or causes of action relating to the foregoing harm.

5. The Claimant has not attached the documentation supporting this Claim to this Proof of Claim because the documentation is voluminous and the Debtor has copies of such

documents. However, any requested relevant documents will be provided to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, the Court, the United States Trustee and the Debtor in the event of a dispute regarding this Proof of Claim and will be made available for review by other parties in interest as appropriate upon reasonable request and after consultation with the Debtor and execution of appropriate confidentiality agreements.

6. This Proof of Claim is filed with a full reservation of rights, including the right to amend, update, modify, supplement or otherwise revise this Proof of Claim in any respect at any time. The filing of this Proof of Claim is not and should not be construed to be: (a) a waiver or release of any of the Claimant's rights against any person, entity or property accruing to it against the Debtor and its estate; (b) a waiver of the Claimant's rights to assert that **28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(C)** is unconstitutional; (c) a consent or submission by the Claimant, or waiver of the Claimant's rights to object, to the jurisdiction of this Court with respect to the subject matter of any of the claims described herein, or any objection or other proceeding commenced with respect to any of the claims described herein, or any other proceeding commenced in the Debtor's chapter 11 case against or otherwise involving the Claimant; (d) a waiver or release of any right of the Claimant, or consent by the Claimant, to a trial by jury in this or any other court or proceeding; (e) a waiver or release of, or any limitation on, any right of the Claimant to have orders entered only after *de novo* review by a United States District Judge; (f) an election of remedies; or (g) a waiver of, or any other limitation on, any right of the Claimant to request withdrawal of the reference with respect to any matter, including, without limitation, any matter relating to this Proof of Claim.

7. Claimant's express reservation of all rights and causes of action, includes, without limitation, contingent or unliquidated rights that it or its affiliates may have against the Debtor,

as well as defenses, offsets and counterclaims. This description and classification of claims by the Claimant is not a concession or admission as to the correct characterization or treatment of any such claims or a waiver of any rights of the Claimant.

8. Furthermore, the Claimant expressly reserves its rights to (a) file additional proofs of claim for additional claims that may be based on the same or additional documents or facts or other liability or indebtedness of the Debtor to the Claimant under contract or otherwise; (b) assert claims for cure of defaults in any agreement that the Debtor or any trustee appointed in this chapter 11 case may seek to assume; (c) assert any and all other claims, causes of action, defenses, offsets or counterclaims against the Debtor or any other parties; (d) file a request for payment of an administrative expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503 and 507 for any or all of the claims or rights of payment described above and any additional amounts; and (e) seek recovery through any relevant third parties, including any of the Debtor's insurance coverage providers.

9. This Proof of Claim does not encompass all claims that the Claimant or its affiliates may have that arise after the Petition Date and are entitled to administrative priority, and the Claimant expressly reserves its right to file such claim or any similar claim at the appropriate time, including any such post-petition claims arising under these service contracts.

10. This Proof of Claim is filed without prejudice to the filing by the Claimant of additional proofs of claim or requests for payment with respect to any other indebtedness, liability or obligation of the Debtor. The Claimant does not, by this Proof of Claim or any amendment or other action, waive any rights with respect to any scheduled claim.

11. The Claimant reserves the right to withdraw, amend, clarify, modify or supplement this Proof of Claim to assert additional claims, causes of action or additional grounds for this Proof of Claim (including adding any additional contracts, agreements, obligations or

other relationships between the Claimant and the Debtor), as well as the right to file any separate or additional proofs of claim with respect to the claims set forth herein or otherwise, including for the purpose of fixing and liquidating any contingent or unliquidated claim set forth herein, or to file additional proofs of claim in respect of additional amounts or for any other reason.

12. In executing and filing this Proof of Claim, the Claimant does not submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas for any purpose other than with respect to this Proof of Claim against the Debtor, and does not waive or release any rights or remedies against any other person or entity that may be liable for all or part of this Proof of Claim.

13. The Claimant otherwise reserves its rights, and nothing herein shall prejudice the Claimant's rights, under any order of the Court previously entered in this chapter 11 case.

14. Payments on account of this Proof of Claim should be sent to the Claimant at the address specified for notices to the Claimant in Part 1.3 of the Proof of Claim.

\*\*\*

## CLAIM 153

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: Northern District of Texas  
(State)

Case number 19-34054

## Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to **11 U.S.C. § 503**.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. **Do not send original documents**; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. **18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.**

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

### Part 1: Identify the Claim

1. Who is the current creditor?	<u>HV International VIII Secondary L.P.</u> Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)	
	Other names the creditor used with the debtor _____	
2. Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. From whom? _____	
3. Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	<b>Where should notices to the creditor be sent?</b>  <u>HV International VIII Secondary L.P.</u> <u>Attn: Erica Weisgerber</u> <u>Debevoise and Plimpton LLP</u> <u>919 Third Avenue</u> <u>New York, NY 10022, U.S.A.</u>  Contact phone <u>2129096000</u> Contact email <u>eweisgerber@debevoise.com</u>	<b>Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)</b>  <u>See summary page</u>  Contact phone <u>6173483773</u> Contact email <u>agoren@harbourvest.com</u>
	Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you use one): _____	
4. Does this claim amend one already filed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) _____ Filed on _____ MM / DD / YYYY	
5. Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Who made the earlier filing? _____	



**Part 2: Give Information About the Claim as of the Date the Case Was Filed**

6. Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor: ____
7. How much is the claim? \$ <u>See Annex</u>	Does this amount include interest or other charges? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).
8. What is the basis of the claim?	Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card. Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.  <u>See Annex</u>
9. Is all or part of the claim secured?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property. <b>Nature or property:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Real estate: If the claim is secured by the debtor's principle residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> . <input type="checkbox"/> Motor vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Describe: _____  <b>Basis for perfection:</b> _____ Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)  <b>Value of property:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is secured:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is unsecured:</b> \$ _____ (The sum of the secured and unsecured amount should match the amount in line 7.)  <b>Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:</b> \$ _____  <b>Annual Interest Rate</b> (when case was filed) _____ % <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed <input type="checkbox"/> Variable
10. Is this claim based on a lease?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition. \$ _____
11. Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Identify the property: _____





12. Is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?

☒ No

☐ Yes. Check all that apply:

	Amount entitled to priority
<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Up to \$3,025* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650* earned within 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)( ) that applies.	\$ _____

\* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or after the date of adjustment.

13. Is all or part of the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)?

☒ No

☐ Yes. Indicate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods have been sold to the Debtor in the ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supporting such claim.

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

**Part 3: Sign Below**

The person completing this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).

If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ I am the creditor.
- ☒ I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.
- ☐ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004.
- ☐ I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.

I understand that an authorized signature on this *Proof of Claim* serves as an acknowledgement that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.

I have examined the information in this *Proof of Claim* and have reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on date 04/08/2020  
MM / DD / YYYY

/s/Michael Pugatch  
Signature

Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:

Name Michael Pugatch  
First name Middle name Last name

Title Managing Director-Company: HV International VIII Secondary L.P., by HII

Company by HarbourVest GP LLC, its General Partner, by HarbourVest Partners, LL  
Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.

Address

Contact phone \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_

For phone assistance: Domestic (877) 573-3984 | International (310) 751-1829

<b>Debtor:</b> 19-34054 - Highland Capital Management, L.P. <b>District:</b> Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division		
<b>Creditor:</b> HV International VIII Secondary L.P. Attn: Erica Weisgerber Debevoise and Plimpton LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY, 10022 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 2129096000 <b>Phone 2:</b>  <b>Fax:</b>  <b>Email:</b> eweisgerber@debevoise.com	<b>Has Supporting Documentation:</b> Yes, supporting documentation successfully uploaded <b>Related Document Statement:</b>	
	<b>Has Related Claim:</b> No <b>Related Claim Filed By:</b>	
	<b>Filing Party:</b> Authorized agent	
<b>Disbursement/Notice Parties:</b> HV International VIII Secondary L.P. c/o HarbourVest Partners, LLC One Financial Center Boston, MA, 02111 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 6173483773 <b>Phone 2:</b>  <b>Fax:</b>  <b>E-mail:</b> agoren@harbourvest.com <b>DISBURSEMENT ADDRESS</b>		
<b>Other Names Used with Debtor:</b>	<b>Amends Claim:</b> No <b>Acquired Claim:</b> No	
<b>Basis of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Last 4 Digits:</b> No	<b>Uniform Claim Identifier:</b>
<b>Total Amount of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Includes Interest or Charges:</b> None	
<b>Has Priority Claim:</b> No	<b>Priority Under:</b>	
<b>Has Secured Claim:</b> No <b>Amount of 503(b)(9):</b> No <b>Based on Lease:</b> No <b>Subject to Right of Setoff:</b> No	<b>Nature of Secured Amount:</b> <b>Value of Property:</b> <b>Annual Interest Rate:</b> <b>Arrearage Amount:</b> <b>Basis for Perfection:</b> <b>Amount Unsecured:</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b> Michael Pugatch on 08-Apr-2020 5:16:54 p.m. Eastern Time <b>Title:</b> Managing Director-Company: HV International VIII Secondary L.P., by HIPEP VIII Associates L.P., its General Partner, <b>Company:</b> by HarbourVest GP LLC, its General Partner, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its Managing Member		

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:

Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-34054 (SGJ)

**ANNEX TO PROOF OF CLAIM**

1. This annex (the “Annex”) is part of and is incorporated by reference into the attached proof of claim (together with the Annex, the “Proof of Claim”) and describes in more detail the claims of HV International VIII Secondary L.P. (the “Claimant”) against the debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”).

2. The Claimant is a limited partner in one of the Debtor’s managed vehicles, Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. (“HCLOF”). Acis Capital Management GP, L.L.C. and Acis Capital Management L.P. (together, “Acis”), the portfolio manager for HCLOF, filed for chapter 11 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Court”) on January 30, 2018. The Acis bankruptcy filing resulted from a dispute between Debtor and its former employee, Joshua Terry, who served as portfolio manager for Debtor’s collateral loan obligations funds (“CLO”) business. *See, e.g., Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Orders for Relief Issued After Trial on Contested Involuntary Bankruptcy Petition (“Involuntary Petition Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No. 118].* As noted in more detail in the Court’s *Bench Ruling and Memorandum of Law in Support of: (A) Final Approval of Disclosure Statement; and (B) Confirmation of Chapter 11 Trustee’s Third Amended Joint Plan (“Confirmation Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No 827]* and related filings in the Acis

bankruptcy cases, there has been extensive litigation regarding alleged improper conduct associated with the management of, and transactions relating to, Acis, including transactions with and related to HCLOF. *See, e.g., id.; Second Amended Complaint* [Case No. 18-03078(SGJ), Dkt. No. 157].

3. Due to the Acis bankruptcy and certain conduct alleged to have been undertaken by the Debtor (to whom Acis subcontracted its functions) and Debtor's employees (who were officers, employees, and agents of Acis), the Claimant has suffered significant harm. Such harm includes, but is not limited to, financial harm resulting from, among other things (i) court orders in the Acis bankruptcy that prevented certain CLOs in which HCLOF was invested from being refinanced or reset and court orders that otherwise regulated the activity of HCLOF; and (ii) significant fees and expenses related to the Acis bankruptcy that were charged to HCLOF. *See, e.g., Involuntary Petition Ruling* ¶ 27; *see also Confirmation Ruling*.

4. Claimant hereby files this Claim to assert any and all of its rights to payment, remedies, and other claims (including contingent or unliquidated claims) against the Debtor in connection with and relating to the foregoing harm, including for any amounts due or owed under the various agreements with the Debtor in connection with HCLOF (including, but not limited to, the Subscription and Transfer Agreement for Ordinary Shares Highland CLO Funding, Ltd., dated as of November 15, 2017, the Members Agreement Relating to the Company, dated as of November 15, 2017, the Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. Offering Memorandum dated November 15, 2017), and any and all legal and equitable claims or causes of action relating to the foregoing harm.

5. The Claimant has not attached the documentation supporting this Claim to this Proof of Claim because the documentation is voluminous and the Debtor has copies of such

documents. However, any requested relevant documents will be provided to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, the Court, the United States Trustee and the Debtor in the event of a dispute regarding this Proof of Claim and will be made available for review by other parties in interest as appropriate upon reasonable request and after consultation with the Debtor and execution of appropriate confidentiality agreements.

6. This Proof of Claim is filed with a full reservation of rights, including the right to amend, update, modify, supplement or otherwise revise this Proof of Claim in any respect at any time. The filing of this Proof of Claim is not and should not be construed to be: (a) a waiver or release of any of the Claimant's rights against any person, entity or property accruing to it against the Debtor and its estate; (b) a waiver of the Claimant's rights to assert that **28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(C)** is unconstitutional; (c) a consent or submission by the Claimant, or waiver of the Claimant's rights to object, to the jurisdiction of this Court with respect to the subject matter of any of the claims described herein, or any objection or other proceeding commenced with respect to any of the claims described herein, or any other proceeding commenced in the Debtor's chapter 11 case against or otherwise involving the Claimant; (d) a waiver or release of any right of the Claimant, or consent by the Claimant, to a trial by jury in this or any other court or proceeding; (e) a waiver or release of, or any limitation on, any right of the Claimant to have orders entered only after *de novo* review by a United States District Judge; (f) an election of remedies; or (g) a waiver of, or any other limitation on, any right of the Claimant to request withdrawal of the reference with respect to any matter, including, without limitation, any matter relating to this Proof of Claim.

7. Claimant's express reservation of all rights and causes of action, includes, without limitation, contingent or unliquidated rights that it or its affiliates may have against the Debtor,

as well as defenses, offsets and counterclaims. This description and classification of claims by the Claimant is not a concession or admission as to the correct characterization or treatment of any such claims or a waiver of any rights of the Claimant.

8. Furthermore, the Claimant expressly reserves its rights to (a) file additional proofs of claim for additional claims that may be based on the same or additional documents or facts or other liability or indebtedness of the Debtor to the Claimant under contract or otherwise; (b) assert claims for cure of defaults in any agreement that the Debtor or any trustee appointed in this chapter 11 case may seek to assume; (c) assert any and all other claims, causes of action, defenses, offsets or counterclaims against the Debtor or any other parties; (d) file a request for payment of an administrative expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503 and 507 for any or all of the claims or rights of payment described above and any additional amounts; and (e) seek recovery through any relevant third parties, including any of the Debtor's insurance coverage providers.

9. This Proof of Claim does not encompass all claims that the Claimant or its affiliates may have that arise after the Petition Date and are entitled to administrative priority, and the Claimant expressly reserves its right to file such claim or any similar claim at the appropriate time, including any such post-petition claims arising under these service contracts.

10. This Proof of Claim is filed without prejudice to the filing by the Claimant of additional proofs of claim or requests for payment with respect to any other indebtedness, liability or obligation of the Debtor. The Claimant does not, by this Proof of Claim or any amendment or other action, waive any rights with respect to any scheduled claim.

11. The Claimant reserves the right to withdraw, amend, clarify, modify or supplement this Proof of Claim to assert additional claims, causes of action or additional grounds for this Proof of Claim (including adding any additional contracts, agreements, obligations or

other relationships between the Claimant and the Debtor), as well as the right to file any separate or additional proofs of claim with respect to the claims set forth herein or otherwise, including for the purpose of fixing and liquidating any contingent or unliquidated claim set forth herein, or to file additional proofs of claim in respect of additional amounts or for any other reason.

12. In executing and filing this Proof of Claim, the Claimant does not submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas for any purpose other than with respect to this Proof of Claim against the Debtor, and does not waive or release any rights or remedies against any other person or entity that may be liable for all or part of this Proof of Claim.

13. The Claimant otherwise reserves its rights, and nothing herein shall prejudice the Claimant's rights, under any order of the Court previously entered in this chapter 11 case.

14. Payments on account of this Proof of Claim should be sent to the Claimant at the address specified for notices to the Claimant in Part 1.3 of the Proof of Claim.

\*\*\*

## CLAIM 154



Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: Northern District of Texas  
(State)

Case number 19-34054

## Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to **11 U.S.C. § 503**.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. **Do not send original documents**; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. **18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.**

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

### Part 1: Identify the Claim

1. Who is the current creditor?	<u>HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P.</u> Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)	
	Other names the creditor used with the debtor _____	
2. Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. From whom? _____	
3. Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	<b>Where should notices to the creditor be sent?</b> <u>HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P.</u> <u>Attn: Erica Weisgerber</u> <u>Debevoise and Plimpton LLP</u> <u>919 Third Avenue</u> <u>New York, NY 10022, U.S.A.</u>  Contact phone <u>2129096000</u> Contact email <u>eweisgerber@debevoise.com</u>	<b>Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)</b> <u>See summary page</u>  Contact phone <u>6173483773</u> Contact email <u>agoren@harbourvest.com</u>  Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you use one): _____
4. Does this claim amend one already filed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) _____ Filed on _____ MM / DD / YYYY	
5. Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Who made the earlier filing? _____	



**Part 2: Give Information About the Claim as of the Date the Case Was Filed**

6. Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor: ____
7. How much is the claim? \$ <u>See Annex</u>	Does this amount include interest or other charges? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).
8. What is the basis of the claim?	Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card. Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.  <u>See Annex</u>
9. Is all or part of the claim secured?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property. <b>Nature or property:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Real estate: If the claim is secured by the debtor's principle residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> . <input type="checkbox"/> Motor vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Describe: _____  <b>Basis for perfection:</b> _____ Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)  <b>Value of property:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is secured:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is unsecured:</b> \$ _____ (The sum of the secured and unsecured amount should match the amount in line 7.)  <b>Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:</b> \$ _____  <b>Annual Interest Rate</b> (when case was filed) _____ % <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed <input type="checkbox"/> Variable
10. Is this claim based on a lease?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition. \$ _____
11. Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Identify the property: _____



12. Is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?

☒ No

☐ Yes. Check all that apply:

Amount entitled to priority

A claim may be partly priority and partly nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.

☐ Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B). \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Up to \$3,025\* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7). \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650\*) earned within 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4). \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8). \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5). \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)( ) that applies. \$ \_\_\_\_\_

\* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or after the date of adjustment.

13. Is all or part of the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)?

☒ No

☐ Yes. Indicate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods have been sold to the Debtor in the ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supporting such claim.

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

Part 3: Sign Below

The person completing this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).

If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ I am the creditor.
- ☒ I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.
- ☐ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004.
- ☐ I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.

I understand that an authorized signature on this *Proof of Claim* serves as an acknowledgement that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.

I have examined the information in this *Proof of Claim* and have reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on date 04/08/2020  
MM / DD / YYYY

/s/Michael Pugatch  
Signature

Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:

Name Michael Pugatch  
First name Middle name Last name

Title Managing Director-Company: HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P., by HarbourVest

Company Fund Manager, by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investme  
Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.

Address

Contact phone \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_

For phone assistance: Domestic (877) 573-3984 | International (310) 751-1829

<b>Debtor:</b> 19-34054 - Highland Capital Management, L.P. <b>District:</b> Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division		
<b>Creditor:</b> HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P. Attn: Erica Weisgerber Debevoise and Plimpton LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY, 10022 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 2129096000 <b>Phone 2:</b>  <b>Fax:</b>  <b>Email:</b> eweisgerber@debevoise.com	<b>Has Supporting Documentation:</b> Yes, supporting documentation successfully uploaded <b>Related Document Statement:</b>	
	<b>Has Related Claim:</b> No <b>Related Claim Filed By:</b>	
	<b>Filing Party:</b> Authorized agent	
<b>Disbursement/Notice Parties:</b> HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P. c/o HarbourVest Partners, LLC One Financial Center Boston, MA, 02111 <b>Phone:</b> 6173483773 <b>Phone 2:</b>  <b>Fax:</b>  <b>E-mail:</b> agoren@harbourvest.com <b>DISBURSEMENT ADDRESS</b>		
<b>Other Names Used with Debtor:</b>	<b>Amends Claim:</b> No <b>Acquired Claim:</b> No	
<b>Basis of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Last 4 Digits:</b> No	<b>Uniform Claim Identifier:</b>
<b>Total Amount of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Includes Interest or Charges:</b> None	
<b>Has Priority Claim:</b> No	<b>Priority Under:</b>	
<b>Has Secured Claim:</b> No <b>Amount of 503(b)(9):</b> No <b>Based on Lease:</b> No <b>Subject to Right of Setoff:</b> No	<b>Nature of Secured Amount:</b> <b>Value of Property:</b>  <b>Annual Interest Rate:</b>  <b>Arrearage Amount:</b>  <b>Basis for Perfection:</b>  <b>Amount Unsecured:</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b> Michael Pugatch on 08-Apr-2020 5:11:50 p.m. Eastern Time <b>Title:</b> Managing Director-Company: HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P., by HarbourVest Partners Ireland Limited, its Alternative Inv <b>Company:</b> Fund Manager, by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investment Manager, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its Gen Ptr		

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:

Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-34054 (SGJ)

**ANNEX TO PROOF OF CLAIM**

1. This annex (the “Annex”) is part of and is incorporated by reference into the attached proof of claim (together with the Annex, the “Proof of Claim”) and describes in more detail the claims of HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P. (the “Claimant”) against the debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”).

2. The Claimant is a limited partner in one of the Debtor’s managed vehicles, Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. (“HCLOF”). Acis Capital Management GP, L.L.C. and Acis Capital Management L.P. (together, “Acis”), the portfolio manager for HCLOF, filed for chapter 11 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Court”) on January 30, 2018. The Acis bankruptcy filing resulted from a dispute between Debtor and its former employee, Joshua Terry, who served as portfolio manager for Debtor’s collateral loan obligations funds (“CLO”) business. *See, e.g., Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Orders for Relief Issued After Trial on Contested Involuntary Bankruptcy Petition* (“Involuntary Petition Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No. 118]. As noted in more detail in the Court’s *Bench Ruling and Memorandum of Law in Support of: (A) Final Approval of Disclosure Statement; and (B) Confirmation of Chapter 11 Trustee’s Third Amended Joint Plan* (“Confirmation Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No 827] and related filings

in the Acis bankruptcy cases, there has been extensive litigation regarding alleged improper conduct associated with the management of, and transactions relating to, Acis, including transactions with and related to HCLOF. *See, e.g., id.; Second Amended Complaint* [Case No. 18-03078(SGJ), Dkt. No. 157].

3. Due to the Acis bankruptcy and certain conduct alleged to have been undertaken by the Debtor (to whom Acis subcontracted its functions) and Debtor's employees (who were officers, employees, and agents of Acis), the Claimant has suffered significant harm. Such harm includes, but is not limited to, financial harm resulting from, among other things (i) court orders in the Acis bankruptcy that prevented certain CLOs in which HCLOF was invested from being refinanced or reset and court orders that otherwise regulated the activity of HCLOF; and (ii) significant fees and expenses related to the Acis bankruptcy that were charged to HCLOF. *See, e.g., Involuntary Petition Ruling* ¶ 27; *see also Confirmation Ruling*.

4. Claimant hereby files this Claim to assert any and all of its rights to payment, remedies, and other claims (including contingent or unliquidated claims) against the Debtor in connection with and relating to the foregoing harm, including for any amounts due or owed under the various agreements with the Debtor in connection with HCLOF (including, but not limited to, the Subscription and Transfer Agreement for Ordinary Shares Highland CLO Funding, Ltd., dated as of November 15, 2017, the Members Agreement Relating to the Company, dated as of November 15, 2017, the Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. Offering Memorandum dated November 15, 2017), and any and all legal and equitable claims or causes of action relating to the foregoing harm.

5. The Claimant has not attached the documentation supporting this Claim to this Proof of Claim because the documentation is voluminous and the Debtor has copies of such

documents. However, any requested relevant documents will be provided to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, the Court, the United States Trustee and the Debtor in the event of a dispute regarding this Proof of Claim and will be made available for review by other parties in interest as appropriate upon reasonable request and after consultation with the Debtor and execution of appropriate confidentiality agreements.

6. This Proof of Claim is filed with a full reservation of rights, including the right to amend, update, modify, supplement or otherwise revise this Proof of Claim in any respect at any time. The filing of this Proof of Claim is not and should not be construed to be: (a) a waiver or release of any of the Claimant's rights against any person, entity or property accruing to it against the Debtor and its estate; (b) a waiver of the Claimant's rights to assert that **28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(C)** is unconstitutional; (c) a consent or submission by the Claimant, or waiver of the Claimant's rights to object, to the jurisdiction of this Court with respect to the subject matter of any of the claims described herein, or any objection or other proceeding commenced with respect to any of the claims described herein, or any other proceeding commenced in the Debtor's chapter 11 case against or otherwise involving the Claimant; (d) a waiver or release of any right of the Claimant, or consent by the Claimant, to a trial by jury in this or any other court or proceeding; (e) a waiver or release of, or any limitation on, any right of the Claimant to have orders entered only after *de novo* review by a United States District Judge; (f) an election of remedies; or (g) a waiver of, or any other limitation on, any right of the Claimant to request withdrawal of the reference with respect to any matter, including, without limitation, any matter relating to this Proof of Claim.

7. Claimant's express reservation of all rights and causes of action, includes, without limitation, contingent or unliquidated rights that it or its affiliates may have against the Debtor,

as well as defenses, offsets and counterclaims. This description and classification of claims by the Claimant is not a concession or admission as to the correct characterization or treatment of any such claims or a waiver of any rights of the Claimant.

8. Furthermore, the Claimant expressly reserves its rights to (a) file additional proofs of claim for additional claims that may be based on the same or additional documents or facts or other liability or indebtedness of the Debtor to the Claimant under contract or otherwise; (b) assert claims for cure of defaults in any agreement that the Debtor or any trustee appointed in this chapter 11 case may seek to assume; (c) assert any and all other claims, causes of action, defenses, offsets or counterclaims against the Debtor or any other parties; (d) file a request for payment of an administrative expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503 and 507 for any or all of the claims or rights of payment described above and any additional amounts; and (e) seek recovery through any relevant third parties, including any of the Debtor's insurance coverage providers.

9. This Proof of Claim does not encompass all claims that the Claimant or its affiliates may have that arise after the Petition Date and are entitled to administrative priority, and the Claimant expressly reserves its right to file such claim or any similar claim at the appropriate time, including any such post-petition claims arising under these service contracts.

10. This Proof of Claim is filed without prejudice to the filing by the Claimant of additional proofs of claim or requests for payment with respect to any other indebtedness, liability or obligation of the Debtor. The Claimant does not, by this Proof of Claim or any amendment or other action, waive any rights with respect to any scheduled claim.

11. The Claimant reserves the right to withdraw, amend, clarify, modify or supplement this Proof of Claim to assert additional claims, causes of action or additional grounds for this Proof of Claim (including adding any additional contracts, agreements, obligations or



other relationships between the Claimant and the Debtor), as well as the right to file any separate or additional proofs of claim with respect to the claims set forth herein or otherwise, including for the purpose of fixing and liquidating any contingent or unliquidated claim set forth herein, or to file additional proofs of claim in respect of additional amounts or for any other reason.

12. In executing and filing this Proof of Claim, the Claimant does not submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas for any purpose other than with respect to this Proof of Claim against the Debtor, and does not waive or release any rights or remedies against any other person or entity that may be liable for all or part of this Proof of Claim.

13. The Claimant otherwise reserves its rights, and nothing herein shall prejudice the Claimant's rights, under any order of the Court previously entered in this chapter 11 case.

14. Payments on account of this Proof of Claim should be sent to the Claimant at the address specified for notices to the Claimant in Part 1.3 of the Proof of Claim.

\*\*\*

## CLAIM 149

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: Northern District of Texas  
(State)

Case number 19-34054

## Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to **11 U.S.C. § 503**.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. **Do not send original documents**; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. **18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.**

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

### Part 1: Identify the Claim

1. Who is the current creditor?	<u>HarbourVest Partners L.P. on behalf of funds and accounts under management</u> Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)	
	Other names the creditor used with the debtor _____	
2. Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. From whom? _____	
3. Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	<b>Where should notices to the creditor be sent?</b> See summary page	<b>Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)</b> See summary page
<b>Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)</b>		
	Contact phone <u>2129096000</u> Contact email <u>eweisgerber@debevoise.com</u>	Contact phone <u>6173483773</u> Contact email <u>agoren@harbourvest.com</u>
Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you use one): _____		
4. Does this claim amend one already filed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) _____ Filed on _____ MM / DD / YYYY	
5. Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Who made the earlier filing? _____	



**Part 2: Give Information About the Claim as of the Date the Case Was Filed**

6.	<b>Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor: ____
7.	<b>How much is the claim?</b> \$ <u>See Annex</u>	<b>Does this amount include interest or other charges?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).
8.	<b>What is the basis of the claim?</b> Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card. Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.  <u>See Annex</u>	
9.	<b>Is all or part of the claim secured?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property.  <b>Nature or property:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Real estate: If the claim is secured by the debtor's principle residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> . <input type="checkbox"/> Motor vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Describe: _____  <b>Basis for perfection:</b> _____ Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)  <b>Value of property:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is secured:</b> \$ _____ <b>Amount of the claim that is unsecured:</b> \$ _____ (The sum of the secured and unsecured amount should match the amount in line 7.)  <b>Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:</b> \$ _____  <b>Annual Interest Rate</b> (when case was filed) _____ % <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed <input type="checkbox"/> Variable	
10.	<b>Is this claim based on a lease?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <b>Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition.</b> \$ _____	
11.	<b>Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Identify the property: _____	



12. Is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?

A claim may be partly priority and partly nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.

☒ No

☐ Yes. Check all that apply:

	Amount entitled to priority
<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Up to \$3,025* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650*) earned within 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)( ) that applies.	\$ _____

\* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or after the date of adjustment.

13. Is all or part of the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)?

☒ No

☐ Yes. Indicate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods have been sold to the Debtor in the ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supporting such claim.

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

**Part 3: Sign Below**

The person completing this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).

If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ I am the creditor.
- ☒ I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.
- ☐ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004.
- ☐ I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.

I understand that an authorized signature on this *Proof of Claim* serves as an acknowledgement that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.

I have examined the information in this *Proof of Claim* and have reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on date 04/08/2020  
 MM / DD / YYYY

/s/Michael Pugatch  
 Signature

Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:

Name Michael Pugatch  
 First name Middle name Last name

Title Managing Director

Company HarbourVest Partners L.P., on behalf of funds and accounts under manage  
 Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.

Address

Contact phone \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_

For phone assistance: Domestic (877) 573-3984 | International (310) 751-1829

<b>Debtor:</b> 19-34054 - Highland Capital Management, L.P. <b>District:</b> Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division		
<b>Creditor:</b> HarbourVest Partners L.P. on behalf of funds and accounts under management Attn: Erica Weisgerber Debevoise and Plimpton LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY, 10022 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 2129096000 <b>Phone 2:</b> <b>Fax:</b> <b>Email:</b> eweisgerber@debevoise.com	<b>Has Supporting Documentation:</b> Yes, supporting documentation successfully uploaded <b>Related Document Statement:</b>	
	<b>Has Related Claim:</b> No <b>Related Claim Filed By:</b>	
	<b>Filing Party:</b> Authorized agent	
<b>Disbursement/Notice Parties:</b> HarbourVest Partners L.P. c/o HarbourVest Partners, LLC One Financial Center Boston, MA, 02111 U.S.A. <b>Phone:</b> 6173483773 <b>Phone 2:</b> <b>Fax:</b> <b>E-mail:</b> agoren@harbourvest.com <b>DISBURSEMENT ADDRESS</b>		
<b>Other Names Used with Debtor:</b>	<b>Amends Claim:</b> No <b>Acquired Claim:</b> No	
<b>Basis of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Last 4 Digits:</b> No	<b>Uniform Claim Identifier:</b>
<b>Total Amount of Claim:</b> See Annex	<b>Includes Interest or Charges:</b> None	
<b>Has Priority Claim:</b> No	<b>Priority Under:</b>	
<b>Has Secured Claim:</b> No <b>Amount of 503(b)(9):</b> No <b>Based on Lease:</b> No <b>Subject to Right of Setoff:</b> No	<b>Nature of Secured Amount:</b> <b>Value of Property:</b> <b>Annual Interest Rate:</b> <b>Arrearage Amount:</b> <b>Basis for Perfection:</b> <b>Amount Unsecured:</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b> Michael Pugatch on 08-Apr-2020 5:06:59 p.m. Eastern Time <b>Title:</b> Managing Director <b>Company:</b> HarbourVest Partners L.P., on behalf of funds and accounts under management, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its Gen Partner		

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:

Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-34054 (SGJ)

**ANNEX TO PROOF OF CLAIM**

1. This annex (the “Annex”) is part of and is incorporated by reference into the attached proof of claim (together with the Annex, the “Proof of Claim”) and describes in more detail the claims of HarbourVest Partners L.P. on behalf of funds and accounts under management (the “Claimant”) against the debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”).

2. The Claimant manages investment funds that are limited partners in one of the Debtor’s managed vehicles, Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. (“HCLOF”). Acis Capital Management GP, L.L.C. and Acis Capital Management L.P. (together, “Acis”), the portfolio manager for HCLOF, filed for chapter 11 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Court”) on January 30, 2018. The Acis bankruptcy filing resulted from a dispute between Debtor and its former employee, Joshua Terry, who served as portfolio manager for Debtor’s collateral loan obligations funds (“CLO”) business. *See, e.g., Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Orders for Relief Issued After Trial on Contested Involuntary Bankruptcy Petition* (“Involuntary Petition Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No. 118]. As noted in more detail in the Court’s *Bench Ruling and Memorandum of Law in Support of: (A) Final Approval of Disclosure Statement; and (B) Confirmation of Chapter 11 Trustee’s Third*

*Amended Joint Plan* (“Confirmation Ruling”) [Case No. 18-30264 (SGJ), Dkt. No 827] and related filings in the Acis bankruptcy cases, there has been extensive litigation regarding alleged improper conduct associated with the management of, and transactions relating to, Acis, including transactions with and related to HCLOF. *See, e.g., id.; Second Amended Complaint* [Case No. 18-03078(SGJ), Dkt. No. 157].

3. Due to the Acis bankruptcy and certain conduct alleged to have been undertaken by the Debtor (to whom Acis subcontracted its functions) and Debtor’s employees (who were officers, employees, and agents of Acis), the Claimant has suffered significant harm. Such harm includes, but is not limited to, financial harm resulting from, among other things (i) court orders in the Acis bankruptcy that prevented certain CLOs in which HCLOF was invested from being refinanced or reset and court orders that otherwise regulated the activity of HCLOF; and (ii) significant fees and expenses related to the Acis bankruptcy that were charged to HCLOF. *See, e.g., Involuntary Petition Ruling* ¶ 27; *see also Confirmation Ruling*.

4. Claimant hereby files this Claim to assert any and all of its rights to payment, remedies, and other claims (including contingent or unliquidated claims) against the Debtor in connection with and relating to the foregoing harm, including for any amounts due or owed under the various agreements with the Debtor in connection with HCLOF (including, but not limited to, the Subscription and Transfer Agreement for Ordinary Shares Highland CLO Funding, Ltd., dated as of November 15, 2017, the Members Agreement Relating to the Company, dated as of November 15, 2017, the Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. Offering Memorandum dated November 15, 2017), and any and all legal and equitable claims or causes of action relating to the foregoing harm.



5. The Claimant has not attached the documentation supporting this Claim to this Proof of Claim because the documentation is voluminous and the Debtor has copies of such documents. However, any requested relevant documents will be provided to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, the Court, the United States Trustee and the Debtor in the event of a dispute regarding this Proof of Claim and will be made available for review by other parties in interest as appropriate upon reasonable request and after consultation with the Debtor and execution of appropriate confidentiality agreements.

6. This Proof of Claim is filed with a full reservation of rights, including the right to amend, update, modify, supplement or otherwise revise this Proof of Claim in any respect at any time. The filing of this Proof of Claim is not and should not be construed to be: (a) a waiver or release of any of the Claimant's rights against any person, entity or property accruing to it against the Debtor and its estate; (b) a waiver of the Claimant's rights to assert that 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(C) is unconstitutional; (c) a consent or submission by the Claimant, or waiver of the Claimant's rights to object, to the jurisdiction of this Court with respect to the subject matter of any of the claims described herein, or any objection or other proceeding commenced with respect to any of the claims described herein, or any other proceeding commenced in the Debtor's chapter 11 case against or otherwise involving the Claimant; (d) a waiver or release of any right of the Claimant, or consent by the Claimant, to a trial by jury in this or any other court or proceeding; (e) a waiver or release of, or any limitation on, any right of the Claimant to have orders entered only after *de novo* review by a United States District Judge; (f) an election of remedies; or (g) a waiver of, or any other limitation on, any right of the Claimant to request withdrawal of the reference with respect to any matter, including, without limitation, any matter relating to this Proof of Claim.

7. Claimant's express reservation of all rights and causes of action, includes, without limitation, contingent or unliquidated rights that it or its affiliates may have against the Debtor, as well as defenses, offsets and counterclaims. This description and classification of claims by the Claimant is not a concession or admission as to the correct characterization or treatment of any such claims or a waiver of any rights of the Claimant.

8. Furthermore, the Claimant expressly reserves its rights to (a) file additional proofs of claim for additional claims that may be based on the same or additional documents or facts or other liability or indebtedness of the Debtor to the Claimant under contract or otherwise; (b) assert claims for cure of defaults in any agreement that the Debtor or any trustee appointed in this chapter 11 case may seek to assume; (c) assert any and all other claims, causes of action, defenses, offsets or counterclaims against the Debtor or any other parties; (d) file a request for payment of an administrative expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503 and 507 for any or all of the claims or rights of payment described above and any additional amounts; and (e) seek recovery through any relevant third parties, including any of the Debtor's insurance coverage providers.

9. This Proof of Claim does not encompass all claims that the Claimant or its affiliates may have that arise after the Petition Date and are entitled to administrative priority, and the Claimant expressly reserves its right to file such claim or any similar claim at the appropriate time, including any such post-petition claims arising under these service contracts.

10. This Proof of Claim is filed without prejudice to the filing by the Claimant of additional proofs of claim or requests for payment with respect to any other indebtedness, liability or obligation of the Debtor. The Claimant does not, by this Proof of Claim or any amendment or other action, waive any rights with respect to any scheduled claim.

11. The Claimant reserves the right to withdraw, amend, clarify, modify or supplement this Proof of Claim to assert additional claims, causes of action or additional grounds for this Proof of Claim (including adding any additional contracts, agreements, obligations or other relationships between the Claimant and the Debtor), as well as the right to file any separate or additional proofs of claim with respect to the claims set forth herein or otherwise, including for the purpose of fixing and liquidating any contingent or unliquidated claim set forth herein, or to file additional proofs of claim in respect of additional amounts or for any other reason.

12. In executing and filing this Proof of Claim, the Claimant does not submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas for any purpose other than with respect to this Proof of Claim against the Debtor, and does not waive or release any rights or remedies against any other person or entity that may be liable for all or part of this Proof of Claim.

13. The Claimant otherwise reserves its rights, and nothing herein shall prejudice the Claimant's rights, under any order of the Court previously entered in this chapter 11 case.

14. Payments on account of this Proof of Claim should be sent to the Claimant at the address specified for notices to the Claimant in Part 1.3 of the Proof of Claim.

\*\*\*

## APPENDIX 7

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone: (310) 277-6910  
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD & ASSOCIATES PLLC  
Melissa S. Hayward (TX Bar No. 24044908)  
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com  
Zachery Z. Annable (TX Bar No. 24053075)  
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com  
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106  
Dallas, TX 75231  
Telephone: (972) 755-7100  
Facsimile: (972) 755-7110

*Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., <sup>1</sup>	§	
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	

**DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT WITH HARBOURVEST (CLAIM NOS. 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, 154)  
AND AUTHORIZING ACTIONS CONSISTENT THEREWITH**

TO THE HONORABLE STACEY G. C. JERNIGAN,  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

<sup>1</sup> The last four digits of the Debtor's taxpayer identification number are 6725. The headquarters and service address for the Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.



1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

2. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are sections 105(a) and 363 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), and Rule 9019 of the Bankruptcy Rules.

000467

## **RELEVANT BACKGROUND**

### **A. Procedural Background**

3. On October 16, 2019 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 19-12239 (CSS) (the “Delaware Court”).

4. On October 29, 2019, the official committee of unsecured creditors (the “Committee”) was appointed by the U.S. Trustee in the Delaware Court.

5. On December 4, 2019, the Delaware Court entered an order transferring venue of the Debtor’s case to this Court [Docket No. 186].<sup>3</sup>

6. On December 27, 2019, the Debtor filed that certain *Motion of the Debtor for Approval of Settlement with the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Regarding Governance of the Debtor and Procedures for Operations in the Ordinary Course* [Docket No. 281] (the “Settlement Motion”). This Court approved the Settlement Motion on January 9, 2020 [Docket No. 339] (the “Settlement Order”).

7. In connection with the Settlement Order, an independent board of directors was constituted at the Debtor’s general partner, Strand Advisors, Inc., and certain operating protocols were instituted.

8. On July 16, 2020, this Court entered an order appointing James P. Seery, Jr., as the Debtor’s chief executive officer and chief restructuring officer [Docket No. 854].

9. The Debtor has continued in the possession of its property and has continued to operate and manage its business as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in this chapter 11 case.

---

<sup>3</sup> All docket numbers refer to the docket maintained by this Court.

**B. Overview of HarbourVest's Claims**

10. HarbourVest's claims against the Debtor's estate arise from its \$80 million investment in Highland CLO Funding, f/k/a Acis Loan Funding, Ltd. ("HCLOF"), pursuant to which HarbourVest obtained a 49 percent interest in HCLOF (the "Investment").

11. In brief, HarbourVest contends that it was fraudulently induced into entering into the Investment based on the Debtor's misrepresentations and omissions concerning certain material facts, including that the Debtor: (1) failed to disclose that it never intended to pay an arbitration award obtained by a former portfolio manager, (2) failed to disclose that it engaged in a series of fraudulent transfers for the purpose of preventing the former portfolio manager from collecting on his arbitration award and misrepresented the reasons changing the portfolio manager for HCLOF immediately prior to the Investment, (3) indicated that the dispute with the former portfolio manager would not impact investment activities, and (4) expressed confidence in the ability of HCLOF to reset or redeem the collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs") under its control.

12. HarbourVest seeks to rescind its Investment and claims damages in excess of \$300 million based on theories of fraud, fraudulent inducement, fraudulent concealment, fraudulent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, and breach of fiduciary duty (under Guernsey law), and on alleged violations of state securities laws and the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organization Act ("RICO").

13. HarbourVest's allegations are summarized below.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> Solely for purposes of this Motion, and not for any other reason, the facts set forth herein are adopted largely from the *HarbourVest Response to Debtor's First Omnibus Objection to Certain (A) Duplicate Claims; (B) Overstated Claims; (C) Late-Filed Claims; (D) Satisfied Claims; (E) No-Liability Claims; and (F) Insufficient-Documentation Claims* [Docket No. 1057] (the "Response").



**C. Summary of HarbourVest's Factual Allegations**

14. At the time HarbourVest made its Investment, the Debtor was embroiled in an arbitration against Joshua Terry ("Mr. Terry"), a former employee of the Debtor and limited partner of Acis Capital Management, L.P. ("Acis LP"). Through Acis LP, Mr. Terry managed Highland's CLO business, including CLO-related investments held by Acis Loan Funding, Ltd. ("Acis Funding").

15. The litigation between Mr. Terry and the Debtor began in 2016, after the Debtor terminated Mr. Terry and commenced an action against him in Texas state court. Mr. Terry asserted counterclaims for wrongful termination and for the wrongful taking of his ownership interest in Acis LP and subsequently had certain claims referred to arbitration where he obtained an award of approximately \$8 million (the "Arbitration Award") on October 20, 2017.

16. HarbourVest alleges that the Debtor responded to the Arbitration Award by engaging in a series of fraudulent transfers and corporate restructurings, the true purposes of which were fraudulently concealed from HarbourVest.

17. For example, according to HarbourVest, the Debtor changed the name of the target fund from Acis Funding to "Highland CLO Funding, Ltd." ("HCLOF") and "swapped out" Acis LP for Highland HCF Advisor, Ltd. as portfolio manager (the "Structural Changes"). The Debtor allegedly told HarbourVest that it made these changes because of the "reputational harm" to Acis LP resulting from the Arbitration Award. The Debtor further told HarbourVest that in lieu of redemptions, resetting the CLOs was necessary, and that it would be easier to reset them under the "Highland" CLO brand instead of the Acis CLO brand.

18. In addition, HarbourVest also alleges that the Debtor had no intention of allowing Mr. Terry to collect on his Arbitration Award, and orchestrated a scheme to "denude"



- HCLOF's request was withdrawn on July 6, 2018, and on June 21, 2018, the Acis Trustee sought an injunction preventing Highland/HCLOF from seeking further redemptions (the "Preliminary Injunction").
- The Court granted the Preliminary Injunction on July 10, 2018, pending the Acis Trustee's attempts to confirm a plan or resolve the Acis Bankruptcy.
- On August 30, 2018, the Court denied confirmation of the First Amended Joint Plan for Acis, and held that the Preliminary Injunction must stay in place on the ground that the "evidence thus far has been compelling that numerous transfers after the Josh Terry judgment denuded Acis of value."
- After the Debtor made various statements implicating HarbourVest in the Transfers, the Acis Trustee investigated HarbourVest's involvement in such Transfers, including extensive discovery and taking a 30(b)(6) deposition of HarbourVest's managing director, Michael Pugatch, on November 17, 2018.
- On March 20, 2019, HCLOF sent a letter to Acis LP stating that it was not interested in pursuing, or able to pursue, a CLO reset transaction.

**D. The Parties' Pleadings and Positions Concerning HarbourVest's  
Proofs of Claim**

22. On April 8, 2020, HarbourVest filed proofs of claim against Highland that were subsequently denoted by the Debtor's claims agents as claim numbers 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, and 154, respectively (collectively, the "Proofs of Claim"). Morris Dec. Exhibits 2-7.

23. The Proofs of Claim assert, among other things, that HarbourVest suffered significant harm due to conduct undertaken by the Debtor and the Debtor's employees, including "financial harm resulting from (i) court orders in the Acis Bankruptcy that prevented certain CLOs in which HCLOF was invested from being refinanced or reset and court orders that otherwise relegated the activity of HCLOF [*i.e.*, the Preliminary Injunction]; and (ii) significant fees and expenses related to the Acis Bankruptcy that were charged to HCLOF." *See, e.g.*, Morris Dec. Exhibit 2 ¶3.

24. HarbourVest also asserted "any and all of its right to payment, remedies, and other claims (including contingent or unliquidated claims) against the Debtor in connection with and relating to the forgoing harm, including for any amounts due or owed under the various

agreements with the Debtor in connection with relating to” the Operative Documents “and any and all legal and equitable claims or causes of action relating to the forgoing harm.” *See, e.g.*, Morris Dec. Exhibit 2 ¶4.

25. Highland subsequently objected to HarbourVest’s Proofs of Claim on the grounds that they were no-liability claims. [Docket No. 906] (the “Claim Objection”).

26. On September 11, 2020, HarbourVest filed its Response. The Response articulated specified claims under U.S. federal and state and Guernsey law, including claims for fraud, fraudulent concealment, fraudulent inducement, fraudulent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation (collectively, the “Fraud Claims”), U.S. State and Federal Securities Law Claims (the “Securities Claims”), violations of the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”), breach of fiduciary duty and misuse of fund assets, and an unfair prejudice claim under Guernsey law (collectively, with the Proofs of Claim, the “HarbourVest Claims”).

27. On October 18, 2020, HarbourVest filed its *Motion of HarbourVest Pursuant to Rule 3018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure for Temporary Allowance of Claims for Purposes of Voting to Accept or Reject the Plan* [Docket No. 1207] (the “3018 Motion”). In its 3018 Motion, HarbourVest sought for its Claims to be temporarily allowed for voting purposes in the amount of more than \$300 million (based largely on a theory of treble damages).

#### **E. Settlement Discussions**

28. In October, the parties discussed the possibility of resolving the Rule 3018 Motion.

29. In November, the parties broadened the discussions in an attempt to reach a global resolution of the HarbourVest Claims. In the pursuit thereof, the parties and their



See generally Morris Dec. Exhibit 1.

### **BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED**

33. Bankruptcy Rule 9019 governs the procedural prerequisites to approval of a settlement, providing that:

On motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Notice shall be given to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor, and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to any other entity as the court may direct.

#### **FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019(a).**

34. Settlements in bankruptcy are favored as a means of minimizing litigation, expediting the administration of the bankruptcy estate, and providing for the efficient resolution of bankruptcy cases. See *Myers v. Martin (In re Martin)*, 91 F.3d 389, 393 (3d Cir. 1996); *Rivercity v. Herpel (In re Jackson Brewing Co.)*, 624 F.2d 599, 602 (5th Cir. 1980). Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a), a bankruptcy court may approve a compromise or settlement as long as the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and in the best interest of the estate. See *In re Age Ref. Inc.*, 801 F.3d 530, 540 (5th Cir. 2015). Ultimately, “approval of a compromise is within the sound discretion of the bankruptcy court.” See *United States v. AWECO, Inc. (In re AWECO, Inc.)*, 725 F.2d 293, 297 (5th Cir. 1984); *Jackson Brewing*, 624 F.2d at 602–03.

35. In making this determination, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit applies a three-part test, “with a focus on comparing ‘the terms of the compromise with the rewards of litigation.’” *Official Comm. of Unsecured Creditors v. Cajun Elec. Power Coop. (In re Cajun Elec. Power Coop.)*, 119 F.3d 349, 356 (5th Cir. 1997) (citing *Jackson Brewing*, 624 F.2d at 602). The Fifth Circuit has instructed courts to consider the following factors: “(1) The probability of success in the litigation, with due consideration for the uncertainty of law and fact, (2) The complexity and likely duration of the litigation and any

attendant expense, inconvenience and delay, and (3) All other factors bearing on the wisdom of the compromise.” *Id.* Under the rubric of the third factor referenced above, the Fifth Circuit has specified two additional factors that bear on the decision to approve a proposed settlement. First, the court should consider “the paramount interest of creditors with proper deference to their reasonable views.” *Id.*; *Conn. Gen. Life Ins. Co. v. United Cos. Fin. Corp. (In re Foster Mortgage Corp.)*, 68 F.3d 914, 917 (5th Cir. 1995). Second, the court should consider the “extent to which the settlement is truly the product of arms-length bargaining, and not of fraud or collusion.” *Age Ref. Inc.*, 801 F.3d at 540; *Foster Mortgage Corp.*, 68 F.3d at 918 (citations omitted).

36. There is ample basis to approve the proposed Settlement Agreement based on the Rule 9019 factors set forth by the Fifth Circuit.

37. First, although the Debtor believes that it has valid defenses to the HarbourVest Claims, there is no guarantee that the Debtor would succeed in its litigation with HarbourVest. Indeed, to establish its defenses, the Debtor would be required to rely, at least in part, on the credibility of witnesses whose veracity has already been called into question by this Court. Moreover, it will be difficult to dispute that the Transfers precipitated the Acis Bankruptcy, and, ultimately, the imposition of the Bankruptcy Court’s TRO that restricted HCLOF’s ability to reset or redeem the CLOs and that is at the core of the HarbourVest Claims.

38. The second factor—the complexity, duration, and costs of litigation—also weighs heavily in favor of approving the Settlement Agreement. As this Court is aware, the events forming the basis of the HarbourVest Claims—including the Terry Litigation and Acis Bankruptcy—proceeded *for years* in this Court and in multiple other forums, and has already cost the Debtor’s estate millions of dollars in legal fees. If the Settlement Agreement is not approved, then the parties will expend significant resources litigating a host of fact-intensive

issues including, among other things, the substance and materiality of the Debtor's alleged fraudulent statements and omissions and whether HarbourVest reasonably relied on those statements and omissions.

39. Third, approval of the Settlement Agreement is justified by the paramount interest of creditors. Specifically, the settlement will enable the Debtor to: (a) avoid incurring substantial litigation costs; (b) avoid the litigation risk associated with HarbourVest's \$300 million claim; and (c) through the plan support provisions, increase the likelihood that the Debtor's pending plan of reorganization will be confirmed.

40. Finally, the Settlement Agreement was unquestionably negotiated at arm's-length. The terms of the settlement are the result of numerous, ongoing discussions and negotiations between the parties and their counsel and represent neither party's "best case scenario." Indeed, the Settlement Agreement should be approved as a rational exercise of the Debtor's business judgment made after due deliberation of the facts and circumstances concerning HarbourVest's Claims.

#### **NO PRIOR REQUEST**

41. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made to this, or any other, Court.

#### **NOTICE**

42. Notice of this Motion shall be given to the following parties or, in lieu thereof, to their counsel, if known: (a) counsel for HarbourVest; (b) the Office of the United States Trustee; (c) the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Texas; (d) the Debtor's principal secured parties; (e) counsel to the Committee; and (f) parties requesting notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtor submits that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.



WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, (a) granting the relief requested herein, and (b) granting such other relief as is just and proper.

Dated: December 23, 2020.

**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP**

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717)  
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084)  
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326)  
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992)  
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569)  
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone: (310) 277-6910  
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760  
Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com  
ikharasch@pszjlaw.com  
jmorris@pszjlaw.com  
gdemo@pszjlaw.com  
hwinograd@pszjlaw.com

-and-

**HAYWARD & ASSOCIATES PLLC**

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable

Melissa S. Hayward  
Texas Bar No. 24044908  
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com  
Zachery Z. Annable  
Texas Bar No. 24053075  
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com  
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106  
Dallas, Texas 75231  
Tel: (972) 755-7100  
Fax: (972) 755-7110

*Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession*

## APPENDIX 8

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (the “Agreement”) is entered into as of December 23, 2020, between Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”), on the one hand, and HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P., HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P., HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P., HV International VIII Secondary L.P., HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P., and HarbourVest Partners L.P. (each, a “HarbourVest Party,” and collectively, “HarbourVest”), on the other hand. Each of the foregoing are sometimes referred to herein collectively as the “Parties” and individually as a “Party.”

## RECITALS

**WHEREAS**, on October 16, 2019 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Case”) in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 19-12239 (CSS) (the “Delaware Bankruptcy Court”);

**WHEREAS**, on December 4, 2019, the Delaware Bankruptcy Court entered an order transferring venue of the Debtor’s case to the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case No. 19-34054-sgj (the “Bankruptcy Court”);

**WHEREAS**, prior to the Petition Date, HarbourVest invested in Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. f/k/a Acis Loan Funding, Ltd. (“HCLOF”) and acquired an a 49.98% ownership interest in HCLOF (the “HarbourVest Interests”);

**WHEREAS**, the portfolio manager for HCLOF is Highland HCF Advisor, Ltd., a subsidiary of the Debtor;

**WHEREAS**, on April 8, 2020, HarbourVest filed proofs of claim in the Bankruptcy Case, which are listed on the Debtor’s claims register as claim numbers 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, and 154 (the “HarbourVest Claims”), asserting claims against the Debtor relating to its investment in HCLOF;

**WHEREAS**, on July 30, 2020, the Debtor filed the *Debtor’s First Omnibus Objection to Certain (a) Duplicate Claims; (b) Overstated Claims; (c) Late-Filed Claims; (d) Satisfied Claims; (e) No Liability Claims; and (f) Insufficient-Documentation Claims* [Docket No. 906], in which the Debtor objected to the HarbourVest Claims;

**WHEREAS**, on September 11, 2020, HarbourVest filed the *HarbourVest Response to Debtor’s First Omnibus Objection to Creation (a) Duplicate Claims; (b) Overstated Claims; (c) Late-Filed Claims; (d) Satisfied Claims; (e) No Liability Claims; and (f) Insufficient-Documentation Claims* [Docket No. 1057] (the “HarbourVest Response”);

**WHEREAS**, on October 18, 2020, HarbourVest filed the *Motion of HarbourVest Pursuant to Rule 3018(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure for Temporary Allowance of Claims for Purposes of Voting to Accept or Reject the Plan* [Docket No. 1207] (the “3018 Motion” and together with the HarbourVest Response, the “HarbourVest Pleadings”);

**WHEREAS**, in the HarbourVest Pleadings, HarbourVest asserted, among other things, that the HarbourVest Claims included claims against the Debtor arising from fraudulent inducement, fraudulent concealment, fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of securities laws, and misuse of assets and sought damages in excess of \$300,000,000;

**WHEREAS**, the Debtor disputes the HarbourVest Claims;

**WHEREAS**, on November 24, 2020, the Debtor filed the *Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization for Highland Capital Management, L.P.* [Docket No. 1472] (as amended, the “Plan”).<sup>1</sup>

**WHEREAS**, the Parties desire to enter into this Agreement which incorporates, formalizes, and finalizes the full and final resolution of the HarbourVest Claims and HarbourVest Pleadings; and

**WHEREAS**, this Agreement will be presented to the Bankruptcy Court for approval pursuant to **Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019** (“Rule 9019”).

**NOW THEREFORE**, in consideration of the above recitals, the covenants, conditions, and promises made herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. **Settlement of Claims.**

(a) In full and complete satisfaction of the HarbourVest Claims, HarbourVest will receive:

(i) an allowed, nonpriority general unsecured claim in the aggregate amount of \$45,000,000 (the “Allowed GUC Claim”); and

(ii) an allowed subordinated claim in the aggregate amount of \$35,000,000 (the “Allowed Subordinated Claim” and together with the Allowed GUC Claim, the “Allowed Claims”).

(b) On the Effective Date, HarbourVest will transfer all of its rights, title, and interest in the HarbourVest Interests to the Debtor or its nominee pursuant to the terms of the *Transfer Agreement for Ordinary Shares of Highland CLO Funding, Ltd.*, attached hereto as Exhibit A (the “Transfer Agreements”) and the Debtor or its nominee will become a shareholder of HCLOF with respect to the HarbourVest Interests. The terms of the Transfer Agreements are incorporated into this Agreement by reference.

2. **Releases.**

(a) Upon the Effective Date, and to the maximum extent permitted by law, each HarbourVest Party on behalf of itself and each of its current and former advisors, trustees, directors, officers, managers, members, partners, employees, beneficiaries, shareholders, agents,

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings given to them in the Plan.

participants, subsidiaries, parents, successors, designees, and assigns hereby forever, finally, fully, unconditionally, and completely releases, relieves, acquits, remises, and exonerates, and covenants never to sue, the Debtor, HCLOF, HCLOF's current and former directors, and the Debtor's current and former advisors, trustees, directors, officers, managers, members, partners, employees, beneficiaries, shareholders, agents, participants, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, successors, designees, and assigns, except as expressly set forth below (the "Debtor Released Parties"), for and from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, promises, acts, agreements, liens, losses, costs and expenses (including, without limitation, attorney's fees and related costs), damages, injuries, suits, actions, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, matured or unmatured, liquidated or unliquidated, contingent or fixed, at law or in equity, statutory or otherwise, including, without limitation, any claims, defenses, and affirmative defenses, whether known or unknown, including, without limitation, those which were or could have been asserted in, in connection with, or with respect to the Bankruptcy Case (collectively, the "HarbourVest Released Claims").

(b) Upon the Effective Date, and to the maximum extent permitted by law, the Debtor hereby forever, finally, fully, unconditionally, and completely releases, relieves, acquits, remises, and exonerates, and covenants never to sue (i) each HarbourVest Party and (ii) each HarbourVest Party's current and former advisors, trustees, directors, officers, managers, members, partners, employees, beneficiaries, shareholders, agents, participants, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, successors, designees, and assigns (the "HarbourVest Released Parties"), for and from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, promises, acts, agreements, liens, losses, costs and expenses (including, without limitation, attorney's fees and related costs), damages, injuries, suits, actions, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, matured or unmatured, liquidated or unliquidated, contingent or fixed, at law or in equity, statutory or otherwise, including, without limitation, any claims, defenses, and affirmative defenses, whether known or unknown, which were or could have been asserted in, in connection with, or with respect to the Bankruptcy Case (collectively, the "Debtor Released Claims"); *provided, however*, that notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the release contained in this Section 2(b) will apply to the HarbourVest Released Parties set forth in subsection (b)(ii) only with respect to Debtor Released Claims arising from or relating to HarbourVest's ownership of the HarbourVest Interests.

(c) Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, the releases set forth herein will not apply with respect to (i) the Allowed Claims, (ii) the claims of Charlotte Investor IV, L.P., or (iii) the duties, rights, or obligations of any Party under this Agreement or the Transfer Agreements.

3. **Agreement Subject to Bankruptcy Court Approval.** The effectiveness of this Agreement and the Parties' obligations hereunder are conditioned in all respects on the approval of this Agreement by the Bankruptcy Court. The Parties agree to cooperate and use reasonable efforts to have this Agreement approved by the Bankruptcy Court. The "Effective Date" will be the date of an order entered by the Bankruptcy Court approving this Agreement pursuant to a motion filed under Rule 9019.

4. **Representations and Warranties.** Subject in all respects to Section 3 hereof:

(a) each HarbourVest Party represents and warrants that (i) it has full authority to enter into this Agreement and to release the HarbourVest Released Claims and has not sold, transferred, or assigned any HarbourVest Released Claim to any other person or entity, (ii) no person or entity other than such HarbourVest Party has been, is, or will be authorized to bring, pursue, or enforce any HarbourVest Released Claim on behalf of, for the benefit of, or in the name of (whether directly or derivatively) of such HarbourVest Party; and (iii) HarbourVest owns all of the HCLOF Interests free and clear of any claims or interests; and

(b) the Debtor represents and warrants to HarbourVest that (i) it has full authority to enter into this Agreement and to release the Debtor Released Claims and (ii) no person or entity other than the Debtor has been, is, or will be authorized to bring, pursue, or enforce any Debtor Released Claim on behalf of, for the benefit of, or in the name of (whether directly or derivatively) of the Debtor Party.

5. **Plan Support.**

(a) Each HarbourVest Party hereby agrees that it will (a) vote all HarbourVest Claims held by such HarbourVest Party to accept the Plan, by delivering its duly executed and completed ballots accepting the Plan on a timely basis; and (b) not (i) change, withdraw, or revoke such vote (or cause or direct such vote to be changed withdrawn or revoked); (ii) exercise any right or remedy for the enforcement, collection, or recovery of any claim against the Debtor except in a manner consistent with this Agreement or the Plan, (iii) object to, impede, or take any action other action to interfere with, delay or postpone acceptance or confirmation of the Plan; (iv) directly or indirectly solicit, propose, file, support, participate in the formulation of or vote for, any restructuring, sale of assets (including pursuant to **11 U.S.C. § 363**), merger, workout, or plan of reorganization of the Debtor other than the Plan; or (v) otherwise take any action that would in any material respect interfere with, delay, or postpone the consummation of the Plan; provided, however, that such vote may be revoked (and, upon such revocation, deemed void ab initio) by such HarbourVest Party at any time following the termination of this agreement or the occurrence of a Support Termination Event (it being understood that any termination of this agreement shall entitle each HarbourVest Party to change its vote in accordance with section 1127(d) of the Bankruptcy Code), notwithstanding any voting deadline established by the Bankruptcy Court including without limitation the January 5, 2021, 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Central Time) deadline established by the *Order Approving Form of Ballots, Voting Deadline and Solicitation Procedures* [Docket No. 1476].

(b) In full resolution of the 3018 Motion, HarbourVest will have a general unsecured claim for voting purposes only in the amount of \$45,000,000.

(c) The obligations of the HarbourVest Parties under this Section 5 shall automatically terminate upon the occurrence of any of the following (each a “Support Termination Event”): (i) the effective date of the Plan, (ii) the withdrawal of the Plan, (iii) the entry of an order by the Bankruptcy Court (A) converting the Bankruptcy Case to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code or (B) appointing an examiner with expanded powers beyond those set forth in sections 1106(a)(3) and (4) of the Bankruptcy Code or a trustee in Bankruptcy

Case, or (iv) the failure of the Court to enter an order approving the terms of this Agreement and the settlement described herein pursuant to Rule 9019 prior to confirmation of the Plan.

6. **No Admission of Liability.** The Parties acknowledge that there is a bona fide dispute with respect to the HarbourVest Claims. Nothing in this Agreement will imply, an admission of liability, fault or wrongdoing by the Debtor, HarbourVest, or any other person, and the execution of this Agreement does not constitute an admission of liability, fault, or wrongdoing on the part of the Debtor, HarbourVest, or any other person.

7. **Successors-in-Interest.** This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of each of the Parties and their successors, and assigns.

8. **Notice.** Each notice and other communication hereunder will be in writing and will be sent by email and delivered or mailed by registered mail, receipt requested, and will be deemed to have been given on the date of its delivery, if delivered, and on the fifth full business day following the date of the mailing, if mailed to each of the Parties thereto at the following respective addresses or such other address as may be specified in any notice delivered or mailed as set forth below:

#### **HARBOURVEST**

HarbourVest Partners L.P.  
Attention: Michael J. Pugatch  
One Financial Center  
Boston, MA 02111  
Telephone No. 617-348-3712  
E-mail: mpugatch@harbourvest.com

with a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to:

Debevoise & Plimpton LLP  
Attention: M. Natasha Labovitz, Esq.  
919 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone No. 212-909-6649  
E-mail: nlabovitz@debevoise.com

#### **THE DEBTOR**

Highland Capital Management, L.P.  
300 Crescent Court, Suite 700  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
Attention: James P. Seery, Jr.  
Telephone No.: 972-628-4100  
Facsimile No.: 972-628-4147  
E-mail: jpseeryjr@gmail.com



with a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to:

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP  
Attention: Jeffrey Pomerantz, Esq.  
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone No.: 310-277-6910  
Facsimile No.: 310-201-0760  
E-mail: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com

9. **Advice of Counsel.** Each Party represents that it has: (a) been adequately represented by independent legal counsel of its own choice, throughout all of the negotiations that preceded the execution of this Agreement; (b) executed this Agreement upon the advice of such counsel; (c) read this Agreement, and understands and assents to all the terms and conditions contained herein without any reservations; and (d) had the opportunity to have this Agreement and all the terms and conditions contained herein explained by independent counsel, who has answered any and all questions asked of such counsel, or which could have been asked of such counsel, including, but not limited to, with regard to the meaning and effect of any of the provisions of this Agreement.

10. **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement and the Transfer Agreement contain the entire agreement and understanding concerning the subject matter of this Agreement, and supersede and replace all prior negotiations and agreements, written or oral and executed or unexecuted, concerning such subject matter. Each of the Parties acknowledges that no other Party, nor any agent of or attorney for any such Party, has made any promise, representation or warranty, express or implied, written or oral, not otherwise contained in this Agreement to induce any Party to execute this Agreement. The Parties further acknowledge that they are not executing this Agreement in reliance on any promise, representation or warranty not contained in this Agreement, and that any such reliance would be unreasonable. This Agreement will not be waived or modified except by an agreement in writing signed by each Party or duly authorized representative of each Party.

11. **No Party Deemed Drafter.** The Parties acknowledge that the terms of this Agreement are contractual and are the result of arms'-length negotiations between the Parties and their chosen counsel. Each Party and its counsel cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Agreement. In any construction to be made of this Agreement, the Agreement will not be construed against any Party.

12. **Future Cooperation.** The Parties agree to cooperate and execute such further documentation as is reasonably necessary to effectuate the intent of this Agreement.

13. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in counterparts with the same force and effect as if executed in one complete document. Each Party's signature hereto will signify acceptance of, and agreement to, the terms and provisions contained in this Agreement. Photographic, electronic, and facsimile copies of signed counterparts may be used in lieu of the originals of this Agreement for any purpose.



14. **Governing Law; Venue; Attorneys' Fees and Costs.** The Parties agree that this Agreement will be governed by and will be construed according to the laws of the State of Texas without regard to conflict-of-law principles. Each of the Parties hereby submits to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court during the pendency of the Bankruptcy Case and thereafter to the exclusive jurisdiction of the state and federal courts located in the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, with respect to any disputes arising from or out of this Agreement. In any action to enforce this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees and costs (including experts).

*[Remainder of Page Intentionally Blank]*

**IT IS HEREBY AGREED.**

**HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.**

By: /s/ James P. Seery, Jr.  
Name: James P. Seery, Jr.  
Its: CEO/CRO

**HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P., by HarbourVest 2017 Global Associates L.P., its General Partner, by HarbourVest GP LLC, its General Partner, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its Managing Member**

By: /s/ Michael Pugatch  
Name: Michael Pugatch  
Its: Managing Director

**HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P., by HarbourVest Partners (Ireland) Limited, its Alternative Investment Fund Manager, by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investment Manager, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its General Partner**

By: /s/ Michael Pugatch  
Name: Michael Pugatch  
Its: Managing Director

**HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P., by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investment Manager, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its General Partner**

By: /s/ Michael Pugatch  
Name: Michael Pugatch  
Its: Managing Director

**HarbourVest Partners L.P., on behalf of funds and accounts under management, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its General Partner**

By: /s/ Michael Pugatch  
Name: Michael Pugatch  
Its: Managing Director

**HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P., by HarbourVest Partners (Ireland) Limited, its  
Alternative Investment Fund Manager, by HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed  
Investment Manager, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC, its General Partner**

By: /s/ Michael Pugatch  
Name: Michael Pugatch  
Its: Managing Director

**HV International VIII Secondary L.P., by HIPEP VIII Associates L.P., its General  
Partner, by HarbourVest GP LLC, its General Partner, by HarbourVest Partners, LLC,  
its Managing Member**

By: /s/ Michael Pugatch  
Name: Michael Pugatch  
Its: Managing Director

## Exhibit A

**TRANSFER AGREEMENT**  
**FOR ORDINARY SHARES OF**  
**HIGHLAND CLO FUNDING, LTD.**

This Transfer Agreement, dated as of December [REDACTED], 2020 (this “**Transfer Agreement**”), is entered into by and among Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. (the “**Fund**”), Highland HCF Advisor, Ltd. (the “**Portfolio Manager**”), HCMLP Investments, LLC (the “**Transferee**”) and each of the following: HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P., HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P., HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P., HV International VIII Secondary L.P., and HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P. (collectively, the “**Transferors**”).

WHEREAS, each Transferor is the record, legal and beneficial owner of the number of ordinary shares (“**Shares**”) of the Fund set forth opposite such Transferor’s name on Exhibit A hereto (with respect to each Transferor, the “**Transferred Shares**”).

WHEREAS the Transferee is an affiliate and wholly owned subsidiary of Highland Capital Management, L.P. (“**HCMLP**”) which is one of the initial members of the Fund.

WHEREAS, each Transferor wishes to transfer and assign 100% of its rights, title and interest as a shareholder in the Fund, including the Transferred Shares (the “**Interest**”) on the terms set forth in this Transfer Agreement.

WHEREAS, subject to and in connection with the approval of that certain Settlement Agreement, dated on or about the date hereof, by and among HCMLP and the Transferors (the “**Settlement Agreement**”), the Transferee desires that the Interest be transferred to Transferee and that thereafter the Transferee will become a Shareholder and the Transferors will no longer be Shareholders.

WHEREAS, the Portfolio Manager desires to consent to such transfers and to the admission of Transferee as a Shareholder on the terms set forth herein, and the Transferors and Transferee agree to such terms.

WHEREAS, the Fund desires to amend its records to reflect the foregoing transfers.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Transfer of Shares and Advisory Board

- a. Each Transferor hereby transfers and assigns all of its rights, title, and interest in its Interest to the Transferee, and the Transferee wishes to be admitted to the Fund as a Shareholder.
- b. In connection with the transfer of the Interest as contemplated herein, the Transferee shall be granted the right to appoint a representative to the Fund’s advisory board (the “**Advisory Board**”) to replace the Transferors’ appointed representative to the Advisory Board.

- c. Transferee hereby assumes all of Transferor's rights and obligations in respect of the Interest effective as of the Effective Date (as defined below) and acknowledge that thereafter Transferee shall be subject to the applicable terms and provisions of the Members' Agreement dated as of November 15, 2017 (the "**Members' Agreement**"), the Articles of Incorporation adopted November 15, 2017 (the "**Articles**") and the Subscription and transfer Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2017 among each Transferor, the Fund and the Portfolio Manager (the "**Subscription Agreement**", and together with the Members' Agreement and the Articles, the "**Fund Agreements**") with respect to the Interest. Transferee does not assume any liability or responsibility for any obligations or liabilities incurred by any Transferor prior to the Effective Date of the transfer.
  - d. Following the transfer, each Transferor shall have no further rights or obligations to any party hereunder in respect of the Interest under the Fund Agreements.
  - e. This Transfer Agreement, and the parties' obligations hereunder, are conditioned in all respects on the approval by the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division pursuant to **Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019** of (i) this Transfer Agreement and (ii) the Settlement Agreement, and each of the parties agree that no further action shall be required from any party for the transfer of the Interest to be effective except as described herein.
2. Transferee's Representations and Warranties. The Transferee represents and warrants to the Transferors, the Portfolio Manager, and the Fund as follows:
- a. This Transfer Agreement constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the Transferee, enforceable against it in accordance with its terms;
  - b. This Transfer Agreement has been duly and validly executed and delivered by or on behalf of the Transferee and such execution and delivery have been duly authorized by all necessary trust action of the Transferee;
  - c. The Transferee acknowledges receipt of, has read, and is familiar with, the Fund's Offering Memorandum for Placing Shares dated November 15, 2017 (the "**Offering Memorandum**") and the Fund Agreements;
  - d. The Transferee hereby accepts and receives the Interest from the Transferors for investment, and not with a view to the sale or distribution of any part thereof, and the Transferee has no present intention of selling, granting participations in, or otherwise distributing the same, but subject nevertheless to any requirement of law that the disposition of the Transferee's property shall at all times be within such Transferee's control; and
  - e. The Transferee is an "Eligible U.S. Investor" as defined in the Offering Memorandum.



- b. The parties to this Transfer Agreement acknowledge that the terms of this Transfer Agreement are the result of arms'-length negotiations between the parties and their respective counsel. Each party and its counsel cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Transfer Agreement. In any construction to be made of this Transfer Agreement, the language or drafting of this Transfer Agreement will not be construed against any party.
- c. This Transfer Agreement shall be governed by, and construed and enforced in accordance with, the internal substantive laws of the state of Delaware, without giving effect to conflicts of law principles.
- d. The representations, warranties and covenants of the Transferors and the Transferee shall remain in full force and effect following the transfer of the Interest, and the Fund and the Portfolio Manager thereafter may rely on all such representations, warranties and covenants.
- e. This Transfer Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument. Photographic, electronic, and facsimile copies of signed counterparts may be used in lieu of the originals of this Transfer Agreement for any purpose.
- f. Captions of sections have been added only for convenience and shall not be deemed to be a part of this Transfer Agreement.
- g. This Transfer Agreement is among the parties hereto. No Person that is not a party hereto shall have any right herein as a third-party beneficiary or otherwise except as expressly contemplated hereby.

*[Remainder of Page Intentionally Blank]*





*[Additional Signatures on Following Page]*

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Transfer Agreement as of the date first above written.

**TRANSFERORS:**

**HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P.**

By: HarbourVest Partners L.P., its Duly Appointed Investment Manager

By: HarbourVest Partners, LLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Michael Pugatch

Title: Managing Director

**HV International VIII Secondary L.P.**

By: HIPEP VIII Associates L.P.  
Its General Partner

By: HarbourVest GP LLC  
Its General Partner

By: HarbourVest Partners, LLC  
Its Managing Member

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Michael Pugatch

Title: Managing Director

**HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P.**

By: HarbourVest Partners (Ireland) Limited  
Its Alternative Investment Fund Manager

By: HarbourVest Partners L.P.  
Its Duly Appointed Investment Manager

By: HarbourVest Partners, LLC  
Its General Partner

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Michael Pugatch

Title: Managing Director

**HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P.**

By: HarbourVest Partners (Ireland) Limited  
Its Alternative Investment Fund Manager

By: HarbourVest Partners L.P.  
Its Duly Appointed Investment Manager

By: HarbourVest Partners, LLC  
Its General Partner

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Michael Pugatch

Title: Managing Director

**HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P.**

By: HarbourVest 2017 Global Associates L.P.  
Its General Partner

By: HarbourVest GP LLC  
Its General Partner

By: HarbourVest Partners, LLC  
Its Managing Member

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Michael Pugatch

Title: Managing Director

## Exhibit A

<b><u>Transferee Name</u></b>	<b><u>Number of Shares</u></b>	<b><u>Percentage</u></b>
HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
HV International VIII Secondary L.P.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## APPENDIX 9

D. Michael Lynn  
State Bar I.D. No. 12736500  
John Y. Bonds, III  
State Bar I.D. No. 02589100  
John T. Wilson, IV  
State Bar I.D. No. 24033344  
Bryan C. Assink  
State Bar I.D. No. 24089009  
BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFFER JONES LLP  
420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000  
Fort Worth, Texas 76102  
(817) 405-6900 telephone  
(817) 405-6902 facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR JAMES DONDERO

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

IN RE:	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,	§	Case No. 19-34054
L.P.,	§	
	§	
Debtor.	§	Chapter 11

---

**JAMES DONDERO’S OBJECTION TO DEBTOR’S MOTION FOR ENTRY  
OF AN ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT WITH HARBOURVEST  
[Relates to Docket No. 1625]**

James Dondero (“Respondent”), a creditor, indirect equity security holder, and party in interest in the above-captioned bankruptcy case, hereby files this Objection to *Debtor’s Motion for Entry of an Order Approving Settlement with HarbourVest (Claim Nos. 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, 154)* [Docket No. 1625] (the “Motion”) filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”). Through the Motion, the Debtor seeks approval of its compromise with HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P., HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P., HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P., HV International VIII Secondary L.P., HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P., and HarbourVest Partners L.P. (collectively, “HarbourVest”) pursuant to Rule 9019 of the Federal







of confirmation of its Plan. Given the Debtor's prior positions as to the merits of HarbourVest Claim it is necessary for the Court to closely scrutinize the settlement to determine why the Debtor now believes granting HarbourVest a net claim of nearly \$60 million<sup>3</sup> resulting from HarbourVest's investment in a non-debtor entity (which was and is managed by a non-debtor) to be in the best interest of the estate. Upon close scrutiny, Respondent believes the Court will find that the proposed settlement is not reasonable or in the best interest of the estate and the Motion therefore should be denied.

## II. BACKGROUND

2. On October 16, 2019 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 19-12239 (CSS) (the "Delaware Court").

3. On October 29, 2019, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") was appointed by the U.S. Trustee in Delaware.

4. On December 4, 2019, the Delaware Court entered an order transferring venue of the Debtor's Bankruptcy Case to this Court [Docket No. 186].

5. On December 27, 2019, the Debtor filed that certain *Motion of the Debtor for Approval of Settlement with the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Regarding Governance of the Debtor and Procedures for Operations in the Ordinary Course* [Docket No. 281] (the "Settlement Motion"). This Court approved the Settlement Motion on January 9, 2020 [Docket No. 339] (the "Settlement Order").

---

<sup>3</sup> The proposed settlement provides that HarbourVest shall receive an allowed general unsecured (Class 8) claim in the amount of \$45 million and an allowed subordinated general unsecured (Class 9) claim in the amount of \$35 million. As part of the settlement, HarbourVest will then transfer its entire interest in Highland CLO Funding, Ltd. ("HCLOF") to an entity to be designated by the Debtor. The Debtor states that the value of this interest is approximately \$22 million as of December 1, 2020.



- (i) the probabilities of ultimate success should the claim be litigated;
- (ii) the complexity, expense, and likely duration of litigating the claim;
- (iii) the difficulties of collecting a judgment rendered from such litigation; and,
- (iv) all other factors relevant to a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the compromise.

14. In considering whether to approve a proposed compromise, the bankruptcy judge “may not simply accept the trustee’s word that the settlement is reasonable, nor may he merely ‘rubber stamp’ the trustee’s proposal.” *In re Am. Res. Corp.*, 841 F.2d 159, 162 (7th Cir. 1987). “[T]he bankruptcy judge must apprise himself of all facts necessary to evaluate the settlement and make an informed and independent judgment about the settlement.” *See TMT Trailer*, 390 U.S. at 424, 434.

JAMES DONDERO'S OBJECTION TO THE DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT WITH HARBOURVEST

to the court, a debtor in possession must administer its case and conduct its business in a fashion amenable to the scrutiny to be expected from creditor and court oversight.”). The Court must conduct an “intelligent, objective and educated evaluation”<sup>5</sup> of the proposed settlement “to ensure that the settlement is fair, equitable, and in the best interest of the estate and creditors.” *See In re Mirant Corp.*, 348 B.R. 725, 739 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2006) (quoting *Conn. Gen. Life Ins. Co. v. Foster Mortgage Corp. (In re Foster Mortgage Corp.)*, 68 F.3d 914, 917 (5th Cir. 1995)).

#### IV. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES

16. As discussed in detail below, there are three significant issues with the terms of the settlement that merit denial of the Motion: (i) the proposed settlement is not reasonable or in the best interest of the estate given the weakness of the HarbourVest Claim; (ii) the proposed settlement is a blatant attempt to purchase votes in support of Debtor’s plan by giving HarbourVest a substantial claim to which it is not entitled; and (iii) the proposed settlement seeks to improperly classify HarbourVest’s one claim in two separate classes in order to gerrymander an affirmative vote on its reorganization plan. For these and certain additional reasons as discussed below, the Motion should be denied.

##### A. Through its Claim, HarbourVest Seeks to Revisit this Court’s Orders in the Acis Case

17. As an initial matter, through its proofs of claim, HarbourVest appears to be second guessing the Court’s judgment in the Chapter 11 case of Acis Capital Management, LP and Acis Capital Management GP, LLC (collectively, “Acis”) and seeking to revisit the Court’s orders entered in that case years ago. HarbourVest appears to be arguing that the TRO and injunction

---

<sup>5</sup> *In re Jackson Brewing Co.*, 624 F.2d 599, 602 (5th Cir. 1980) (“To assure a proper compromise the bankruptcy judge, must be apprised of all the necessary facts for an intelligent, objective and educated evaluation. He must compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards of litigation.”).









HarbourVest's investment is also directly tied to various market forces that may have impacted its value. The HarbourVest Claim is largely lacking in relevant facts and omits much salient information, such as who it contracted with in connection with its investment, the terms of such agreements, who controlled its investment during the entire period from November 2017 to the present, and the performance of its investment during the last two years. Given these issues, HarbourVest will be unable to demonstrate a causal connection between any conduct of the Debtor and the alleged damages it suffered from a reduction in value of its investment.

25. Because of the speculative nature of the HarbourVest Claim, and the fact that very little pleading or litigation has occurred, the proposed settlement in granting such a large claim is unreasonable, not fair and equitable, and not in the best interest of the estate. The lack of pending litigation, narrowing of threshold questions, and lack of detail in HarbourVest Claim make it impossible to determine whether the huge claim awarded under the proposed settlement is justified under the facts. Accordingly, the Motion should be denied.

**C. The Proposed Settlement is an Improper Attempt by the Debtor to Purchase Votes in Support of its Plan and the Separate Classification of the HarbourVest Claim Constitutes Gerrymandering in Violation of 11 U.S.C. § 1122**

26. The proposed settlement is a flagrant attempt by the Debtor to purchase votes in support of its Plan by giving HarbourVest a significant claim to which it has not shown itself entitled. Moreover, the separate classification of the HarbourVest Claim into two separate classes constitutes impermissible gerrymandering in violation of section 1122 of the Bankruptcy Code. The proposed settlement essentially gives HarbourVest a claim it is not entitled to in exchange for votes in two separate classes. This is not a proper basis for a settlement and the Court should deny the Motion.

27. Section 1122 of the Bankruptcy Code provides as follows:



(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, a plan may place a claim or an interest in a particular class only if such claim or interest is substantially similar to the other claims or interests of such class.

(b) A plan may designate a separate class of claims consisting only of every unsecured claim that is less than or reduced to an amount that the court approves as reasonable and necessary for administrative convenience.

**11 U.S.C. § 1122.**

28. “Chapter 11 requires classification of claims against a debtor for two reasons. Each class of creditors will be treated in the debtor's plan of reorganization based upon the similarity of its members' priority status and other legal rights against the debtor's assets. Proper classification is essential to ensure that creditors with claims of similar priority against the debtor's assets are treated similarly.” *In re Greystone III Joint Venture*, 995 F.2d 1274, 1277 (5th Cir. 1991).

29. “Section 1122 consequently must contemplate some limits on classification of claims of similar priority. A fair reading of both subsections suggests that ordinarily substantially similar claims, those which share common priority and rights against the debtor’s estate, should be placed in the same class.” *Id.* at 1278.

30. The Fifth Circuit has stated that there is “one clear rule that emerges from otherwise muddled caselaw on § 1122 claims classification: thou shalt not classify similar claims differently in order to gerrymander an affirmative vote on a reorganization plan.” *Id.* at 1279. The Court observed:

There must be some limit on a debtor’s power to classify creditors in such a manner. . . . Unless there is some requirement of keeping similar claims together, nothing would stand in the way of a debtor seeking out a few impaired creditors (or even one such creditor) who will vote for the plan and placing them in their own class.

*In re Greystone III Joint Venture*, 995 F.2d 1274, 1279 (5th Cir. 1991) (quoting *In re U.S. Truck Co.*, 800 F.2d 581, 586 (6th Cir. 1986)).

31. Here, the HarbourVest settlement and the classification of the HarbourVest Claim under the Plan blatantly violate the Fifth Circuit’s “one rule” concerning the classification of claims under section 1122. To the extent that HarbourVest even has a legitimate claim, not only should its claim be classified together with other unsecured creditors, its claim should be classified solely in one class. To allow the Debtor to do otherwise as proposed is improper gerrymandering in order to obtain a consenting class in express violation of section 1122.

**D. There Are Other Reasons for the Court to Closely Scrutinize the Proposed Settlement that May Warrant Denial of the Motion**

32. There are a number of other reasons for the Court to closely scrutinize the proposed settlement that may warrant denial of the Motion.

33. First, the granting to HarbourVest of a claim in the total amount of \$80 million potentially allows HarbourVest to achieve a significant windfall at the expense of other creditors and equity holders. The Debtor has asserted numerous times that the estate is solvent and, for this reason, the purported subordinated claim of \$35 million (if allowed and approved) may be worth just as much as its general unsecured claim. This is a huge figure in this case, outshined only by the Redeemer Committee, which has an actual arbitration award obtained after lengthy litigation. By contrast, the HarbourVest Claim contains only a few paragraphs of generalized allegations that essentially argue that the Debtor’s alleged actions related to the Acis bankruptcy, and this Court’s orders in the Acis case, are a “but for” cause of the loss of its investment. While the HarbourVest Response is lengthy, it lacks necessary details for the Court to determine whether HarbourVest *may* be entitled to the relief requested by the Motion. The other significant creditors in this case—*inter alia*, Redeemer, UBS and Acis—all had pending claims that were litigated. Nor is HarbourVest a trade creditor, vendor, or other contract counter-party of the Debtor. The HarbourVest Claim is thus uniquely situated in this case and, given the size and the nature of its

claims, should invite close scrutiny. Under these facts, the potential allowance of an \$80 million claim (less the value of its share in HCLOF, which may suffer by continued management by Acis) against the estate for an investment which was not held or managed by the Debtor would be a huge undue windfall.

34. Second, the Motion states that HarbourVest will vote its proposed allowed Class 8 (proposed at \$45 million) and Class 9 (proposed at \$35 million) claims in support of confirmation. There are at least two potential issues with this proposal. First, the deadline for parties to submit ballots was January 5, 2021, and as of the close of business on January 5, the HarbourVest Claim has not been allowed for voting purposes.<sup>9</sup> Second, the Motion and proposed settlement agreement state that the HarbourVest Claim will be allowed for voting purposes only as a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$45 million. It is unclear how HarbourVest can, or would be authorized to, vote its purported Class 8 and 9 Claims in support of the Plan after the voting deadline and when the settlement provides only for a voting claim in Class 8.

35. Third, while the Motion addresses the factor of probability of success in the litigation, it does not discuss in detail the cost of doing so in relation to the amount to be paid to HarbourVest under the settlement or the likelihood that the Debtor will succeed in the litigation. In addition, unlike the claims filed by Acis and UBS, the HarbourVest Claim does not arise from pending litigation. At this point, relatively little litigation has occurred and the parties have not addressed threshold issues that might dramatically narrow the scope of the HarbourVest Claim. Rule 9019 requires an analysis as to whether the probability of success in litigation is outweighed by the consideration achieved under the settlement. *See In re Jackson Brewing Co.*, 624 F.2d 599, 602 (5th Cir. 1980) (The Court must “compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards

---

<sup>9</sup> The hearing on the 3018 and 9019 motions are set concurrently with confirmation.

of litigation.”). Given the excessive amount to be paid under the settlement and the weakness of the HarbourVest Claim, this factor weighs in favor of denial of the Motion.

36. Fourth, it is unclear from the settlement papers whether the transfer by HarbourVest of its interest in HCLOF to the Debtor or an entity the Debtor designates will cause the value of the investment to be received by the Debtor’s estate. Further, the interest of HCLOF being conveyed under the proposed settlement may be subject to the Acis plan injunction, which could potentially prevent the Debtor’s estate from realizing the value of this interest. In the event the Court is inclined to approve the settlement, the order should make clear that the available value of the investment should be realized by the Debtor’s estate.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth above, Respondent respectfully requests that the Court enter an order denying the Motion and providing Respondent such other and further relief to which he may be justly entitled.

**[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]**

Dated: January 6, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ D. Michael Lynn

D. Michael Lynn  
State Bar I.D. No. 12736500  
John Y. Bonds, III  
State Bar I.D. No. 02589100  
John T. Wilson, IV  
State Bar I.D. No. 24033344  
Bryan C. Assink  
State Bar I.D. No. 24089009  
BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFFER JONES LLP  
420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000  
Fort Worth, Texas 76102  
(817) 405-6900 telephone  
(817) 405-6902 facsimile  
Email: michael.lynn@bondsellis.com  
Email: john@bondsellis.com  
Email: john.wilson@bondsellis.com  
Email: bryan.assink@bondsellis.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR JAMES DONDERO**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that, on January 6, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on counsel for the Debtor and on all other parties requesting or consenting to such service in this case.

/s/ Bryan C. Assink

Bryan C. Assink

## APPENDIX 10

Douglas S. Draper, La. Bar No. 5073  
[ddraper@hellerdraper.com](mailto:ddraper@hellerdraper.com)  
Leslie A. Collins, La. Bar No. 14891  
[lcollins@hellerdraper.com](mailto:lcollins@hellerdraper.com)  
Greta M. Brouphy, La. Bar No. 26216  
[gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com](mailto:gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com)  
Heller, Draper & Horn, L.L.C.  
650 Poydras Street, Suite 2500  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
Telephone: (504) 299-3300  
Fax: (504) 299-3399  
Attorneys for The Dugaboy Investment Trust and Get Good Trust

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

IN RE:	*	Chapter 11
	*	
	*	Case No. 19-34054sgj11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.	*	
	*	
Debtor	*	

**OBJECTION TO DEBTOR’S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT WITH HARBOURVEST (CLAIM NOS. 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, 154)  
AND AUTHORIZING ACTIONS CONSISTENT THEREWITH**

The Dugaboy Investment Trust and Get Good Trust (jointly, “Objectors”), submit this Objection for the purpose of objecting to the *Debtor’s Motion for Entry of an Order Approving Settlement with HarbourVest (Claim Nos. 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, 154) and Authorizing Actions Consistent Therewith* [Dkt. #1625] (the “Motion”) filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”). Through the Motion, the Debtor seeks approval of its compromise with HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund L.P., HarbourVest 2017 Global AIF L.P., HarbourVest Dover Street IX Investment L.P., HV International VIII Secondary L.P., HarbourVest Skew Base AIF L.P., and HarbourVest Partners L.P. (collectively, “HarbourVest”) pursuant to Rule 9019 of the



**Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure** (the “Bankruptcy Rules”). In support of this objection, Objectors respectfully represent as follows:

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Objectors recognize that Courts favorably view settlements and, as a matter of course, generally approve settlements as being in the best interest of the bankruptcy estate. The settlement proposed herein, however, is different than other settlements inasmuch as it represents a 180 degree departure from the Debtor’s own analysis of the Claim of HarbourVest and the fact that the settlement is tied to HarbourVest approving the Debtor’s plan. Little or no information is provided by the Debtor as to why its initial analysis was flawed and what information or legal principal it discovered to change a zero claim into a massive claim that will have a significant impact on the recovery to creditors.

## **II. BACKGROUND**

2. On October 16, 2019 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 19-12239 (CSS) (the “Delaware Court”).

3. On October 29, 2019, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”) was appointed by the U.S. Trustee in Delaware.

4. On December 4, 2019, the venue of this case was transferred. [Dkt. #186].

5. On July 16, 2020, this Court entered an order authorizing the Debtor to employ James P. Seery, Jr. as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Restructuring Officer of the Debtor. [See Dkt. #854].



6. On April 8, 2020, HarbourVest filed Proofs of Claim Numbers 143, 149, 149, 150, 153, and 154 (collectively, the “HarbourVest Claim”)<sup>1</sup>.

7. On July 30, 2020, the Debtor filed *Debtor’s First Omnibus Objection to Certain (A) Duplicate Claims; (B) Overstated Claims; (C) Late-Filed Claims; (D) Satisfied Claims; (E) No Liability Claims; and (F) Insufficient-Documentation Claims* [Dkt. #906] (the “Debtor Objection”), which contained an objection to the HarbourVest Claim.

8. On September 11, 2020, HarbourVest filed *HarbourVest Response to Debtor’s First Omnibus Objection to Certain (A) Duplicate Claims; (B) Overstated Claims; (C) Late-Filed Claims; (D) Satisfied Claims; (E) No Liability Claims; and (F) Insufficient-Documentation Claims* [Dkt. #1057] (the “HarbourVest Response”).

9. The Debtor, in its *Disclosure Statement for the Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization of Highland Capital Management, L.P.* [Dkt. #1473 pgs. 40-41], described its position relative to the HarbourVest Claim as follows:

The Debtor intends to **vigorously** defend the HarbourVest Claims on various grounds ..... The HarbourVest Entities invested approximately \$80,000,000.00 in HCLOF but seek an allowed claim in excess of 300 million dollars (after giving effect to treble damages for the alleged RICO violations)

10. On December 23, 2020, the Debtor filed the Motion seeking approval of a proposed settlement of the HarbourVest Claim under Rule 9019. [Dkt. # 1625].

11. The proposed settlement provides HarbourVest with the following:

- a. An allowed, general unsecured claim in the amount of \$45,000,000.00 [Dkt. #1625 pg. 9 pp.f]; and

---

<sup>1</sup> While HarbourVest has filed a number of claims, each filed claim is exactly the same except in the name of the claimant. See Claim Nos. 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, and 154.

- b. A \$35,000,000 claim in Class 9 [Dkt. #1625 pg. 9 pp.f].
12. An integral element of the settlement requires that HarbourVest will “support confirmation of the Debtor’s Plan including, but not limited to, voting its claims in support of the Plan.”
13. The settlement also contains a provision that HarbourVest will transfer its entire interest in HCLOF to an entity to be designated by the Debtor. It is unclear whether HarbourVest has a right to transfer the interest and secondly, what the Debtor will do with the interest [Dkt. #1625 pp.f].
14. The sole support for the Motion is the Declaration of John Morris [Dkt. #1631] which fails to account for the enormous change in the Debtor’s position between November 24, 2020 when the Disclosure Statement was approved and December 23, 2020 when the Motion was filed, a period of less than thirty (30) days.
15. The Declaration of John Morris [Dkt. #1631] also contains no information as to the potential cost of the litigation, whether HarbourVest can transfer the interest or reasons, other than conclusory reasons, as to why the settlement is beneficial to the estate. The Debtor makes the assertion that the interest it is acquiring was worth \$22,000,000.00 as of December 1, 2020 without advising as to the basis for the valuation. Is it a book value and, if not, what was the methodology employed to arrive at the valuation? The Court has no basis to evaluate the settlement without essential information as to 1) how the asset being acquired is valued; 2) can the Debtor acquire the interest; and 3) how will the Debtor bring value to the estate in connection with the interest inasmuch as the Debtor has discretion as to where to place the asset to be acquired.

**A. LEGAL STANDARDS**

16. The law relative to approval of motions pursuant to BR 9019 is well settled. The settlement must be fair and equitable. *See In re Jackson Brewing Co.*, 624 F.2d 599, 602 (5th Cir. 1980). The factors the Court should consider are the following:

- (i) the probabilities of ultimate success should the claim be litigated;
- (ii) the complexity, expense, and likely duration of litigating the claim;
- (iii) the difficulties of collecting a judgment rendered from such litigation; and,
- (iv) all other factors relevant to a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the compromise.

*Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson*, 390 U.S. 414 (1968).

17. Although the Debtor's business judgment is entitled to a certain deference, "business judgment" is not alone determinative of the issue of court approval. *See In re Endoscopy Ctr. of S. Nev., LLC*, 451 B.R. 527, 536 (Bankr. D. Nev. 2011). However, notwithstanding the business judgment rule, a debtor does not have unfettered freedom to do what it wishes. *See In re Pilgrim's Pride Corp.*, 403 B.R. 413, 426 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2009) ("[A]s a fiduciary holding its estate in trust and responsible to the court, a debtor in possession must administer its case and conduct its business in a fashion amenable to the scrutiny to be expected from creditor and court oversight.").

## **B. ISSUES WITH THE SETTLEMENT**

18. Objectors believe that the following issues are not explained or addressed in the Motion and, thus, the Motion should be denied:

- a) The settlement represents a radical change in the Debtor's position that was set forth in its Disclosure Statement. While the Debtor asserts that its position is

based on its fear of parties' oral testimony, the size of the transactions at issue make the case a document case, as opposed to who said what, when and how. A review of the applicable documents to determine whether they support the Debtor's initial position is warranted, as opposed to stating that the case is based upon the credibility of a witness. This settlement is not the settlement of an automobile accident where the parties are disputing who ran a red light;

- b) The settlement requires HarbourVest to support and vote in favor of the Debtor's Plan. On its face this appears to be vote buying. The settlement should not be conditioned upon HarbourVest's support or non-support of the Plan and its vote in favor or against the Plan; and
- c) No information is provided as to whether the Debtor can acquire the interest in HCLOF, liquidate the interest, who will receive the interest, or how will the estate benefit from the interest to be acquired.

### CONCLUSION

The settlement with HarbourVest has too many questions to be approved on the record before this Court and the parties, due to the Notice of the Motion, the holidays and the press of other litigation in this case, do not have the time to adequately investigate the propriety of the settlement.

January 8, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Douglas S. Draper.

Douglas S. Draper, La. Bar No. 5073

[ddraper@hellerdraper.com](mailto:ddraper@hellerdraper.com)

Leslie A. Collins, La. Bar No. 14891

[lcollins@hellerdraper.com](mailto:lcollins@hellerdraper.com)

Greta M. Brouphy, La. Bar No. 26216

[gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com](mailto:gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com)

Heller, Draper & Horn, L.L.C.  
650 Poydras Street, Suite 2500  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
Telephone: (504) 299-3300  
Fax: (504) 299-3399  
Attorneys for The Dugaboy Investment Trust  
and Get Good Trust

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2021, a copy of the above and foregoing *Objection To Debtor's Motion For Entry Of An Order Approving Settlement With Harbourvest (Claim Nos. 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, 154) And Authorizing Actions Consistent Therewith* has been served electronically to all parties entitled to receive electronic notice in this matter through the Court's ECF system as follows:

- David G. Adams david.g.adams@usdoj.gov,  
southwestern.taxcivil@usdoj.gov;dolores.c.lopez@usdoj.gov
- Amy K. Anderson aanderson@joneswalker.com, lfields@joneswalker.com
- Zachery Z. Annable zannable@haywardfirm.com
- Bryan C. Assink bryan.assink@bondsellis.com
- Asif Attarwala asif.attarwala@lw.com
- Joseph E. Bain JBain@joneswalker.com, kvrana@joneswalker.com;joseph-bain-8368@ecf.pacerpro.com;msalinas@joneswalker.com
- Michael I. Baird baird.michael@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov
- Sean M. Beach bankfilings@ycst.com, sbeach@ycst.com
- Paul Richard Bessette pbessette@KSLAW.com,  
ccisneros@kslaw.com;jworsham@kslaw.com;kbryan@kslaw.com;jcarvalho@kslaw.com;  
rmatsumura@kslaw.com
- John Y. Bonds john@bondsellis.com, joyce.rehill@bondsellis.com
- Larry R. Boyd lboyd@abernathy-law.com, lameson@abernathy-law.com
- Jason S. Brookner jbrookner@grayreed.com,  
lwebb@grayreed.com;acarson@grayreed.com
- Greta M. Brouphy gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com,  
dhepting@hellerdraper.com;esixkiller@hellerdraper.com;jmarino@hellerdraper.com
- M. David Bryant dbryant@dykema.com, csmith@dykema.com
- Candice Marie Carson Candice.Carson@butlersnow.com
- Annmarie Antoniette Chiarello achiarello@winstead.com
- Shawn M. Christianson schristianson@buchalter.com, cmcintire@buchalter.com
- James Robertson Clarke robbie.clarke@bondsellis.com
- Matthew A. Clemente mclemente@sidley.com, matthew-clemente-8764@ecf.pacerpro.com;efilingnotice@sidley.com;ebromagen@sidley.com;alyssa.russell@sidley.com;dtwomey@sidley.com

- Megan F. Clontz mclontz@spencerfane.com, lvargas@spencerfane.com
- Andrew Clubok andrew.clubok@lw.com
- Leslie A. Collins lcollins@hellerdraper.com
- David Grant Crooks dcrooks@foxrothschild.com,  
etaylor@foxrothschild.com, jsagui@foxrothschild.com, plabov@foxrothschild.com, jmanfr  
ey@foxrothschild.com
- Gregory V. Demo gdemo@pszjlaw.com,  
jo'neill@pszjlaw.com; ljones@pszjlaw.com; jfried@pszjlaw.com; ikharasch@pszjlaw.com  
; jmorris@pszjlaw.com; jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com; hwinograd@pszjlaw.com; kyee@pszjla  
w.com
- Casey William Doherty casey.doherty@dentons.com,  
dawn.brown@dentons.com; Docket.General.Lit.DAL@dentons.com; Melinda.sanchez@d  
entons.com
- Douglas S. Draper ddraper@hellerdraper.com,  
dhepting@hellerdraper.com; esixkiller@hellerdraper.com; jmarino@hellerdraper.com
- Lauren Kessler Drawhorn lauren.drawhorn@wickphillips.com,  
samantha.tandy@wickphillips.com
- Vickie L. Driver Vickie.Driver@crowedunlevy.com,  
crissie.stephenson@crowedunlevy.com; seth.sloan@crowedunlevy.com; elisa.weaver@cr  
owedunlevy.com; ecf@crowedunlevy.com
- Jonathan T. Edwards jonathan.edwards@alston.com
- Jason Alexander Enright jenright@winstead.com
- Robert Joel Feinstein rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com
- Matthew Gold courts@argopartners.net
- Bojan Guzina bguzina@sidley.com
- Thomas G. Haskins thaskins@btlaw.com
- Melissa S. Hayward MHayward@HaywardFirm.com, mholmes@HaywardFirm.com
- Michael Scott Held mheld@jw.com, lcrumble@jw.com
- Gregory Getty Hesse ghesse@HuntonAK.com,  
amckenzie@HuntonAK.com; tcanada@HuntonAK.com; creeves@HuntonAK.com
- Juliana Hoffman jhoffman@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com; julianna-  
hoffman-8287@ecf.pacerpro.com
- A. Lee Hogewood lee.hogewood@klgates.com,  
haley.fields@klgates.com; matthew.houston@klgates.com; courtney.ritter@klgates.com; m  
ary-beth.pearson@klgates.com
- Warren Horn whorn@hellerdraper.com,  
dhepting@hellerdraper.com; esixkiller@hellerdraper.com; jmarino@hellerdraper.com
- John J. Kane jkane@krcl.com, ecf@krcl.com; jkane@ecf.courtdrive.com
- Jason Patrick Kathman jkathman@spencerfane.com,  
gpronske@spencerfane.com; mclontz@spencerfane.com; lvargas@spencerfane.com
- Edwin Paul Keiffer pkeiffer@romclaw.com, bwallace@romclaw.com
- Jeffrey Kurtzman kurtzman@kurtzmansteady.com
- Phillip L. Lamberson plamberson@winstead.com
- Lisa L. Lambert lisa.l.lambert@usdoj.gov
- Paul M. Lopez bankruptcy@abernathy-law.com
- Faheem A. Mahmooth mahmooth.faheem@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov

- Ryan E. Manns ryan.manns@nortonrosefulbright.com
- Thomas M. Melsheimer tmelsheimer@winston.com, tom-melsheimer-7823@ecf.pacerpro.com
- Paige Holden Montgomery pmontgomery@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com;paige-montgomery-7756@ecf.pacerpro.com;crognas@sidley.com
- J. Seth Moore smoore@ctstlaw.com, jsteele@ctstlaw.com
- John A. Morris jmorris@pszjlaw.com
- Edmon L. Morton emorton@ycst.com
- David Neier dneier@winston.com, dcunsolo@winston.com;david-neier-0903@ecf.pacerpro.com
- Holland N. O'Neil honeil@foley.com, jcharrison@foley.com;acordero@foley.com
- Rakhee V. Patel rpatel@winstead.com, dgalindo@winstead.com;achiarrello@winstead.com
- Charles Martin Persons cpersons@sidley.com
- Mark A. Platt mplatt@fbtlaw.com, aortiz@fbtlaw.com
- Jeffrey Nathan Pomerantz jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
- Kimberly A. Posin kim.posin@lw.com, colleen.rico@lw.com
- Linda D. Reece lreece@pbfc.com
- Penny Packard Reid preid@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com;penny-reid-4098@ecf.pacerpro.com;ncade@sidley.com
- Davor Rukavina drukavina@munsch.com
- Amanda Melanie Rush asrush@jonesday.com
- Alyssa Russell alyssa.russell@sidley.com
- Douglas J. Schneller douglas.schneller@rimonlaw.com
- Brian Patrick Shaw shaw@roggedunngroup.com, cashion@roggedunngroup.com;jones@roggedunngroup.com
- Michelle E. Shriro mshriro@singerlevick.com, scotton@singerlevick.com;tguillory@singerlevick.com
- Nicole Skolnekovich nskolnekovich@hunton.com, plozano@huntonak.com;astowe@huntonak.com;creeves@huntonak.com
- Jared M. Slade jared.slade@alston.com
- Frances Anne Smith frances.smith@judithwross.com, michael.coulombe@judithwross.com
- Eric A. Soderlund eric.soderlund@judithwross.com
- Martin A. Sosland martin.sosland@butlersnow.com, ecf.notices@butlersnow.com, velvet.johnson@butlersnow.com
- Laurie A. Spindler Laurie.Spindler@lgbs.com, Dora.Casiano-Perez@lgbs.com
- Jonathan D. Sundheimer jsundhimer@btlaw.com
- Kesha Tanabe kesha@tanabelaw.com
- Chad D. Timmons bankruptcy@abernathy-law.com
- Dennis M. Twomey dtwomey@sidley.com
- Basil A. Umari BUmari@dykema.com, pelliott@dykema.com
- United States Trustee ustpreigion06.da.ecf@usdoj.gov
- Artoush Varshosaz artoush.varshosaz@klgates.com, Julie.garrett@klgates.com

- Donna K. Webb donna.webb@usdoj.gov, brian.stoltz@usdoj.gov; CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov; brooke.lewis@usdoj.gov
- Jaclyn C. Weissgerber bankfilings@ycst.com, jweissgerber@ycst.com
- Elizabeth Weller dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com, dora.casiano-perez@lgbs.com; Melissa.palo@lgbs.com
- Daniel P. Winikka danw@lfdslaw.com, craigs@lfdslaw.com, dawnw@lfdslaw.com, ivys@lfdslaw.com
- Hayley R. Winograd hwinograd@pszjlw.com
- Megan Young-John myoung-john@porterhedges.com

/s/Douglas S. Draper



## APPENDIX 11

Joseph M. Coleman (State Bar No. 04566100)  
John J. Kane (State Bar No. 24066794)  
**KANE RUSSELL COLEMAN LOGAN PC**  
Bank of America Plaza  
901 Main Street, Suite 5200  
Dallas, Texas 75202  
Telephone - (214) 777-4200  
Telecopier - (214) 777-4299  
Email: [jcoleman@krcl.com](mailto:jcoleman@krcl.com)  
Email: [jkane@krcl.com](mailto:jkane@krcl.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR CLO HOLDCO, LTD.

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	Case No. 19-34054-SGJ
	§	
Debtor.	§	Chapter 11
	§	

---

**CLO HOLDCO, LTD.'S OBJECTION TO HARBOURVEST SETTLEMENT**

---

**TO THE HONORABLE STACEY G. JERNIGAN, U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:**

CLO Holdco, Ltd. ("**CLO Holdco**") respectfully files this *Objection to Harbourvest Settlement* (the "**Harbourvest Settlement Objection**") which seeks entry of an order from this Court denying the Debtor's *Motion for Entry of an Order Approving Settlement with Harbourvest (Claims Nos. 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, 154) and Authorizing Actions Consistent Therewith* (the "**Harbourvest Settlement Motion**") for the reasons stated below. In support of the Harbourvest Settlement Objection, CLO Holdco respectfully states as follows:

**I.**  
**BACKGROUND**

**A. TRANSFERRING SHARES IN HCLOF**



3. Section 6 of the Member Agreement addresses the "Transfer or Disposals of Shares." MEMBER AGREEMENT, § 6. The Member Agreement places strict restrictions on the sale or transfer of shares to entities other than the initial Member's own affiliates. *See id.* at §§ 6.1, 6.2. Before a Member can transfer its interests to a party other than its own affiliates it must: (i) obtain the prior written consent of the Portfolio Manager; and (ii) "offer to the other Members a right to purchase the Shares, on a pro rata basis with respect to their current Shares, at the same price (which must be cash) as such Shares are proposed to be purchased by the prospective third party purchaser pursuant to an irrevocable offer letter" (the "**Right of First Refusal**"). *Id.* As further stated in section 6.2 of the Member Agreement, "The other Members will have 30 days following receipt of the letter to determine whether to purchase their entire pro rata portion of the Shares proposed to be 'Transferred.'" *Id.* at § 6.2.

4. On December 23, 2020, the Debtor filed the Harbourvest Settlement Motion. On the following day, the Debtor filed a copy of the Settlement Agreement referenced in the

Harbourvest Settlement Motion (the "**Settlement Agreement**") [Dkt. No. 3]. In the Settlement Agreement, Harbourvest represents and warrants that it is authorized to transfer its interest in HCLOF to the Transferee, HCMLP Investments, LLC (the "**Transferee**"). SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, Ex. A. § 3. Further, the Transferee and Debtor agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of the Member Agreement. *Id.* at § 1.c.

5. In exchange for conveniently classified allowed claims under the Debtor's *Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization of Highland Capital Management, L.P.* (the "**Plan**") [Dkt. No. 1472], Harbourvest agrees to vote in favor of the Plan and to transfer all of its interests in HCLOF to the Transferee. SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, § 1.

6. As detailed below, CLO Holdco objects to the Harbourvest Settlement Motion because Harbourvest has no authority to transfer its interests in HCLOF without first complying with the Right of First Refusal. The only way to effectuate such a transfer without first providing other members the Right of First Refusal is an intentionally inaccurate interpretation of the Member Agreement's contractual provisions that would render specific passages redundant and meaningless. More simply put, the only way Harbourvest and the Debtor could effectuate the Settlement Agreement is by violating fundamental tenets of contract interpretation.

## **II.**

### **ARGUMENTS AND AUTHORITIES**

#### **A. CONTRACT INTERPRETATION – AVOIDING REDUNDANCIES AND SURPLUS LANGUAGE**

7. The Fifth Circuit recognizes fundamental tenets of contract interpretation, and notes that "contracts should be read as a whole, viewing particular language in the context in which it appears. *Woolley v. Clifford Chance Rogers & Wells, L.L.P.*, 51 F. App'x 930 (5th Cir. 2002) (citing Restatement (Second) of Contracts § 202 (1981)). The Fifth Circuit has applied substantially the same tenets of contract interpretation across the laws of various jurisdictions, and consistently reasons that "[a]ll parts of the agreement are to be reconciled, if possible, in order to avoid an

## B. ANALYZING THE MEMBER AGREEMENT

No Member shall sell, pledge, charge, mortgage, assign, assign by way of security, transfer, convey, exchange or otherwise dispose of its Shares or its commitment to settle purchases of Shares under the Subscription and Transfer Agreement (each a "Transfer"), other than to an Affiliate of an initial Member party hereto, without the prior written consent of the Portfolio Manager...

CLO HOLDCO, LTD.'S OBJECTION TO HARBOURVEST SETTLEMENT



should not be discarded as mere surplusage, and the Member Agreement should be interpreted in a manner that gives weight to that provision. *Hawthorne Land*, 309 F.3d at 892-93.

13. If the Member Agreement is read to literally allow all "Transfers to Affiliates of an initial Member" there would be no reason to expressly set forth allowed transfers between specific Members and other Member's Affiliates. If the Member Agreement sought to list all allowed transfers between Members and their Affiliates, it should have similarly noted that any Member could transfer its interest to any Harbourvest Member entity, as each Harbourvest Member entity is an Affiliate of the other Harbourvest Member entities. Alternatively, if the specific enumeration of CLO Holdco and the Highland Principals' transfer rights was surplusage, it would presumably have listed other parties' rights, or had inclusive language such as "including but not limited to" or "for example." The Member Agreement lacks such language and, as a result, should be interpreted in a manner that both gives weight to the specific provision while reconciling other provisions of the contract.

**(ii) Absurd Results – Disparate Transfer Rights Between Members**

14. Note that the Member Agreement does not generally allow a transfer of interests from Member to Member unless specifically enumerated. Section 6.2 specifically allows only CLO Holdco and the Highland Principals to make transfers to other Members, but those other Members include only the Debtor or another Highland Principal. MEMBER AGREEMENT, § 6.2. It does not allow the Debtor to transfer interests to any Member, and does not expressly allow any Member, other than limited transfers by CLO Holdco and the Highland Principals, to transfer interests to any other Member. *Id.* For instance, if the Debtor wished to transfer its interests to CLO Holdco, it would first have to offer all of the other Members their Right of First Refusal. *Id.*

15. Similarly, if Harbourvest wished to transfer its interest to CLO Holdco, it could not do so without first providing the Right of First Refusal to all other Members. *Id.* As noted above,

however, allowing a Member to transfer its interest to an Affiliate of any initial Member would allow all of the Members to transfer their interests to any Harbourvest Member entity, as the Harbourvest Members are Affiliates of each other. Given the specific enumeration of CLO Holdco and the Highland Principals' rights to inter-Member transfers, it would be inconsistent to expand that specific provision to allow all transfers by all Members to any Harbourvest entity without first providing a Right of First Refusal.

16. Such a reading would lead to absurd results. It would grant similarly situated Members profoundly disparate rights under the agreement, and could easily lead to manipulation. For instance, because the Harbourvest Members are technically Affiliates of an initial Member (each other), they could obtain control of all of the interests in HCLOF without any Member receiving a Right of First Refusal for any transfer. No other Member could do that. For instance, if CLO Holdco wished to acquire other Members' interests, the transferring member (including Harbourvest) would have to offer a Right of First Refusal in every instance. To resolve that potential disparate treatment—though CLO Holdco and Harbourvest own nearly identical ownership interests in HCLOF—CLO Holdco would have to form an Affiliate and acquire interests through the Affiliate. That simply cannot be the intended result of the Member Agreement.

17. Instead, the Member Agreement must be read to require Harbourvest to provide a Right of First Refusal to the other Members of HCLOF before transferring its interests to either the Debtor or the Transferee.

### **C. THE RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL IN BANKRUPTCY**

18. Most cases addressing third party rights of first refusal in bankruptcy involve the assignment of leases and landlords' rights of first refusal. In those cases, courts analyze whether such a provision in the debtor's contract is a defacto restriction on assignment that may be excised





or enforce a settlement where the parties were subject to conditions precedent before the settlement could be effective, and the conditions precedent were not satisfied. This Court should similarly deny Harbourvest's proposed settlement, as it would deny the Members' Right of First Refusal, which is the benefit of their bargain under the Member Agreement.

**III.  
PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, CLO Holdco requests that this Court grant the Objection and enter an order denying the Harbourvest Settlement Motion.

DATED: January 8, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

**KANE RUSSELL COLEMAN LOGAN PC**

By: /s/ John J. Kane  
Joseph M. Coleman  
State Bar No. 04566100  
John J. Kane  
State Bar No. 24066794

901 Main Street, Suite 5200  
Dallas, Texas 75202  
Telephone - (214) 777-4200  
Telecopier - (214) 777-4299  
Email: [jcoleman@krcl.com](mailto:jcoleman@krcl.com)  
Email: [jkane@krcl.com](mailto:jkane@krcl.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR CLO HOLDCO, LTD.**

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

## APPENDIX 12



NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that the deposition shall be conducted utilizing Zoom, a secure web-based platform to provide remote access for those parties attending the deposition or wishing to participate in the deposition via the internet and/or telephone. Accordingly, the court reporter may be remote for the purposes of reporting the proceeding and may not be in the presence of the deponent. Necessary credentials, call-in numbers, and testing information has been provided to you, or will be provided to you, by email, or shall be arranged as agreed to by the parties. In addition, Dondero also reserves the right to utilize instant visual display technology such that the court reporter's writing of the proceeding will be displayed simultaneous to their writing of same on one's laptop, iPad, tablet, or other type of display device connected to the court reporter.

This Notice will remain in effect until the deposition is fully completed. You are invited to attend and examine as you see fit.



## APPENDIX 13



PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone: (310) 277-6910  
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC

Melissa S. Hayward  
Texas Bar No. 24044908  
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com  
Zachery Z. Annable  
Texas Bar No. 24053075  
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com  
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106  
Dallas, Texas 75231  
Tel: (972) 755-7100  
Fax: (972) 755-7110

*Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:	)	
	)	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., <sup>1</sup>	)	
	)	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
	)	
Debtor.	)	<b>Re: Docket Nos. 1625, 1697, 1706,</b>
	)	<b>1707</b>

**DEBTOR'S OMNIBUS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR  
ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT WITH HARBOURVEST  
(CLAIM NOS. 143, 147, 149, 150, 153, 154), AND AUTHORIZING ACTIONS  
CONSISTENT THEREWITH**

<sup>1</sup> The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.



1. If granted, the Motion will resolve a \$300 million general unsecured claim against the Debtor's estate for less than \$16.8 million in actual value.<sup>3</sup> The settlement is another solid achievement for the Debtor and – not surprisingly – is opposed by no one except Mr. Dondero and entities affiliated with him.

3. HarbourVest asserts claims for fraud, fraudulent inducement, fraudulent concealment, fraudulent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty

<sup>3</sup> Under the proposed settlement, HarbourVest would receive an allowed, general unsecured claim of \$45 million and an allowed, subordinated claim of \$35 million. Based on the estimated recovery for general unsecured creditors of 87.44% (which is a recovery based on certain outdated assumptions discussed *infra*), HarbourVest's \$45 million general unsecured claim is estimated to be worth approximately \$39.3 million and the \$35 million subordinated claim, which is junior to the general unsecured claim, is currently estimated to have value only if there are litigation recoveries. In addition, HarbourVest is transferring to an affiliate of the Debtor its interest in HCLOF, which is estimated to be worth approximately \$22.5 million. Thus, HarbourVest's estimated recovery on its general unsecured and subordinated claims is estimated at approximately \$16.8 million on a net economic basis. This estimate, however, is dated and is based on the claims that were settled as of the filing of the Debtor's plan in November 2020.

and unfair prejudice (under Guernsey law), violations of state securities laws, and RICO. In furtherance of these claims, HarbourVest alleges it was misled by the Debtor and its employees, including Mr. Scott Ellington (then the Debtor's general counsel), and that subsequent to investing in HCLOF, Mr. Dondero and the Debtor used HCLOF both as a piggybank to fund the litigation against Acis Capital Management, L.P. ("Acis") and as a scapegoat for the Debtor's litigation strategy, in each case to HarbourVest's substantial detriment.

4. Specifically, HarbourVest alleges that:
- the Debtor and its employees, including Mr. Ellington, misled HarbourVest about its intentions with respect to Mr. Terry's arbitration award against Acis and orchestrated a series of fraudulent transfers and corporate restructurings, the true purpose of which was to denude Acis of assets and make it judgment proof;
  - the Debtor and its employees, including Mr. Ellington, misled HarbourVest as to the intent and true purpose of these restructurings and led HarbourVest to believe that Mr. Terry's claims against Acis were meritless and a simple employment dispute that would not affect HarbourVest's investment;
  - the Debtor, through Mr. Dondero, improperly exercised control over or misled HCLOF's Guernsey-based board of directors to cause HCLOF to engage in unnecessary, unwarranted, and resource-draining litigation against Acis;
  - the Debtor improperly caused HCLOF to pay substantial legal fees of various entities in the Acis bankruptcy that were unwarranted, imprudent, and not properly chargeable to HCLOF; and
  - the Debtor used HarbourVest as a scapegoat in its litigation against Acis by asserting that the Debtor's improper conduct and scorched-earth litigation strategy was at HarbourVest's request, which was untrue.

5. The Debtor believed, and continues to believe, that it has viable defenses to HarbourVest's claims. Nevertheless, those defenses would be subject to substantial factual disputes and would require expensive and time-consuming litigation that would likely be resolved only after a lengthy trial all while the Debtor (or its successor) assumes the risk that the defenses might fail. The evidence will show that the proposed settlement is the product of substantial, arm's length – and sometimes quite heated – negotiations between and among the

principals and their counsel. The evidence will also show that one of HarbourVest's primary concerns in settling its claim was that part of that settlement would include the extrication of HarbourVest from the Highland web of entities and the related litigation. The proposed settlement accomplishes that and does so in compliance with HCLOF's governing agreements.

6. Pursuant to the proposed settlement, (a) HarbourVest will receive (i) an allowed, general unsecured claim in the amount of \$45 million, and (ii) an allowed, subordinated claim in the amount of \$35 million; (b) HarbourVest will transfer its 49.98% interest in HCLOF (valued at approximately \$22.5 million) to a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Debtor; and (c) the parties will exchange mutual and general releases. The Debtor believes that the proposed settlement is reasonable and results from the valid and proper exercise of its business judgment. And the Debtor's creditors apparently agree. None of the major parties-in-interest or creditors in this case has objected to the Motion: not the Committee, the Redeemer Committee, Acis, Patrick Daugherty, or UBS.

7. In distinction, the only objecting parties are Mr. Dondero, his family trusts (the Dugaboy Investment Trust ("Dugaboy") and Get Good Trust ("Get Good," and together with Dugaboy, the "Trusts")), and CLO Holdco (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mr. Dondero's Charitable Donor Advised Fund, L.P. (the "DAF")) (collectively, the "Objectors"). Each of the Objectors has only the most tenuous economic interest in and connection to the Debtor's settlement with HarbourVest. Each of the Objectors is also controlled directly or indirectly by Mr. Dondero who has coordinated each of the Objectors litigation strategies against the Debtor.<sup>4</sup> Mr. Dondero's efforts to litigate every issue in this case – directly and by proxy – should be rebuffed, and the objections overruled. The following is a brief summary of the objections.

---

<sup>4</sup> See *Debtor's Amended Witness and Exhibit List with Respect to Evidentiary Hearing to be Held on January 8, 2021* [Adv. Pro. 20-3190-sgj, Docket No. 46], Exhibit Q.

<u>Pleading</u>	<u>Objection/Reservation</u>	<u>Response</u>
<i>Objection of James Dondero</i> [Docket No. 1697] (the “ <u>Dondero Objection</u> ”)	Because HarbourVest was damaged by the injunction entered in Acis, the settlement seeks to revisit this Court’s rulings in Acis.	Mr. Dondero is misdirecting the Court. HarbourVest’s claim arises from the misrepresentations of Mr. Dondero, Mr. Ellington, and others, not this Court’s rulings in Acis, including the failure to disclose the fraudulent transfer of assets.
	The settlement is not fair and equitable because it does not address (1) Acis’s mismanagement, (2) how the Debtor is liable for HarbourVest’s damages, (3) the success on the merits, (4) the costs of litigation, and (5) the Debtor’s ability to realize the value of the HCLOF interests in light of the Acis injunction.	Mr. Dondero ignores the dangers of the litigation and HarbourVest’s claims against the estate for misrepresentation and overestimates the ability to resolve the litigation. The Debtor has assessed the value of the HCLOF interests in light of all factors, including the Acis injunction.
	The HarbourVest settlement represents a substantial windfall to HarbourVest.	Mr. Dondero ignores the economics of this case, which have value breaking in Class 8 (General Unsecured Claims). The value of the settlement is not \$60 million; it is approximately \$16.8 million against a claim of \$300 million. There is no windfall.
	The HarbourVest settlement is improper gerrymandering because it provides HarbourVest with a general unsecured claim and a subordinated claim in order to secure votes for the plan.	The HarbourVest settlement provides for the resolution of HarbourVest’s claim. It is nonsensical to think that the Debtor would reach a settlement with HarbourVest that would include HarbourVest’s rejection of the Debtor’s plan, and there is nothing wrong with requiring acceptance of a plan as part of a settlement. Further, the Debtor does not need HarbourVest’s Class 9 vote to confirm a plan.
<i>Objection of the Dugaboy Investment Trust and Get Good Trust</i> [Docket No. 1706] (the “ <u>Trusts Objection</u> ”)	The settlement represents a radical change in the Debtor’s earlier position on the HarbourVest settlement.	Mr. Dondero ignores the dangers of the litigation and HarbourVest’s claims against the estate for misrepresentation and overestimates the ability to resolve the litigation.
	The settlement appears to buy HarbourVest’s vote.	The HarbourVest settlement provides for the resolution of HarbourVest’s claim. It is nonsensical to think that the Debtor would reach a settlement with HarbourVest that would include HarbourVest’s rejection of the Debtor’s plan, and there is nothing wrong with requiring acceptance of a plan as part of a settlement. Further, the Debtor does not need HarbourVest’s Class 9 vote to confirm a plan.
	No information is provided as to whether the Debtor can acquire HarbourVest’s interest in HCLOF or the value of that interest to the estate.	As discussed below, the HCLOF interest will be transferred to a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Debtor. Mr. Seery will testify as to the benefit of the HCLOF interests to the estate.
<i>Objection of CLO Holdco</i> [Docket No. 1707] (“ <u>CLOH Objection</u> ”)	HarbourVest cannot transfer its interests in HCLOF unless it complies with the right of first refusal.	CLO Holdco misinterprets the operative agreements and tries to create ambiguity where none exists.

8. These objections are just the latest objections filed by Mr. Dondero and his related entities to any attempt by the Debtor to resolve this case,<sup>5</sup> including the Debtor's settlement with Acis [Docket No. 1087] and the seven separate objections filed by Mr. Dondero and his related entities to the *Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization of Highland Capital Management, L.P.* [Docket No. 1472] (the "Plan").<sup>6</sup> It will not shock this Court to hear that each of the Objectors is also objecting to the Plan. In contradistinction, the Debtor has heard this Court's admonishments about old Highland's culture of litigation as evidenced by this case, Acis's bankruptcy, and beyond. Although the Debtor has vigorously contested claims when appropriate, the Debtor has also sought to settle claims and limit the senseless fighting. The Debtor has successfully resolved the largest claims against the estate, including the claims of the Redeemer Committee, Acis, and, as recently announced to this Court, UBS. The Debtor would ask this Court to see through the pretense of the Dondero-related entities' objections to the HarbourVest settlement and approve it as a valid exercise of the Debtor's business judgment.

<sup>5</sup> As an example of Mr. Dondero's litigiousness, on January 12, 2021, Mr. Dondero filed notice that he will be appealing the preliminary injunction entered against him earlier on January 12, 2021.

<sup>6</sup> (1) *James Dondero's Objection to Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization of Highland Capital Management, L.P.* [Docket No. 1661]; (2) *Objection to Confirmation of the Debtor's Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization* (filed by *Get Good Trust, The Dugaboy Investment Trust*) [Docket No. 1667]; (3) *Senior Employees' Limited Objection to Debtor's Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization* (filed by *Scott Ellington, Thomas Sargent, Frank Waterhouse, Isaac Leventon*) [Docket No. 1669]; (4) *Objection to Confirmation of Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization of Highland Capital Management, L.P.* (filed by *Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., Highland Fixed Income Fund, Highland Funds I and its series, Highland Funds II and its series, Highland Global Allocation Fund, Highland Healthcare Opportunities Fund, Highland Income Fund, Highland Merger Arbitrage Fund, Highland Opportunistic Credit Fund, Highland Small-Cap Equity Fund, Highland Socially Responsible Equity Fund, Highland Total Return Fund, Highland/iBoxx Senior Loan ETF, NexPoint Advisors, L.P., NexPoint Capital, Inc., NexPoint Real Estate Strategies Fund, NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund*) [Docket No. 1670]; (5) *NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC's Objection to Debtor's Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization* (filed by *NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC f/k/a HCRE Partners LLC*) [Docket No. 1673]; (6) *CLO Holdco, Ltd.'s Joinder to Objection to Confirmation of Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization of Highland Capital Management, L.P. and Supplemental Objections to Plan Confirmation* [Docket No. 1675]; and (7) *NexBank's Objection to Debtor's Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization* (filed by *NexBank Title, Inc., NexBank Securities, Inc., NexBank Capital, Inc., and NexBank*) [Docket No. 1676].





13. Consequently, Mr. Dondero, Dugaboy, and Get Good’s standing to object to the HarbourVest settlement is attenuated and their chances of recovery in this case are extremely speculative at best. *See In re Kutner*, 3 B.R. 422, 425 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 1980) (finding that a party had standing only when it had a “pecuniary interest . . . directly affected by the bankruptcy proceeding”); *see also In re Flintkote Co.*, 486 B.R. 99, 114-15 (Bankr. D. Del. 2012), *aff’d*. 526 B.R. 515 (D. Del. 2014) (a claim that is speculative cannot confer party in interest standing). Mr. Dondero, Dugaboy, and Get Good’s minimal interest in the estate should not allow them to overrule the estate’s business judgment or veto settlements with creditors, especially when no actual creditors and constituents have objected. “[A] bankruptcy judge must not blindly follow the hue and cry of the most vocal special interest groups; rather, [the judge] should consider all salient factors . . . and . . . act to further the diverse interests of the debtor, creditors and equity



holders, alike.” *In re Lionel*, 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983).

**B. Mr. Dondero’s Objection and his “Trusts” Objection Are Without Merit**

14. As discussed in the Motion, under applicable Fifth Circuit precedent, a bankruptcy court may approve a compromise or settlement as long as the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and in the best interest of the estate. *See, e.g., In re Age Ref. Inc.*, 801 F.3d 530, 540 (5th Cir. 2015). In making this determination, courts look to the following factors:

- probability of success in the litigation, with due consideration for the uncertainty of law and fact;
- complexity and likely duration of the litigation and any attendant expense, inconvenience and delay; and
- all other factors bearing on the wisdom of the compromise, including (i) “the paramount interest of creditors with proper deference to their reasonable views” and (ii) whether the settlement is the product of arm’s length bargaining and not of fraud or collusion.

*Official Comm. of Unsecured Creditors v. Cajun Elec. Power Coop. (In re Cajun Elec. Power Coop.)*, 119 F.3d 349, 356 (5th Cir. 1997) (citations omitted). *See also Age Ref. Inc.*, 801 F.3d at 540; *Conn. Gen. Life Ins. Co. v. United Cos. Fin. Corp. (In re Foster Mortgage Corp.)*, 68 F.3d 914, 918 (5th Cir. 1995).

15. **The Settlement Seeks to Revisit the Acis Orders.** In the Dondero Objection, Mr. Dondero argues that HarbourVest’s claim is based on the financial harm caused to HarbourVest from Acis’s bankruptcy and the orders entered in the Acis bankruptcy. Mr. Dondero extrapolates from this that HarbourVest is seeking to challenge this Court’s rulings in Acis. (Dondero Obj., ¶¶ 17-20) Mr. Dondero misinterprets HarbourVest’s claims and the dangers such claims pose to the Debtor’s estate.

16. HarbourVest’s claims are for fraud, fraudulent inducement, fraudulent concealment, fraudulent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty

and unfair prejudice (under Guernsey law), violations of state securities laws, and RICO. HarbourVest is not arguing that Acis or this Court caused its damages; HarbourVest is arguing that *the Debtor* – led by Mr. Dondero – (a) misled HarbourVest as to the nature of Mr. Terry’s claims against the Debtor and the litigation with Acis, (b) knowingly and intentionally failed to disclose that the Debtor was engaged in the fraudulent transfer of assets to prevent Mr. Terry from collecting his judgment, and (c) that *the Debtor* – under the control of Mr. Dondero – improperly engaged in a crusade against Mr. Terry and Acis, which substantially damaged HarbourVest and its investment in HCLOF, in each case in order to induce HarbourVest to invest in HCLOF.

17. Again, HarbourVest does not contend that Acis caused its damages. Rather, HarbourVest contends that the fraudulent transfer of assets as part of the Debtor’s crusade against Mr. Terry and Acis and the false statements and omissions about those matters caused HarbourVest to make an investment it would never have made had Mr. Dondero and the Debtor been honest and transparent. The Acis litigation – in HarbourVest’s estimation – never should have happened. Acis did not cause HarbourVest’s damages. Mr. Dondero’s crusade against Mr. Terry and the Debtor’s allegedly fraudulent statements to HarbourVest about the fraudulent transfers, Mr. Terry and Acis caused HarbourVest’s damages.

18. **The HarbourVest Claim Lacks Merit.** In their objections, Mr. Dondero and the Trusts argue that the HarbourVest settlement is not fair and equitable and not in the best interests of the estate because (a) it does not address the Debtor’s arguments against the HarbourVest claims and (b) there is a lack of pending litigation seeking to narrow the claims against the estate. These arguments only summarily address the first two factors of *Cajun Electric*, which deal with success in the litigation, and, in doing so, mischaracterize the dangers to the Debtor’s estate

posed by HarbourVest's claims. (Dondero Obj., ¶¶ 21-25; Trusts Obj., ¶ 18(a))

19. Both the Dondero Objection and – to a much lesser extent - the “Trusts” Objection allege that (a) HarbourVest's losses were caused by Acis and its (mis)management of HCLOF's investments (Dondero Obj., ¶¶ 22, 24), (b) there is no contract that supports HarbourVest's claims (Dondero Obj. ¶ 23; Trusts Obj., ¶ 18(a)), (c) there is no causal connection between HarbourVest's losses and the Debtor's conduct (Dondero Obj., ¶ 24), and (d) the Debtor should litigate all or a portion of HarbourVest's claim before settling (Dondero Obj., ¶ 25). Again, though, as set forth above, both Mr. Dondero and the “Trusts” seek to shift the cause of HarbourVest's damages away from the Debtor's misrepresentations and to Mr. Terry's management of HCLOF's investments. This is simple misdirection.

20. HarbourVest's claims are that it invested in HCLOF based on the Debtor's fraudulent misrepresentations. Fraudulent misrepresentation sounds in tort, not contract. *See, e.g., Clark v. Constellation Brands, Inc.*, 348 Fed. Appx. 19, 21 (5th Cir. 2009) (referring to party's claim based on fraudulent misrepresentation as a tort); *Eastman Chem. Co. v. Niro, Inc.*, 80 F. Supp. 2d 712, 717 (S.D. Tex. 2000) (noting that party had common law duty not to commit intentional tort of fraudulent misrepresentation). There is thus no need for HarbourVest to point to a contractual provision to support its claim.<sup>9</sup> Moreover, in order to defend against HarbourVest's claims, the Debtor would need to elicit evidence showing that its employees did not make misrepresentations to HarbourVest. Such a defense would require the Debtor to rely on the veracity of Mr. Ellington's testimony, among others. That is a high hurdle, and no reasonable person would expect the Debtor to stake the resolution of HarbourVest's \$300 million claim on the Debtor's ability to convince this Court that Mr. Ellington was telling HarbourVest

---

<sup>9</sup> Subsequent to filing the Motion, the Objectors requested all agreements between HarbourVest, HCLOF, and the Debtor, and such agreements were provided.



Daugherty, and the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust were zero. Because of the Debtor's success in settling litigation, that assumption is proving to be inaccurate. Regardless, even if general unsecured claims receive a recovery of 87.44%, because the subordinated claims are junior to the general unsecured claims, the subordinated claims' projected recovery is currently zero. As such, assuming the HCLOF's interests are worth \$22.5 million,<sup>10</sup> the actual recovery to HarbourVest will be less than \$16.8 million. This is not a windfall. HarbourVest's investment in HCLOF was \$80 million and its claim against the estate was over \$300 million. The settlement represents a substantial discount.

24. **Improper Gerrymandering and/or Vote Buying.** Each of Mr. Dondero and the Trusts argue in one form or another that the HarbourVest settlement is improper as it provides HarbourVest a windfall on its claims in exchange for HarbourVest voting to approve the Plan. These unsubstantiated allegations of vote buying should be disregarded. As an initial matter, and as set forth above, HarbourVest is *not* getting a windfall. HarbourVest is accepting a substantial discount in the settlement. HarbourVest's incentive to support the Plan comes from HarbourVest's determination that the Plan is in its best interests. There is also nothing shocking about a settling creditor supporting a plan. Indeed, it would be nonsensical for a creditor to settle its claims and then object to the plan that would pay those claims.

25. More importantly, HarbourVest's votes in Class 9 (Subordinated Claims) are not needed to confirm the Plan. As will be set forth in the voting declaration, Class 2 (Frontier Secured Claim), Class 7 (Convenience Claims), and Class 8 (General Unsecured Claims) have voted in favor of the Plan.<sup>11</sup> In brief, the Plan was approved without HarbourVest's Class 9 vote,

---

<sup>10</sup> It is currently anticipated that Mr. James P. Seery, Jr., the Debtor's chief executive officer and chief restructuring officer, will testify as to the value of the HCLOF interests to the Debtor's estate.

<sup>11</sup> The Debtor anticipates that Mr. Dondero and his related entities will argue that neither Class 7 nor Class 8 voted to accept the Plan because of the votes cast against the Plan in those Classes by current and former Debtor



of Shares) of the Members Agreement (an agreement governed by Guernsey law). (CLOH Obj., ¶ 3) The parties diverge, however, as to how to interpret Article 6. The Debtor, as set forth below, believes Article 6 is clear in that it allows HarbourVest to transfer its interests in HCLOF to any “Affiliate of an initial Member party” without requiring the right of first refusal in Section 6.2 of the Members Agreement. CLO Holdco’s position appears to be that the Members Agreement, despite its clear language, should be interpreted as limiting transfers to an “initial Member’s *own* affiliates” and that any other transfer requires the consent of HHCFA and satisfaction of the right of first refusal. (*Id.* (emphasis added)) CLO Holdco’s reading is contrary to the actual language of the Members Agreement.

29. First, Section 6.1 of the Members Agreement provides, in pertinent part:

[REDACTED]

(Members Agmt, § 6.1 (emphasis added)) Under the Members Agreement, “Affiliate” is defined, in pertinent part, as “[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(*Id.*, § 1.1) A “Member” in turn is a [REDACTED].” The “initial Member[s]” are the initial Members of HCLOF listed on the first page of the Members Agreement and include the Debtor, HarbourVest, and CLO Holdco.

30. As such, under the plain language of Section 6.1, HarbourVest is entitled – without the consent of any party – to “Transfer” its interests in HCLOF to an “Affiliate” of any of the Debtor, HarbourVest, or CLO Holdco. And that is exactly what is contemplated by the settlement. HarbourVest is transferring its interests to HCMLPI, a wholly owned and controlled subsidiary of the Debtor, and therefore an “Affiliate” of the Debtor. That transfer is indisputably

allowed under Section 6.1; it is a transfer to an “Affiliate of an initial Member.” CLO Holdco may, tongue in cheek, call this structure “convenient” but that sarcasm is an attempt to avoid the fact that the Members Agreement clearly allows HarbourVest to transfer its interest to HCMLPI without the consent of any party.<sup>13</sup> The fact that CLO Holdco does not now like the language it previously agreed to when CLO Holdco and the Debtor were both controlled by Mr. Dondero is not a reason to re-write Section 6.1 of the Members Agreement.

31. Second, Section 6.2 of the Members Agreement is also unambiguous and, by its plain language, allows HarbourVest to “Transfer” its interests in HCLOF to “Affiliates of an initial Member” (*i.e.*, HCMLPI) without having to first offer those interests to the other Members (such obligation, the “ROFO”). CLO Holdco attempts to create ambiguity in Section 6.2 by arguing that it must be read in conjunction with Section 6.1 and that interpreting the plain language of Section 6.2 to allow HarbourVest to transfer its interests to HCMLPI without restriction makes certain other language surplus and meaningless. (CLOH Obj., ¶ 11-13) Again, CLO Holdco is attempting to create controversy and ambiguity where none exists.

32. Section 6.2 of the Members Agreement provides, in pertinent part:

[REDACTED]

(Members Agmt., § 6.2 (emphasis added)) Like Section 6.1, Section 6.2 is clear on its face. It exempts from the requirement to comply with the ROFO two categories of “Transfers”: (1) Transfers to “affiliates of an initial Member” from Members *other than* CLO Holdco and the

---

<sup>13</sup> Although HHCFA’s consent is not necessary for HarbourVest to transfer its interests to HCMLPI, HHCFA will consent to the transfer.



“Highland Principals” (*i.e.*, the Debtor and certain of its employees)<sup>14</sup> and (2) Transfers from CLO Holdco or a Highland Principal to the Debtor, the Debtor’s “Affiliates,” or another Highland Principal. The fact that a narrower exemption is provided to CLO Holdco and the Debtor than to HarbourVest (or any other Member) under Section 6.2 is of no moment; the language says what it says and was agreed to by all Members, including CLO Holdco, when they executed the Members Agreement.

33. In addition, and although not relevant, the language of Section 6.2 makes sense in the context of the deal. Although CLO Holdco and the Debtor may have disclaimed an “Affiliate” relationship, they are related through Mr. Dondero and invest side by side with the Debtor in multiple deals.<sup>15</sup> The different standards in Section 6.2 serve to ensure that HarbourVest’s (or any successor to HarbourVest) right to Transfer its shares without satisfying the ROFO is limited to three parties: (i) HarbourVest’s Affiliates, (ii) the Debtor’s Affiliates, and (iii) CLO Holdco’s Affiliates. This restriction keeps the relative voting power of each Member static and ensures that CLO Holdco and the Debtor, together, will *always* have more than fifty percent of HCLOF’s total interests and that HarbourVest will *always* have less than fifty percent. This counterintuitively also explains the greater restrictions placed on CLO Holdco and the “Highland Principals.” The Highland Principals include certain Debtor employees. Those employees – as well as CLO Holdco and the Debtor – are prohibited from transferring their HCLOF interests outside of the Dondero family. This restriction makes sense. If, for example, a Debtor employee wanted to transfer its interests to an Affiliate of HarbourVest, HarbourVest could have more than fifty percent of the HCLOF interests because of the thinness

<sup>14</sup> “Highland Principals” means:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (Members Agmt., § 1.1)

<sup>15</sup> There can be no real dispute that Mr. Dondero effectively controls CLO Holdco.

of the Dondero-family's majority (approximately 0.2%). At the time the Members Agreement was executed, CLO Holdco and the Debtor were under common control. Section 6.2 preserves those related entities' control over HCLOF by restricting transactions that would transfer that control unless the ROFO is complied with.

34. As such, and notwithstanding CLO Holdco's protestations, Section 6.1 and Section 6.2 are consistent as written and clear on their face. This consistency is further evidenced by HCLOF's Articles of Incorporation<sup>16</sup> and HCLOF's offering memorandum, which each include language identical to Section 6.1 and 6.2 of the Members Agreement.<sup>17</sup> It seems highly unlikely, if not implausible, that sophisticated parties such as CLO Holdco would include the exact same language in six separate places over three documents without a reason for that language and without the intent that such language be interpreted as it is clearly written – not as CLO Holdco now wants it to be interpreted. Accordingly, since HarbourVest is transferring its interests to HCMLPI, an Affiliate of an initial Member, the plain language of Section 6.2

---

<sup>16</sup> See Articles of Incorporation, adopted November 15, 2017, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

[REDACTED]

(Articles of Incorporation, § 18.1)

[REDACTED]

(*Id.*, § 18.2)

<sup>17</sup> See Offering Memorandum, dated November 15, 2017, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

[REDACTED]

(Offering Memorandum, page 89)



should have raised that objection three and a half years ago before agreeing to the Members Agreement. CLO Holdco should not be allowed to create ambiguity in an unambiguous contract or to re-write that agreement to impose additional restrictions on HarbourVest. *See Clardy Mfg. Co. v. Marine Midland Bus. Loans Inc.*, 88 F.3d 347, 352 (5th Cir. 1996) (enforcing the “unambiguous language in a contract as written,” noting that where a contract is unambiguous, a party may not create ambiguity or “give the contract a meaning different from that which its language imports”) (internal quotations omitted); *Texas v. Am. Tobacco Co.*, 463 F.3d 399, 407 (5th Cir. 2006) (“Courts interpreting unambiguous contracts are confined to the four corners of the document, and cannot look to extrinsic evidence to create an ambiguity.”).

38. It should go without saying, but CLO Holdco (and the other parties to the Members Agreement) should also be required to satisfy their obligations under the Members Agreement and execute the “Adherence Agreement” as required by Section 6.6 of the Members Agreement in connection with the Transfer of HarbourVest’s interests to HCMLPI or any other permitted Transfer.

39. Finally, and notably, although CLO Holdco spends considerable time arguing that HarbourVest should be required to comply with the ROFO, nowhere in the CLOH Objection does CLO Holdco state that it wishes to purchase HarbourVest’s interests in HCLOF. This omission is telling. CLO Holdco and the other Objectors have no interest in actually exercising their alleged right of first refusal contained in the Members Agreement. Rather, their only interest is in causing the Debtor to spend time and money responding to a legion of related (and coordinated) objections.<sup>18</sup>

---

<sup>18</sup> *See Debtor’s Amended Witness and Exhibit List with Respect to Evidentiary Hearing to be Held on January 8, 2021* [Adv. Pro. 20-3190-sgj, Docket No. 46], Exhibit Q; Exhibit T (email from Mr. Dondero as forwarded to Mr. Ellington stating “Holy bananas..... make sure we object [to the HarbourVest Settlement]”); Exhibit Y.

*[Remainder of Page Intentionally Blank]*



## APPENDIX 14

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

In Re: ) **Case No. 19-34054-sgj-11**  
HIGHLAND CAPITAL ) Chapter 11  
MANAGEMENT, L.P., ) Dallas, Texas  
Debtor. ) Thursday, January 14, 2021  
9:30 a.m. Docket  
- MOTION TO PREPAY LOAN  
[1590]  
- MOTION TO COMPROMISE  
CONTROVERSY [1625]  
- MOTION TO ALLOW CLAIMS OF  
HARBOURVEST [1207]

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE STACEY G.C. JERNIGAN,  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.

WEBEX APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Jeffrey Nathan Pomerantz  
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES, LLP  
10100 Santa Monica Blvd.,  
13th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4003  
(310) 277-6910

For the Debtor: John A. Morris  
Gregory V. Demo  
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES, LLP  
780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10017-2024  
(212) 561-7700

For the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors: Matthew A. Clemente  
SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP  
One South Dearborn Street  
Chicago, IL 60603  
(312) 853-7539

For CLO Holdco, Ltd.: John J. Kane  
KANE RUSSELL COLEMAN LOGAN, P.C.  
901 Main Street, Suite 5200  
Dallas, TX 75202  
(214) 777-4261



1 APPEARANCES, cont'd.:

2 For James Dondero: John T. Wilson  
3 D. Michael Lynn  
4 John Y. Bonds, III  
5 Bryan C. Assink  
6 BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFER  
7 JONES, LLP  
8 420 Throckmorton Street,  
9 Suite 1000  
10 Fort Worth, TX 76102  
11 (817) 405-6900

12 For Get Good Trust and Douglas S. Draper  
13 Dugaboy Investment Trust: HELLER, DRAPER & HORN, LLC  
14 650 Poydras Street, Suite 2500  
15 New Orleans, LA 70130  
16 (504) 299-3300

17 For HarbourVest, et al.: Erica S. Weisgerber  
18 M. Natasha Labovitz  
19 Daniel E. Stroik  
20 DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON, LLP  
21 919 Third Avenue  
22 New York, NY 10022  
23 (212) 909-6621

24 For Highland CLO Funding, Rebecca Matsumura  
25 Ltd.: KING & SPALDING, LLP  
500 West 2nd Street, Suite 1800  
Austin, TX 78701  
(512) 457-2024

Recorded by: Michael F. Edmond, Sr.  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
1100 Commerce Street, 12th Floor  
Dallas, TX 75242  
(214) 753-2062

Transcribed by: Kathy Rehling  
311 Paradise Cove  
Shady Shores, TX 76208  
(972) 786-3063

25 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
transcript produced by transcription service.

000566

1 DALLAS, TEXAS - JANUARY 14, 2021 - 9:41 A.M.

2 THE CLERK: All rise. The United States Bankruptcy  
3 Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, is  
4 now in session, the Honorable Stacey Jernigan presiding.

5 THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. All  
6 right. We're a little late getting started because we had  
7 lots of reading material for the Court today. All right.  
8 This is Judge Jernigan, and we have a couple of Highland  
9 settings. The HarbourVest matters are the primary thing we  
10 have set today, and then we also have a Debtor's motion  
11 pursuant to protocols for authority for Highland Multi-Strat  
12 to prepay a loan.

13 All right. Well, let's get a few appearances. First, for  
14 the Debtor team, who do we have appearing this morning?

15 MR. POMERANTZ: Good morning, Your Honor. It's Jeff  
16 Pomerantz, John Morris, and Greg Demo here on behalf of the  
17 Debtor.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

19 All right. We have objections on HarbourVest. Who do we  
20 have appearing for Mr. Dondero this morning?

21 MR. WILSON: Your Honor, it's John Wilson, and I'm  
22 also joined by Michael Lynn, John Bonds, and Bryan Assink.

23 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. Could -- the court  
24 reporter does yeoman's work in this case. Let me just make  
25 sure we got all three of those names. Say again, Mr. Wilson.

000567

1 MR. WILSON: John Bonds and Michael Lynn and Bryan  
2 Assink.

3 THE COURT: Oh, okay. So, see, I thought I heard  
4 somebody Wilson in all of that, which was why I was pressing  
5 the issue.

6 All right. Is Mr. Dondero present on the video for  
7 today's hearing?

8 MR. WILSON: I believe he is, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Dondero, could you confirm that you  
10 are out there? (No response.) Okay. My court reporter says  
11 he sees the name out there. Is he in your office?

12 MR. WILSON: Your Honor, he is appearing remotely  
13 from my office. I'm not sure exactly where he's appearing  
14 from.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Dondero, if you're out  
16 there and you're speaking up to confirm you're present, we're  
17 not hearing you. Maybe your device is on mute. So please  
18 unmute yourself.

19 (No response.)

20 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take some other  
21 appearances and you -- you need to try to communicate with  
22 your client and let him know I need to confirm he's present.  
23 Okay?

24 All right. Meanwhile, let's go to our other Objectors.  
25 CLO Holdco. Who do we have appearing today?

1 MR. KANE: John Kane; Kane Russell Coleman & Logan;  
2 on behalf of CLO Holdco.

3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kane.

4 We had an objection from Dugaboy Investment Trust and Get  
5 Good Trust. Who do we have appearing?

6 MR. DRAPER: Douglas Draper, Your Honor, for -- for  
7 Draper.

8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Draper.

9 All right. I think those were the only written objections  
10 we had. Mr. Pomerantz, do you confirm, we don't have any  
11 other objectors for the motions set, correct?

12 MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, there was those three.

13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't catch your full  
14 sentence.

15 MR. POMERANTZ: That is correct, Your Honor. There  
16 were three objections to the motion.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Clemente, you're there for the  
18 Creditors' Committee?

19 MR. CLEMENTE: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. Matt  
20 Clemente on behalf of the Official Committee of Unsecured  
21 Creditors.

22 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. Thank you.  
23 All right. We have a lot of other folks on the video. I'm  
24 not going to go ahead and take a roll call of other lawyers.

25 MS. WEISGERBER: Your Honor?